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Abstract—Big Data represents the recent technology of manipulating voluminous and unstructured data sets over multiple sources. Therefore, NOSQL appears to handle the problem of unstructured data. Association rules mining is one of the popular techniques of data mining to extract hidden relationship from transactional databases. The algorithm for finding association dependencies is well-solved with Map Reduce. The goal of our work is to reduce the time of generating of frequent itemsets by using Map Reduce and NOSQL database oriented document. A comparative study is given to evaluate the performances of our algorithm with the classical algorithm Apriori.
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I. INTRODUCTION

TODAY there is a huge amount of digital data that can be used to achieve several goals. This evolution of data is called "Big data". This kind of data is available in different formats and is propagated at a high streaming speed. These characteristics has opened up new opportunities in different fields such as industry, commerce, health and much more. However, some problems must be handled in terms of storage and how to process these enormous sets of data in order to use them effectively and obtain hidden information. Association rule mining is one of the most used data mining techniques to extract knowledge from large databases. Extracting frequent item set is the most important step in the process of finding association rule mining, which allows finding relationships between the data to be presented in simple and understandable rules that help in decision-making. However, the existing techniques of data mining must be adapted to the different characteristics of big data. In the literature, we find many algorithms of extracting of frequent itemsets: Apriori, FP-Growth. But, these algorithms are used mostly on structured data. That is why we propose to use the Apriori algorithm to extract frequent itemsets on NOSQL databases oriented document.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II some definitions are dressed to define the challenges we are facing, the related works are cited in Section III, and in Section IV we describe our work. Experimental results of our algorithm are shown in Section V. Finally, a conclusion is presented to summarize the main outcomes of this work.

II. DEFINITIONS

In this section we explain briefly the most important challenges we are facing in a big data context.

A. Big Data [6]

Many definitions were proposed by different authors to try to describe the big data. For example in [8] Big Data is defined as “datasets whose size is beyond the ability of typical database software tools to capture, store, manage, and analyze”. Likewise, Davis and Patterson [26] say that “Big data is data too big to be handled and analyzed by traditional database protocols such as SQL.”

Many authors [8], [7], [3], [15] use the term 3V (Volume, Variety and Velocity) to characterize the Big Data.

- Volume (Data in rest). Volume is the principal characteristic of big data that refers to the large amount of data generated every minute from several sources.
- Variety (Data in many forms). These data do not have a fixed structure and are rarely presented in a perfectly ordered form to be ready for processing [13].
- Velocity (Data in motion). Velocity means the speed with which data are being generated, produced, created, or refreshed. Big Data helps the company to hold the incoming flow of data and at the same time process it fast. More Vs are defined in [6], [13], [9] to describe the other characteristics of big data.

B. NOSQL

The relational database systems deal with structured data, where data are manipulated with the standard language SQL (Structured Query Language). However, due to the growth of the different varieties of format, new database systems must be developed to handle the problem of unstructured and scalable data. NoSQL (Not Only SQL) [18] was proposed to deals with non-relational databases systems, that are schema-free, scalable and BASE property (does not support full ACID property). NoSQL [12] has four principal categories: key-value databases, column-based, document-based and graph-oriented databases. In this work we focus on NOSQL oriented document.

C. Association Rule Mining

The association rule is one of the interesting techniques of data mining that has captured the attention of many researchers in different domains. This technique permits to find intelligible rules through a large set of data.

Considering a transactional database D, an association rule X \(\rightarrow\) Y, where X and Y are two itemsets and X \(\cap\) Y = \(\emptyset\). An item set is composed of database’s attributes; this...
item is frequent if its support is higher than a defined minimum support. A rule is strong if its confidence is greater than a minimum confidence specified by a user.

There are two steps for extraction of the association rule mining:

1. The first step consists of finding frequent itemsets: APRIORI [1], FP-growth [16], Close [14] etc.
2. In the second step strong rules are defined from the generated frequent itemsets.

Even if the algorithm for extracting association rules seems simple and easy to implement, the first step is computationally intensive due to the phenomenal number of generated itemsets.

While the itemsets are generated the entire database is scanned every time. This means that the execution time is increased according to the number of transactions [5]. The purpose of the paper is to improve the Apriori algorithm by reducing the number of scan of the transactions.

Parallelism of item sets generating algorithms can play an important role in improving the execution time. This is well solved with map reduce [10, 11].

D. MapReduce

MapReduce [4] is a computational model that allows us to divide a big problem to smaller problems in parallel in order to speed up the time process.

A MapReduce algorithm is a programming model that will implement 2 phases, the mapping phase and the reducing phase. The map phase consists of a treatment that takes input data and maps it to <key, value> pairs. The reduce phase takes all the <key,value> with the same key and made a process on it.

The example in Fig. 1 illustrates how MapReduce works [24]. First, the input text file is split row by row. Each row will be “mapped” by a host. The mapping step is going to produce many associations of <key, value> pairs, in the above example the key is the word and the value is “1”.

After, the produced <key, value> pairs are sorted according to the key. This is the most complicated part, but fortunately it is made by the MapReduce library.

Finally, a “reduce” task is performed by each node by counting all the <key, value> pairs which have the same key. The final result represents the number of instances of each word in the file text.

III. RELATED WORKS

In the literature the association rule minings are widely used in distributed systems and they have to be reviewed to deal with unstructured and scalable data [17], [25]. However, we found an interesting study of [2] which is close to our work. APRIORI is one of the implemented algorithms used by the authors. They use a cluster of 5 nodes of Hadoop/MapReduce. They also explore NoSQL databases oriented document by using MongoDB. Thus, Bson documents are used to store item sets with their support. The main steps are presented as:

1. The first step is to find L_1, which basically counts the occurrence of each item in the transactional database.
   a. In Map Task, each document will input to the map (Key, Value) function. The key is items, and the value is one.
   b. The Reduce Task, after shuffling and sorting the data, is based on keys In the Reduce (Key, Value []) function, the key is the item, and the value is a list of counts of that item. The Reduce task will iterate over the value and output the final sum.
2. In the second step, an auto join of L_1 * L_1 is required to build L_2. This can be done in Map task. Reduce task will find the final sum of the items, and filter them based on minimum support.
3. For a step K, an auto join of L_{k-1} * L_{k-1} is required to build L_k
4. Step K is repeated until L_k is empty.

Our main idea is to review the process of generating frequent item sets in order to improve the execution time. In the next section, we will present in details our proposition.

IV. METHODOLOGY

In this section we present our algorithm and how we can ameliorate the classical Apriori algorithm.

A. Apriori and MapReduce

Our main idea is to ameliorate the algorithm APRIORI by reducing the time consumed for the item sets generating process. For this we propose to reduce the number of
transactions to be analyzed.

**Proposed algorithm:**
// for a transnational database T, a transaction is identified by an ID
**input:** ID_transaction, minsup
**output:** K-frequent itemsets

- First step consists of generating L1 (1-itemsets, support, ID_transaction). An itemset is defined with all the id of transactions where it appears. The support of itemset is calculated by a complete scan of the transnational database T. The Itemset that has a support greater than MinSup is retained for the next step.
- The second step consists of generating the itemset candidate L2 by an auto join of L1 * L1 to build 2-itemset candidates (Ix,Iy) where Ix and Iy are itemset candidates of L2. Before scanning all the transactions for calculating the L2 candidate's support, we use the set L1 to get the ID_transaction with the minimal support between Ix and Iy. This permits to limit the size of L2 by scanning only a subset of transactions.
- For step k, we use the Lk-1 list to generate the K-itemset candidates and the corresponding transactions to be scanned.
- We repeat this step until no more frequent itemsets can be found.

**B. Proposed Algorithm**

**Pseudo code**

- **Notation:**
  - TDB: transactional database
  - TID: ID of a transaction
  - t: transaction
  - Ck: Candidate itemset of size k
  - Lk: frequent itemset of size k
  - L1= {frequent items};
  - Join Step: Ck is generated by joining Lk-1 with itself
  - Prune Step: Any (k-1)-itemset that is not frequent cannot be a subset of a frequent k-itemset

/**map reduce operation consists on generating itemsets, support and the ID of transaction to be scanned (TID)**

**First step:** map reduce operation on items of size 1

**Map operation:**
L1 = find 1-itemsets;
return (1-itemsets, {TID});

**Reduce operation:**
count = 0;
For each transaction t in TDB do
increment the count of all 1-itemsets that are contained in t
if count>= MinSup then return (1-itemsets, (count, {TID}))
end;

**Second step:** map reduce operation on items of size k

**Map operation:**
For (k= 1; Lk-1≠Ø; k++) do
begin
  tab={}; //list of transactions to be scanned
  Ck+1=candidates generated from Lk;
  x = find_item_min_sup( Ck+1, Lk);
  trans = find_TID (x);
  add trans to tab;

  for each transaction t in tab do
    if k+1-itemsets in Ck+1 then return (k+1-itemset, TID);
  end;

  Reduce operation:
  count = 0;
  For each transaction t in tab do
    increment the count of all k-itemsets that are contained in t
    if count>= MinSup then return (k-itemsets, (count, {TID}))
  end;

**V. IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS**

In this section we present the tools and the datasets used to implement our algorithm. We realized experiments to compare our approach to the common frequent itemset search algorithm. The obtained results of different experimentation are presented to show the effectiveness of our work.

**A. Environment**

We implement our work on a virtual machine with VMware Workstation 12 Player [19] having the following configurations:

- **Operating system:** Ubuntu 14.04 LTS is used with 2 Go RAM and 30 Go disk space.
- **Hadoop:** Hadoop 2.6.5 [22] was installed over Ubuntu and set up with a Single Node Cluster.
- **Eclipse:** Algorithms are developed in Java by using the IDE Eclipse version 3.8.1 [20].
- **MongoDB:** MongoDB [23] is an open source, document-oriented, schema free database that stores data as a BSON (Binary Simple Object Notion) document which is a binary encoded format of JSON. Each database may have multiple collections. Each collection may have many documents. MongoDB architecture has three core components: Mongod process handles data request and manages the underlying data format and data store.

**B. Datasets**

To experiment our work, we used two datasets of frequent mining itemsets [21]:

- **Retail**: contains the (anonymized) retail market basket data from an anonymous Belgian retail store. There are 88 161 transactions and each item represent the Id of the products.
- **Kosarak**: contains (anonymized) click-stream data of a Hungarian on-line news portal. It has 900 000 transactions and each item represent the ID user.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>#items</th>
<th>#transactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>16 470</td>
<td>88 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosarak</td>
<td>41 270</td>
<td>900 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The datasets used for our experiments are prepared to be ready for applying algorithms of generating frequent itemsets. The file of the transactional database is shown in Fig. 2 where each line contains items separated by a space.
C. MongoDB

In order to analyze the datasets, transactional databases must be imported to MongoDB. For this, the « mongoimport » command is executed in MongoDB to import JSON, CSV or TSV files.

Fig. 3 shows the import of the file retail. The collection is named retail where each line represents a unique id named ObjectId with the items of the transaction.

D. Comparative Study

In this section, we present the result of different experiments.

Experiment 1

In this we use the transactional database Retail, we vary the minimal support and we fix the minimal confidence to 0.1.

- Execution Time vs Minsup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min_sup</th>
<th>execution time (min) Classical Apriori</th>
<th>Proposed Apriori</th>
<th>gain %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72.72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of implementing of classical and ameliorated Apriori are presented in Table II and Fig. 4.

Table II shows that the improved Apriori reduces the execution time required for the original Apriori by 90.26% when the minimum support is equal to 1000 and by 72.72% when the Minsup is equal to 3000. So we notice that when the value of the minimum support decreases, the rate of reduction of time increases, which shows that our approach is effective.
As we see in Fig. 4, the time required for the proposed Apriori is less than the classical Apriori. Moreover, the execution time is reduced when the minimum support value decreases.

- **Number of Scanned Transactions**

In the classical Apriori, for each step of generating item sets candidates, all transactions are scanned to determine the frequent ones. However, our proposed algorithm will scan only the required transactions for generating frequent item sets as shown in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min_sup</th>
<th>Classical Apriori</th>
<th>Proposed Apriori</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>352644</td>
<td>212750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>352644</td>
<td>204459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>264483</td>
<td>188402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>264483</td>
<td>183783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>264483</td>
<td>175357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 5 Number of scan](image)

As shown in Fig. 5 the number of scanned transactions is significantly reduced in the proposed algorithm, because all transactions are scanned in the classical Apriori while only the required transactions are used to determine the frequent item sets.

**Experiment 2**

The main objective of this experiment is to compare the performances of our algorithm and the Apriori algorithm when the number of transactions becomes huge. So when the number of transactions grows the extraction of item sets becomes computational. The classical Apriori scan all transactions to generate the itemsets, but in the proposed algorithm only a set of transactions is scanned.

For this we use the transactional database Kosarak and we fix the number of support to 20000 and the minimum confidence to 0.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>number of transactions</th>
<th>Classical Apriori</th>
<th>Proposed Apriori</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700 000</td>
<td>5418.614 90m</td>
<td>8304.6 138m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 000</td>
<td>4449.973 74m</td>
<td>6564.38 109m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 000</td>
<td>1948.292 32m</td>
<td>3525.34 58m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 000</td>
<td>1093.673 18m</td>
<td>3040.478 40m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 000</td>
<td>171.028 2m</td>
<td>1413.346 24m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table IV, the proposed algorithm takes much less time than the classical Apriori algorithm. For example, a database that contains 700,000 transactions, the Apriori algorithm takes 138 minutes while the proposed algorithm takes only 90 minutes.

![Fig. 6 Comparative result](image)

In Fig. 6, we can see that the consumed time of the proposed algorithm is less than the time taken by the classical algorithm of Apriori, and the difference increases more and more when the size of the database increases.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

In this paper we studied the problem of association rule mining generation with NOSQL databases under a big data environment. The main goal of this paper is to improve the phase of discovery of frequent itemsets; we studied the Apriori algorithm and discussed its limits in order to propose a solution that reduces the time consumed for execution.

We used Hadoop Framework to experiment our work, and we choose NoSQL document-oriented databases. After the implementation of the two algorithms, many experiments were presented to compare the execution time of each algorithm, the obtained results show a significant gain in the proposed algorithm compared to the classical Apriori algorithm.

As future works, we can study the performances of our algorithm with other algorithms of extracting frequent itemsets.
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