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Abstract—IETF RFC 2002 originally introduced the wireless Mobile-IP protocol to support portable IP addresses for mobile devices that often change their network access points to the Internet. The inefficiency of this protocol mainly within the handoff management produces large end-to-end packet delays, during registration process, and further degrades the system efficiency due to packet losses between subnets. The criterion to initiate a simple and fast full-duplex connection between the home agent and foreign agent, to reduce the roaming duration, is a very important issue to be considered by a work in this paper. State-transition Petri-Nets of the modeling scenario-based CIA: communication inter-agents procedure as an extension to the basic Mobile-IP registration process was designed and manipulated. The heuristic of configuration file during practical Setup session for registration parameters, on Cisco platform Router-1760 using IOS 12.3 (15)T is created. Finally, stand-alone performance simulations results from Simulink Matlab, within each subnet and also between subnets, are illustrated for reporting better end-to-end packet delays. Results verified the effectiveness of our Mathcad analytical manipulation and experimental implementation. It showed lower values of end-to-end packet delay for Mobile-IP using CIA procedure. Furthermore, it reported packets flow between subnets to improve packet losses between subnets.
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I. INTRODUCTION

When a mobile host (MH) leaves its present subnet [1], the connection with Internet or the forwarded traffic from its home agent (HA) must be switched to another agent in the foreign neighboring subnet in order to maintain packets receiving. This operation is referred to as roaming. Roaming is the service during which the Communication links from MHS and agents are maintained; and adequate connection quality should be ensured within the network when MH travels from the coverage area of one HA to that of a foreign agent (FA). The need for optimized roaming service arises due to packet losses (PLs) between subnets when the MH registers with another subnet, and also due to roaming accumulation. Roaming is normally subnet to subnet (inter-subnets or Inter-Agents in different subnets) and roaming, may occur many times during a mobility, and it is therefore essential that the roaming procedure should be simple, fast and place minimum loading on the network capacity. The roaming procedure may involve consultations between the HA and nearby FA to the MH. Consequently, the criteria to initiate a full-duplex between the HA and FA is a very important issue.

The registration process (RP), one of the three core capabilities of the basic Mobile-IP (MIP) protocol [1]-[4], is inefficient, since all the registration steps take place after the mobile node (MN) is already roamed into the destined foreign subnet (FS) with an Internet connection Interruption and with no forwarding service yet and just waiting until performing a successful registration. Furthermore, the registration request must first be routed from the FA, which resides in the FS, to the HA, which resides in the home subnet (HS) whereas both of MN and FA are in the same subnet, but not in the HS (i.e., they have to ask for a permission from the HA, which either accepts or denies the request). Therefore, the registration request experiences unnecessary delay in initiating forwarding service to the MN and in turn causes PLs.

In this paper, we highlight a MIP extension, CIA procedure: communication inter-agents. This procedure platform is based on a Triple-R sequence (RRR: requesting, registering, and then roaming) that supposes an early registration of MN, to a subnet predicted to roam into, using HA-based [5] registration and EqR-policy (equilibrium roaming-policy), as a cost-effective solution to reduce the roaming duration, eliminate the PLs, and bridge the gap between subnets, an area that until now has been largely neglected. The state-transition Petri-Nets of the modeling scenario is manipulated and analyzed, for the system end-to-end packet delay (EtE-D). The heuristic of configuration file during the practical Setup session for registration parameters, on Cisco platform Router-1760 using IOS 12.3 (15)T is
created. Finally, we illustrate the performance simulation results for EIE-D, using Simulink Matlab, to verify the effectiveness of our Mathcad analytical manipulation, and experimental implementation.

II. CIA PROCEDURE

Essentially, if a MH wishes to roam into a FS, then there must be an authenticated registration procedure [1]-[4], shown in Fig. 1, to inform its HA of its care-of-address and register itself with the FS. This is performed in MIP according to a registration signaling capability.

Simply, our signaling CIA procedure is as follows: Once a MH has recognized that it will roam into a FS (some sort of intelligence) and before it goes outside it’s HS area, it should initiate registering by sending a registration request to the nearest HA directly. By default, the nearest HA from the MH at this moment will be the nearest from the border of the intended FS and it is easily to be recognized by any MH according to the discovery process, which is practically applied with cell information (CI) service.

- **R1 (Requesting):** In CI service, the MH starts the RP by sending a special router solicitation (RS) [2]. This solicitation assists in finding the nearest point of attachment for the roaming node. In the request, the MH provides only its permanent IP address and an identifier, which uniquely identifies the destined FS.

The HA in turn performs the choosing process of the FA, on behalf of the MH, from it’s MBC according to an equilibrium building block strategy (i.e. EqR-policy) [5].

- **R2 (Registering):** Once this nearest HA determines the FA and the temporary IP address, it sends back a router advertisement (RA) back to the MH as an acknowledgment (ACK) with its temporary IP and IP of the chosen FA.

After that, the HA also sends a roaming initiate message alert (RIMA) to the chosen FA, with an expiration time $T_P$. When the FA receives the alert, it checks it’s own cache for updates to process the registration packet, and waits for a time $T_W$ until receiving a message alert, whose source and destination are the temporary and permanent addresses of the MH and FA, respectively, from the MH that it successfully reached the FA’s coverage area. We can notice that all the previous steps take place while the MH stills in the way to the FS without any loss of time, as possible, where the ideal case is when $T_W = T_P$. After that, the FA sends a roaming acknowledgment (RACK) to the HA, informing that it accepted successfully the MH in its subnet.

- **R3 (Roaming):** Consequently, the HA updates the mobility binding of the MH and sends out a broadcast roaming initiate (RI) with the gratuitous address resolution protocol (ARP) [6] to the network. This signal informs the whole network that the node is going to perform a roaming and updates the ARP cache of all the hosts and routers that currently have an ARP cache entry for that MH.

This allows the forwarding service to be initiated directly from the HS to the FS and establishes a full-duplex connection between the HA and FA, whenever it is needed, to the MH, to enter the on-line state.

![Fig. 1 Registration procedure for roaming in Mobile-IP](image)

III. STATE-TRANSITION PETRI-NETS

The state-transition Petri-Nets for the CIA modeling scenario is shown in Fig. 2 [7]. An agent can be in any stateplace and remains in that stateplace for a geometrically distributed amount of time, based on the token length (where token lengths are used to model connection holding times of circuits in the CIA procedure) if it is in the on-line stateplace, $O_L$, or a fixed amount of time (one unit) if it is in any other stateplace. The presence of token in the previous stateplace triggers transition to the next stateplace. Thus, transitions implement the activities of the system, whereas stateplaces are data warehouses that store information until some transition will need it.

We will take an abstract transmission model and trace the route of a signal through the MIP network using suitable model parameters. Since all HAs are identical, we will study the state-transition diagram at a single HA (in our case is HA: 147.175.10.1) with a FA (in our case is FA: 147.175.20.3), as shown in Fig. 2, and aggregate the effect on the total number of agents in the network.

IV. END-TO-END DELAY

End-to-end Delay (EIE-D) is defined as the time from a message readiness at a MH until the time that the message completes its transmission. This consists of the time required to initiate the registration request-packet in the MH (7), the propagation delay for the special RS ($T_P$), the time required to re-form the registration packet at the HA (7), the propagation delay for the RA ($T_P$), the propagation delay for the RIMA ($T_P$), the time required to check the MBC for updates at the FA (7), waiting time until receiving a message alert from the MH ($T_W$), the propagation delay for the RACK ($T_P$), the propagation delay for the RI ($T_P$), the time until an ACK is received ($T_{ACK}$) with a geometric distribution, and the message transmission time ($1/\delta$).

The aggregation of communication between the HA and FA, as a unit of all agents, will provide the total EIE packet delay. It can be obtained [8] that this delay is as follows in Equ. 1 (consult Fig. 2): As we are intended only in establishing
Fig. 2 State-transition corresponding Petri-Nets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>◯</td>
<td>Stateplace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>◯</td>
<td>Predicte.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>←</td>
<td>Internal arc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>External arc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>★</td>
<td>Object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‼</td>
<td>Linkset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>Schedule transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>Schedule transition firing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±</td>
<td>Schedule transition priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^</td>
<td>Suspend transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>Priority.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

147.175.20.3
147.175.10.1
147.175.20.3
147.175.10.1
147.175.20.3
147.175.10.1
147.175.20.3
147.175.10.1
a full-duplex connection between the HA and FA and that during this establishing, the message transmitted is only the registration request-packet, with no data is included, then its transmission time is to be neglected. The \( E_t-E-D \) is reduced to:

\[
E_t-E-D = 2 \left( \eta + T_p + \frac{1}{\pi} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( A - 1 \right) - T_p + 1
\]

where

- \( \delta \): Message departure probability.
- \( \pi \): Registration request arrival probability.
- \( \beta \): Probability of receiving/sending an ACK.
- \( \gamma \): Total number of network agents.

\[
\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K \left[ 1 - \gamma \right]^{k-1}
\]

The heuristic of configuration file that was created during the Setup session for registration parameters, on Cisco platform Router-1760/Dram-64 MB/Flash-32 MB using IOS 12.3 (15)T with an access point Cisco Aironet-1230G and a client card with series Cisco Aironet-350G. The IOS 12.3 (15)T was chosen because it is very important to match the native virtual LAN (VLAN) across the link. In the Cisco IOS software versions earlier than 12.1(3)T, we cannot define the native VLAN explicitly, as the encapsulation native command software versions earlier than 12.1(3)T, we cannot define the native VLAN explicitly, as the encapsulation native command.

In our practical case under study, the HS has five MHs on interface Ethernet1 [6] (sub-subnet 147.175.10.0) and five on virtual sub-subnet 157.175.10.0. So, there are two MH groups. Each MH has one security association. The HA has an access-list to disable roaming capability by mobile access router 147.175.10.15. The mobile access router is a router that operates as a MN defined in MIP specification, which allows a router to roam, as MN: 147.175.10.14, away from its HS and still provide connectivity for devices on its subnet. The 157.175.10.0 group cannot roam in areas where the subnet is 147.175.90.0. The 147.175.10.0 group has a lifetime of 1 hour (3600 sec). On the other side, the FA is providing service on a serial interface 1/0.

We progressed through the System Configuration until we came to the registration item that we intended to change. The registration item was configured to set the maximum registration lifetime value of 90 sec. The following configuration command script has been created when we implemented practically the sequence of our CIA procedure on wireless MIP protocol.

```
Router# setup
--- System Configuration Dialog ---
...
! Configuring global parameters:
Enter host name [Router]:
```
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ISNI:0000000091950263
As shown in the above configuration output, while the MH: 147.175.10.14 is still detecting its HA for mobile subnets 147.175.10.0/20 inside the HS, it registers by sending out a request to that HA. The request was accepted. The HA authenticated the registration, bind it to a FA and provided it a CoA from the FS for mobile subnets 147.175.20.0/20. In addition, HA injects the mobile subnets 147.175.10.0/20 associated with the MH into the HA routing table.

VI. PERFORMANCE SIMULATION RESULTS

We illustrate simulation results, using Simulink Matlab, to verify the effectiveness of our Mathcad analytical manipulation, and experimental implementation. The whole EtE packet delay and EtE packet delay between subnets, with (downward) and without (upward) CIA procedure, in MIP are shown in figures 3 to 7.

For the following figures 3-7, End-to-end packet delay “EtE-D” versus time “T_w”. A=100 agents, Unit=1 µs, \( \pi =0.005 \text{ msg/unit, } \beta =0.005 \text{ msg/unit, } 1/ \rho =100 \text{ ms, } T_p =0.1 \mu s /\text{km, } \eta =0.01 \text{ s } \mu s, T_{L} > (2T_p + T_w) \). 

Upper: MIP without CIA. Vertical: EtE-D (sec) X 10^{-3} 
Lower: MIP with CIA. Horizontal: Time (sec)

Fig. 3 End-to-end packet delay “EtE-D” versus time “T_w”. inside the HS

Fig. 4 End-to-end packet delay “EtE-D” versus time “T_w”. inside the FS-1

Fig. 5 End-to-end packet delay “EtE-D” versus time “T_w”. inside the FS-2

Fig. 6 End-to-end packet delay “EtE-D” versus time “T_w”. between subnets HS and FS-1

Fig. 7 End-to-end packet delay “EtE-D” versus time “T_w”. between subnets FS-1 and FS-2
We can observe that, with the time changes, the EtE packet delays change accordingly. In the case of MIP with CIA procedure, we notice that (shown in figures 3-5) the EtE packet delay is always lower (better) than the delay without [10] CIA procedure, no matter what the subnet is HS or FS. When the MH is inside the HS, the maximum EtE packet delay with CIA procedure (shown in Fig. 3) is much smaller (better) than the EtE packet delay without CIA procedure. When the MH moves to the FS-1, the maximum and minimum EtE packet delays (shown in Fig. 5) with CIA procedure decline lower than the same ones without CIA procedure, respectively. PLs between subnets HS and FS-1 (shown in Fig. 6) were completely eliminated, i.e. there is a packet flow between the time 35 sec and 42 sec, when MH moves out of the wireless HS and enters the coverage area of FS-1, whereas for MIP without CIA procedure there is no packet flow between these times. Also, PLs between subnets FS-1 and FS-2 is completely eliminated, i.e. there is a packet flow between the times 65 sec and 72 sec, when MH leaves FS-1 and enters the coverage area of FS-2, whereas for MIP without CIA procedure there is no packet flow between these times (shown in Fig. 7).

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We considered the roaming service in wireless MIP networks, in which the basic MIP protocol was originally introduced in the IETF RFC 2002 to support portable IP addresses for mobile devices that often change their network access points to the Internet. It encountered some inefficiencies, basically within three main categories according to each step of MRM process: location management, routing management, and handoff management. Furthermore, the inefficiency of RP, one of the MIP three basic capabilities, is interrupting the Internet connection and causing the PLs problem of forwarded traffic while roaming. Reasons for the need of optimized roaming service were mentioned. Consequently, the criteria to initiate a simple and fast full-duplex connection between the HA and FA was a very important issue to the centric of all aspects in this work, as a cost-effective solution to eliminate the PLs and bridge the gap between subnets, an area that until now has been largely neglected.

In this paper, we highlighted the CIA procedure (communication inter-agents) as an extension to the basic MIP-RP. This procedure platform is based on a Triple-R sequence (RRR: registering, registering, and then roaming) that supposes an early registration of MN to a subnet predicted to roam into, using HA-based registration and EqR-policy, to reduce the roaming duration and eliminate the PLs. The state-transition Petri-Nets of the modeling scenario was manipulated, for system EtE packet delay. The heuristic of configuration file during the practical Setup session for registration parameters, on Cisco platform Router-1760 using IOS 12.3 (15)T was created. Finally, we illustrated the performance simulation results for EtE-D, using Simulink Matlab, to verify the effectiveness of our Matlab analytical manipulation, and experimental implementation.

Results show that, the PLs between subnets were totally eliminated. The packet flow continues, no matter whether the MH is inside a subnet or roaming between subnets, as shown in figures 6 and 7. Where ever it has a point-of-attachment, to be served and still connected to the Internet and forwarded traffic from its HS, with much lower EtE packet delays and without any packet losses. The analytical performance results as a function of different network parameters were presented as convincing evidences and realistic cornerstone, upon which the Internet connection or forwarded traffic during roaming will be monitored and controlled, whereas the simulation results illustrated the effectiveness of CIA procedure in the MIP protocol for optimized efficiency.
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