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Abstract—The main objective of this experimental study is to assess the shear strength and the crack behavior of the triplets built of perforated brickwork masonry elements. In order to observe the influence of shear resistance and energy dissipating before and after retrofitting applications by using the reinforcing system, static-cyclic shear tests were employed in the structural mechanics laboratory of Sakarya University. The reinforcing system is composed of hybrid multiaxial seismic fabric consisting of alkali resistant glass and polypropylene fibers. The plaster as bonding material used in the specimen’s retrofitting consists of expanded glass granular. In order to acquire exact measuring data about the failure behavior of the two mortar joints under shear stressing, vertical load-controlled cylinder having force capacity of 50 kN and loading rate of 1.5 mm/min. with an internal inductive displacement transducers is carried out perpendicular to the triplet specimens. In this study, a total of six triplet specimens with textile reinforcement were prepared for these shear bond tests. The three of them were produced as single-sided reinforced triplets with seismic fabric, while the others were strengthened on both sides. In addition, three triplet specimens without retrofitting and plaster were also tested as reference samples. The obtained test results were given in the manner of force-displacement relationships, ductility coefficients and shear strength parameters comparatively. It is concluded that two-side seismic textile applications on masonry elements with relevant plaster have considerably increased the shear force resistance and the ductility capacity.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The considerable number of old masonry structures used for housing in Anatolia cities, most of which are part of the cultural heritage, are at risk for potential earthquakes due to lack of their ductility capacity and shear resistance. In order to enhance the seismic performance of load-bearing masonry walls and preserve these cultural heritages from the prospective strong ground motions, rehabilitation and retrofitting of unreinforced masonry structures should be a substantial subject in structural engineering. In this context, composite textile materials provide viable, effective solutions for strengthening masonry walls subjected shear stress caused by earthquake inertial forces. Several significant research studies on this subject were accomplished in different parts of the world [1], [2]. Many experimental setups and different wall components were used by researchers to fully understand the response mechanism of the reinforced masonry wall specimens and the crack pattern [3]-[5]. Within the scope of small scale tests, using various test methods, the behavior of brick-mortar interface under shear stresses, strength parameters, and deformability capacity are investigated carefully [6]-[8]. Experimental programs include full-scale specimens prepared for existing wall sizes as well as small-scale test samples [9], [10].

This paper focuses on the mechanical behavior of brickworks masonry walls before and after rehabilitation by using a textile retrofitting system. This system consists of alkali resistant glass-polypropylene fiber and expanded glass granular based plaster. In order to reveal the effect between single and two-sided seismic textile applications on the shear performance of masonry elements with relevant plaster, static-cyclic shear tests were employed on triplet specimens. In this experimental study, the thickness of the plaster layer for single and two-sided applications is considered as 2 cm and 1 cm, respectively.

Non-strengthening specimens named as reference samples are also examined and the results of these tests are compared with those of retrofitted specimens in terms of force-displacement relationships, ductility coefficients, and shear strength parameters.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND TESTING PROCEDURE

In this study, all experimental tests were executed at the structural mechanics laboratory of Sakarya University. A vertical load-controlled cylinder having force capacity of 50 kN and loading rate of 1.5 mm/min. was applied perpendicularly to the triplet specimens to investigate the failure behavior of the two mortar joints under shear stress. Triplet shear tests were conducted on perforated brick blocks with and without seismic retrofitting.

A steel plate with a thickness of 12 mm was situated on the middle brick of the triplet specimen in order to reduce the influence of the bending moment and transmit the load properly to the mortar. For providing the stability of the test setup, the outer two bricks were also supported by two steel L profiles. The results of the experimental study are recorded by a displacement sensor integrated into the load cylinder.

Technical features of perforated bricks are given in the Table I. Each produced test set consists of a triplet of perforated brick blocks with three identical specimens (Fig. 1). Moreover, there are three sets of triplet specimens of which two of them were strengthened by using seismic fabric and its special plaster. The remaining one set of non-strengthening
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samples was evaluated as a reference.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimension</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>19<em>29</em>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption</td>
<td>pcs/m²</td>
<td>22-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Dry Unit Vol. Mass</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Dry Unit Vol. Mass</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Strength</td>
<td>N/mm²</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Conductivity Factor</td>
<td>W/mK</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Resistance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance Category</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plaster and seismic fabric are implemented in two forms: Unilateral (D1G03) and bilateral (D1G04-T). Additionally, the thickness of plaster layer is equal to 2 cm for D1G03 and 1 cm (on each surface) for D1G04-T. The strengthening process is a combination of multi-axis hybrid seismic textile and special adhesive plaster (Fig. 2). This textile material comprises of alkali-resistant glass and polypropylene fibers.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Firstly, the shear capacity was investigated without applying any plaster which was defined as the reference sample of the non-retrofitted masonry specimen. To describe this case, the non-strengthened control specimens are called as D1R00. Due to the fact that there are three specimens for each experiment set, each sample in the set is designated as D1R00-1, D1R00-2, and D1R00-3, respectively. Both initial and final states of failure under triplet shear test for D1R00-1 are given in Fig. 4.

The maximum shear force $F_{max}$ for this sample is obtained as 12200 N. The attained force-displacement relationship is illustrated in Fig. 5.

The shear strength, $f_v$, is calculated according to EN 1052-3 [12] as given in (1).

$$f_v = \frac{F_{max}}{2A}$$

where $F_{max}$ is the maximum value of the shear force and $A$ is the cross sectional area of the joint. Additionally,
characteristic value of the shear strength \( f_{vk} \) is calculated with (2):

\[ f_{vk} = 0.8 \times f_v \]  

(2)

Similarly, triplet shear tests were performed for the D1R00-2 and D1R00-3 and the resulting crack forms are shown in Figs. 6 and 8, respectively.

As can be seen from Figs. 7 and 9, due to lack of ductility, the shear strength of the brick units suddenly decreased and brittle fractures occurred rapidly under stress. These damages are abrupt. The failure surface of specimen exhibits little degradation before the fracture occurs.

In this case, the shear strength, \( f_c \) are calculated as 0.117 MPa and 0.138 MPa by considering the experimentally obtained maximum force of 12920 N and 15316 N, respectively.

In the second stage of the experimental study, the effect of the applied seismic textile and the plaster made of expanded glass granular on the shear capacity of mortar joints was investigated. Hereby, the one-sided retrofitted brick specimen with 20 mm reinforcing layer is named as D1G03 for triplet shear test. Firstly, D1G03-1 was tested. The crack pattern of this specimen can be seen in Fig. 10.

The first cracks on the specimens under shear forces were
experienced in vertical form and occurred in brick-mortar interface. When the imposed load reached close to the shear strength capacity of the specimen, the crack widths increased and the middle block separated from the joint plane.

As shown in Fig. 11, the maximum shear force $F_{\text{max}}$ is obtained as 23033 N and the corresponding shear stress value $f_s$ is calculated as 0.209 MPa for specimen of D1G03-1.

For the D1G03-2 and D1G03-3 specimens; crack patterns, maximum shear loads and strength parameters were similarly determined. The failure mechanism of the test specimens under the vertical load is shown in Figs. 12 and 14. The maximum shear forces of the second and third sample of the D1G03 test set are equal to 24548 N and 29427 N, respectively (Figs. 13 and 15).

The values of $f_s$ are 0.220 MPa and 0.267 MPa for D1G03-2 and D1G03-3, respectively. Single-sided retrofitted triplets exhibited more ductile behavior when compared to the reference samples. Furthermore, it was clearly observed that the unilateral strengthening application significantly increased the shear capacity of the masonry building units. The measured value of $F_{\text{max}}$ is 32611 N for this case. Also, $f_s$ is calculated as 0.296 MPa. The curve of force-displacement relationship for D1G04-T/1 is depicted in Fig. 17.

In the final phase of the study, the above mentioned strengthening system was applied to both surfaces of the three specimens and the results were analyzed comparatively. The thickness of the plaster on each surface was considered as 1 cm. The experiment set was named as D1G04-T. Initial and final states of D1G04-T/1 under shear force were in Fig. 16.
The second and third specimens of the D1G04-T set were also tested. At all specimens in this experiment group, it was obviously seen that the damage started in a similar way to the D1G03 set (Fig. 18).

The $F_{\text{max}}$ values were determined for these specimens as 37544 N and 36394 N, respectively. The shear strengths were calculated as 0.340 MPa and 0.330 MPa, respectively. The experimental shear force-displacement curve for D1G04-T/2 and D1G04-T/3 are illustrated in Figs. 19 and 21.

The failure modes of all plastered specimens were similar due to vertical cracks in the direction of loading, which followed the interface between the bricks and joint mortar (Fig. 20).

Magenes and Morandi [11] calculated the ductility coefficients by idealizing the envelope curve obtained from the experiment with equivalent bilinear curves (Fig. 22). In a similar way, the energy-dissipation capacities of the samples were determined (3), with the force-displacement curves being idealized in this research.

\[ \mu = \frac{\delta u}{\delta \varepsilon} \]  

The strength parameters calculated from the ultimate shear
forces and obtained ductility coefficients of all considered specimens are given in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>fmax</th>
<th>fV</th>
<th>fvk</th>
<th>µ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1R00-1</td>
<td>12200</td>
<td>0.11MPa</td>
<td>0.088MPa</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1R00-2</td>
<td>12920</td>
<td>0.117MPa</td>
<td>0.094MPa</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1R00-3</td>
<td>15316</td>
<td>0.138MPa</td>
<td>0.11MPa</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1G03-1</td>
<td>23032</td>
<td>0.209MPa</td>
<td>0.167MPa</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1G03-2</td>
<td>24548</td>
<td>0.220MPa</td>
<td>0.176MPa</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1G03-3</td>
<td>29400</td>
<td>0.267MPa</td>
<td>0.214MPa</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1G04-T/1</td>
<td>32611</td>
<td>0.296MPa</td>
<td>0.237MPa</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1G04-T/2</td>
<td>37544</td>
<td>0.340MPa</td>
<td>0.272MPa</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1G04-T/3</td>
<td>36394</td>
<td>0.330MPa</td>
<td>0.264MPa</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is easy to see from the obtained ductility coefficients in Table III, D1G04-T coded samples exhibit a more ductile behavior compared to the other set of experiments (D1G03) and reference samples are insufficient in terms of load bearing capacity, shear strength and energy dissipation. The results of all triplet shear tests performed were compared in Fig. 23.

![Fig. 23 Comparison of force-displacement diagrams](image)

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, the mechanical behaviors of brickwork specimens strengthened by seismic textile were investigated by triplet shear tests and compared with non-strengthening samples. It was emphasized that the earthquake performance of masonry structures can be improved by simple strengthening techniques, such as seismic fabric with expanded glass granular based plaster. At the end of all applied experiments, mechanical characteristics and failure mechanisms of brickwork masonry specimens after and before retrofitting were analyzed. The effects of seismic textile and relevant plaster on energy dissipation were also determined. Performances of strengthening with different plaster thickness were compared in terms of shear strength, ductility, and mechanism of failure.

The obtained results of the experimental investigations are given with respect to force-displacement curves comparatively for all considered test specimens. It is concluded that the single-sided reinforcing application provided enhancement in shear resistance of 68% and improvement in deformability capacity of 19%. However, two-sided reinforcing provided remarkable additional strength up to 168% and increase in ductility of 46%.

**REFERENCES**


