World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences
Vol:8, No:5, 2014

Stability Criteria for Neural Networks with Two Additive Time-varying Delay Components

Qingqing Wang, Shouming Zhong

Open Science Index, Mathematical and Computational Sciences Vol:8, No:5, 2014 publications.waset.org/9999510/pdf

Abstract—This paper is concerned with the stability problem with two additive time-varying delay components. By choosing one augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional, using some new zero equalities, and combining linear matrix inequalities (LMI) techniques, two new sufficient criteria ensuring the global stability asymptotic stability of DNNs is obtained. These stability criteria are present in terms of linear matrix inequalities and can be easily checked. Finally, some examples are showed to demonstrate the effectiveness and less conservatism of the proposed method.

Keywords—Neural networks, Globally asymptotic stability, LMI approach, Additive time-varying delays.

I. Introduction

IN the past few decades, neural networks have found a way into many engineering and scientific areas such as model identification, optimization problem and pattern recognition. The existence of time delay may cause instability and oscillation of neural networks. Since stability is an important property to many systems, much effort has been done to analysis the stability problem of of neural networks with time delay [1-20].

It is known that, according to dependence on the size of the delays, the stability criteria for delayed neural networks can be classified into two types: delay-independent stability criteria [1-3] and delay-dependent stability criteria [4-25]. Generally speaking, the later one has less conservatism than the former one, especially when the delay size is small [23,24]. [26] point out that in some situations, signals transmissions may experience a few segments of networks. Since the conditions of networks transmission may be different, it can possibly induce successive delays with different properties. In [26] the model of neural networks with two additive time-varying delays. By constructing a new Lyapunov functional and using a convex polyhedron method to estimate the derivative of the Lyapunov functional,some new delay-dependent stability criteria are derived in [27,28].

In this paper, the problem of stability criteria of neural networks with two additive time-varying delays has been investigated. By choosing new Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional which contains some new integral terms and establishing some new zero equalities, two new sufficient criteria ensuring the global stability asymptotic stability of DNNs is obtained. Finally, some examples are showed to demonstrate the effectiveness and less conservatism of the proposed method.

Qingqing Wang and Shouming Zhong are with the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, PR China.

Shouming Zhong is with Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, PR China.

(e-mail address: wangqqchenbc@163.com).

II. Problem statement

Consider a class of delay neural networks described by the following equation:

$$\dot{x}(t)=-Ax(t)+Bg(x(t))+Dg(x(t-d_1(t)-d_2(t)))+\mu \tag{1}$$

where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)]^T \in R^n$ is the neuron state vector. $g(x(t)) = [g_1(x_1(t)), g_2(x_2(t)), \ldots, g_n(x_n(t))]^T$ denotes the neuron activation function, and a constant input vector $\mu = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n]^T$.$A = diag\{a_i\}$ with $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. $B, D \in R^{n\times n}$ are the connection weight matrix and the delayed connection weight matrix,respectively. The following assumptions are adopted throughout the paper.

Assumption 1: The delay $d_1(t), d_2(t)$ are time-varying continuous function and satisfy:

$$0 \le d_1(t) \le d_1,\ \dot{d}_1(t) \le \mu_1,\ 0 \le d_2(t) \le d_2,\ \dot{d}_2(t) \le \mu_2. \tag{2}$$

where d_1, d_2 and μ_1, μ_2 are constants.we denote

$$d(t) = d_1(t) + d_2(t), d = d_1 + d_2, \mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 \tag{3}$$

Assumption 2: Each neuron activation function $g_i(\cdot), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,in (1) satisfies the following condition:

$$0 \le \frac{g_i(\alpha) - g_i(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \le l_i, \forall \alpha, \beta \in R, \alpha \ne \beta \tag{4}$$

where $l_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ are constants,and denote matrix $L = diag\{l_i\}$.

Based on Assumption 1-2, it can be easily proven that there exists one equilibrium point for (1) by Brouwer's fixed-point theorem. Assuming that $x^* = [x_1^*, x_2^*, \ldots, x_n^*]^T$is the equilibrium point of (1) and using the transformation $z(\cdot) = x(\cdot) - x^*$,system (1) can be converted to the following system :

$$\dot{z}(t)=-Az(t)+Bf(z(t))+Df(z(t-d_1(t)-d_2(t))) \tag{5}$$

where $z(t) = [z_1(t), z_2(t), \ldots, z_n(t)]^T, f(z(t)) = [f_1(z_1(t)), f_2(z_2(t)), \ldots, f_n(z_n(t))]^T, f_i(z_i(\cdot)) = g_i(x_i(\cdot)+x_i^*)-g_i(x_i^*), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$

From $Eq.(4)$,$f_i(\cdot)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$0 \le \frac{f_i(\alpha)}{\alpha} \le l_i, \forall \alpha \ne 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{6}$$

Open Science Index, Mathematical and Computational Sciences Vol:8, No:5, 2014 publications.waset.org/9999510/pdf

Lemma 1 [29]. For any constant matrix $P = P^T > 0$ and $0 \leq h_1 < h_2$ such that the following integrations are well defined, then

$$-h_{12}\int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} x^T(s)Px(s)ds \leq -(\int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} x(s)ds)^T P(\int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} x(s)ds) \tag{7}$$

where $h_{12} = h_1 - h_2$.

Lemma 2 [30].Let $\zeta \in R^n, \Gamma = \Gamma^T \in R^{n\times n}$, and $B \in R^{m\times n}$ such that $rank(G) < n$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1)\ \zeta^T\Gamma\zeta < 0,\ G\zeta = 0, \zeta \neq 0, \\ &(2)\ (G^{\perp})^T\Gamma G^{\perp} < 0, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $G^{\perp}$ is a right orthogonal complement of G.

III. Main results

In this section,a new Lyapunov functional is constructed and a less conservative delay-dependent stability criterion is obtained.

Theorem 1 Given that the Assumption 1-2 hold, the system (5) is globally asymptotic stability if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $P, Q_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 7, \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ * & G_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, $R_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$,positive diagonal matrices $\Lambda = diag\{\lambda_i\}$, T_1, T_2,and any symmetric matrix $S_1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, such that the following LMIs hold:

$$(\Gamma^{\perp})^T\Omega\Gamma^{\perp} < 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 & S_i \\ * & \frac{d_1}{2}R_2 \end{bmatrix} > 0,\ \ i = 1, 2 \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_3 & S_i \\ * & \frac{d_2}{2}R_4 \end{bmatrix} > 0,\ \ i = 3, 4 \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_5 & S_i \\ * & \frac{d}{2}R_6 \end{bmatrix} > 0,\ \ i = 5, 6 \tag{12}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} -A & O_{n\times 6n} & B & D \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} & 0 & \frac{R_6}{2} & 0 & \frac{R_2}{2} & 0 & \Omega_{17} & \Omega_{18} & \Omega_{19} \\ * & \Omega_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_2L \\ * & * & \Omega_{33} & 0 & -G_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Omega_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Omega_{55} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Omega_{66} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Omega_{77} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Omega_{88} & \Omega_{89} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Omega_{99} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{11} &= -PA - AP + Q_1 + Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5 + Q_6 + Q_7 \\ &\quad + G_{11} + d_1R_1 + d_2R_3 + dR_5 - S_1 - S_3 - S_5 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(R_2 + R_4 + R_6) + A^T\bar{R}A \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega_{17} = \frac{R_4}{2} + G_{12}$$

$$\Omega_{18} = PB - A\Lambda - A^T\bar{R}B + T_1L$$

$$\Omega_{19} = PD - A^T\bar{R}D$$

$$\Omega_{22} = -(1-\mu)Q_1 + S_5 - S_6$$

$$\Omega_{33} = -Q_7 - G_{22} + S_6 - \frac{R_6}{2}$$

$$\Omega_{44} = -(1-\mu_1)Q_3 + S_1 - S_2$$

$$\Omega_{55} = -Q_4 - G_{11} + S_2 - \frac{R_2}{2}$$

$$\Omega_{66} = -(1-\mu_2)Q_5 + S_3 - S_4$$

$$\Omega_{77} = -Q_2 + G_{22} + S_4 - \frac{R_4}{2}$$

$$\Omega_{88} = \Lambda B + B^T\Lambda + Q_2 + B^T\bar{R}B - 2T_1$$

$$\Omega_{89} = \Lambda D + B^T\bar{R}D$$

$$\Omega_{99} = -(1-\mu)Q_2 + D^T\bar{R}D - 2T_2$$

$$\bar{R} = d_1^2R_2 + d_2^2R_4 + d^2R_6$$

Proof: Construct a new class of Lyapunov functional candidate as follow:

$$V(z_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} V_i(z_t)$$

with

$$V_1(z_t) = z^T(t)Pz(t) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i\int_0^{z_i(t)} f_i(s)ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(z_t) &= \int_{t-d(t)}^{t}(z^T(s)Q_1z(s) + f^T(z(s))Q_2f(z(s)))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-d_1(t)}^{t} z^T(s)Q_3z(s)ds + \int_{t-d_1}^{t} z^T(s)Q_4z(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-d_2(t)}^{t} z^T(s)Q_5z(s)ds + \int_{t-d_2}^{t} z^T(s)Q_6z(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-d}^{t} z^T(s)Q_7z(s)ds \end{aligned}$$

$$V_3(z_t) = \int_{t-d_1}^{t}\begin{bmatrix} z(s) \\ z(s-d_2) \end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ * & G_{22} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s) \\ z(s-d_2) \end{bmatrix}ds$$

Open Science Index, Mathematical and Computational Sciences Vol:8, No:5, 2014 publications.waset.org/9999510/pdf

$$V_4(z_t) = \int_{-d_1}^{0}\int_{t+\theta}^{t}(z^T(s)R_1z(s) + d_1\dot{z}^T(s)R_2\dot{z}(s))ds + \int_{-d_2}^{0}\int_{t+\theta}^{t}(z^T(s)R_3z(s) + d_2\dot{z}^T(s)R_4\dot{z}(s))ds + \int_{-d}^{0}\int_{t+\theta}^{t}(z^T(s)R_5z(s) + d\dot{z}^T(s)R_6\dot{z}(s))ds$$

Then, taking the time derivative of $V(t)$ with respect to t along the system (5) yield

$$\dot{V}(z_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{4}\dot{V}_i(z_t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_1(z_t) &= 2z^T(t)P\dot{z}(t) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i f_i(z_i(t))\dot{z}_i(t)\\ &= 2z^T(t)P\dot{z}(t) + 2f^T(z(t))\Lambda\dot{z}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_2(z_t) \le\ & z^T(t)(Q_1+Q_3+Q_4+Q_5+Q_6+Q_7)z(t)\\ & + f^T(z(t))Q_2f(z(t)) - z^T(t-d_1)Q_4z(t-d_1)\\ & - (1-\mu)z^T(t-d(t))Q_1z(t-d(t))\\ & - (1-\mu)f^T(z(t-d(t)))Q_2f^T(z(t-d(t)))\\ & - (1-\mu_1)z^T(t-d_1(t))Q_3z(t-d_1(t))\\ & - (1-\mu_2)z^T(t-d_2(t))Q_5z(t-d_2(t))\\ & - z^T(t-d_2)Q_2z(t-d_2) - z^T(t-d)Q_7z(t-d)\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_3(z_t) = &\begin{bmatrix} z(t)\\ z(t-d_2)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12}\\ * & G_{22}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(t)\\ z(t-d_2)\end{bmatrix}\\ &- \begin{bmatrix} z(t-d_1)\\ z(t-d)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12}\\ * & G_{22}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(t-d_1)\\ z(t-d)\end{bmatrix}\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_4(z_t) = & z^T(t)(d_1R_1 + d_2R_2 + dR_5)z(t) + \dot{z}(t)\bar{R}\dot{z}(t)\\ & - \int_{t-d_1}^{t}(z^T(s)R_1z(s) + d_1\dot{z}(s)R_2\dot{z}(s))ds\\ & - \int_{t-d_2}^{t}(z^T(s)R_3z(s) + d_2\dot{z}(s)R_4\dot{z}(s))ds\\ & - \int_{t-d}^{t}(z^T(s)R_5z(s) + d\dot{z}(s)R_6\dot{z}(s))ds\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Using Lemma 1, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&- d_1\int_{t-d_1}^{t}\dot{z}^T(s)\frac{R_2}{2}\dot{z}(s)ds \le\\ &- (z(t)-z(t-d_1))^T\frac{R_2}{2}(z(t)-z(t-d_1))\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&- d_2\int_{t-d_2}^{t}\dot{z}^T(s)\frac{R_4}{2}\dot{z}(s)ds \le\\ &- (z(t)-z(t-d_2))^T\frac{R_4}{2}(z(t)-z(t-d_2))\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&- d\int_{t-d}^{t}\dot{z}^T(s)\frac{R_6}{2}\dot{z}(s)ds \le\\ &- (z(t)-z(t-d))^T\frac{R_6}{2}(z(t)-z(t-d))\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The following six zero equalities with any symmetric matrix $S_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ are considered:

$$\begin{aligned}&z^T(t)S_1z(t) - z^T(t-d_1(t))S_1z(t-d_1(t))\\ &\qquad -2\int_{t-d_1(t)}^{t}z^T(s)S_1\dot{z}(s) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&z^T(t-d_1(t))S_2z(t-d_1(t)) - z^T(t-d_1)S_2z(t-d_1)\\ &\qquad -2\int_{t-d_1}^{t-d_1(t)}z^T(s)S_2\dot{z}(s) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&z^T(t)S_3z(t) - z^T(t-d_2(t))S_3z(t-d_2(t))\\ &\qquad -2\int_{t-d_2(t)}^{t}z^T(s)S_3\dot{z}(s) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&z^T(t-d_2(t))S_4z(t-d_2(t)) - z^T(t-d_2)S_4z(t-d_2)\\ &\qquad -2\int_{t-d_2}^{t-d_2(t)}z^T(s)S_4\dot{z}(s) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&z^T(t)S_5z(t) - z^T(t-d(t))S_5z(t-d(t))\\ &\qquad -2\int_{t-d(t)}^{t}z^T(s)S_5\dot{z}(s) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&z^T(t-d(t))S_6z(t-d(t)) - z^T(t-d)S_6z(t-d)\\ &\qquad -2\int_{t-d}^{t-d(t)}z^T(s)S_6\dot{z}(s) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

From (6), we can get that there exist positive diagonal matrices T_1, T_2, such that the following inequalities holds:

$$-2f^T(z(t))T_1f(z(t)) + 2z^T(t)T_1Lf(z(t)) \ge 0 \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&-2f^T(z(t-d(t)))T_2f(z(t-d(t)))\\ &+2z^T(t-d(t))T_2Lf(z(t-d(t))) \ge 0\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

From (13)-(27),we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(z_t) \le\ & \xi^T(t)\Omega\xi(t) - \int_{t-d_1(t)}^{t}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} R_1 & S_1\\ * & \frac{d_1}{2}R_2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}ds\\ & - \int_{t-d_1}^{t-d_1(t)}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} R_1 & S_2\\ * & \frac{d_1}{2}R_2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}ds\\ & - \int_{t-d_2(t)}^{t}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} R_3 & S_3\\ * & \frac{d_2}{2}R_4\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}ds\\ & - \int_{t-d_2}^{t-d_2(t)}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} R_3 & S_4\\ * & \frac{d_2}{2}R_4\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}ds\\ & - \int_{t-d(t)}^{t}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} R_5 & S_5\\ * & \frac{d}{2}R_6\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}ds\\ & - \int_{t-d}^{t-d(t)}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}^T\begin{bmatrix} R_5 & S_6\\ * & \frac{d}{2}R_6\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z(s)\\ \dot{z}(s)\end{bmatrix}ds\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Open Science Index, Mathematical and Computational Sciences Vol:8, No:5, 2014 publications.waset.org/9999510/pdf

where

$$\xi^T(t) = [z^T(t), z^T(t-d(t)), z^T(t-d), z^T(t-d_1(t)), z^T(t-d_1), z^T(t-d_2(t)), z^T(t-d_2), f^T(z(t)), f^T(z(t-d(t)))]^T$$

By Lemma 2, $\xi^T(t)\Omega\xi(t) < 0$ with $\Gamma\xi(t) = 0$ is equivalent to $(\Gamma^{\perp})^T\Omega\Gamma^{\perp} < 0$.Therefore, if LMIs (9)-(12) hold, we can obtain $\dot{V}(z_t) < 0$. then the neural networks (5) is asymptotically stable. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 1 Theorem 1 require the upper bound μ_1, μ_2 of time-delay $d_1(t), d_2(t)$ to be known. if μ_1, μ_2 is unknown, by setting $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_3 = Q_5 = 0$ in $V_2(z_t)$ and employing same methods in Theorem 1, we can derive the delay-dependent and delay-derivative-dependent stability criteria.

Remark 2 It is noted that a novel term $V_4(z_t)$ is included in the Lyapunov functional $V(z_t)$, which plays an important role in reducing conservativeness of our results.

Theorem 2 Given that the Assumption 1-2 hold, the system (5) is globally asymptotic stability if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ * & G_{22} \end{bmatrix}, R_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6,$ P, Q_4, Q_6, Q_7,positive diagonal matrices $\Lambda = diag\{\lambda_i\}, T_1,$ T_2,and any symmetric matrix $S_1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, such that the following LMIs hold:

$$(\Gamma^{\perp})^T\Phi\Gamma^{\perp} < 0 \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 & S_i \\ * & \frac{d_1}{2}R_2 \end{bmatrix} > 0, \ \ i = 1, 2 \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_3 & S_i \\ * & \frac{d_2}{2}R_4 \end{bmatrix} > 0, \ \ i = 3, 4 \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_5 & S_i \\ * & \frac{d}{2}R_6 \end{bmatrix} > 0, \ \ i = 5, 6 \tag{32}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} -A & O_{n\times 6n} & B & D \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{11} & 0 & \frac{R_6}{2} & 0 & \frac{R_2}{2} & 0 & \Phi_{17} & \Phi_{18} & \Phi_{19} \\ * & \Phi_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_2L \\ * & * & \Phi_{33} & 0 & -G_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Phi_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Phi_{55} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Phi_{66} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Phi_{77} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Phi_{88} & \Phi_{89} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Phi_{99} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{11} = -PA - AP + Q_4 + Q_6 + Q_7 + G_{11} + d_1R_1 + d_2R_3 + dR_5 - S_1 - S_3 - S_5 + A^T\bar{R}A - \frac{1}{2}(R_2 + R_4 + R_6)$$

$$\Phi_{17} = \frac{R_4}{2} + G_{12}, \ \Phi_{18} = PB - A\Lambda - A^T\bar{R}B + T_1L$$

$$\Phi_{19} = PD - A^T\bar{R}D, \ \Phi_{22} = S_5 - S_6$$

$$\Phi_{33} = -Q_7 - G_{22} + S_6 - \frac{R_6}{2}, \ \Phi_{44} = S_1 - S_2$$

$$\Phi_{55} = -Q_4 - G_{11} + S_2 - \frac{R_2}{2}, \ \Phi_{66} = S_3 - S_4$$

$$\Phi_{77} = -Q_2 + G_{22} + S_4 - \frac{R_4}{2}$$

$$\Phi_{88} = \Lambda B + B^T\Lambda + Q_2 + B^T\bar{R}B - 2T_1$$

$$\Phi_{89} = \Lambda D + B^T\bar{R}D, \ \Phi_{99} = D^T\bar{R}D - 2T_2$$

$$\bar{R} = d_1^2R_2 + d_2^2R_4 + d^2R_6$$

Proof: The proof of the Theorem 2 is consequence of Theorem 1 by choosing $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_3 = Q_5 = 0$ in $V(z_t)$. Hence the proof is omitted. ■

TABLE I
ADMISSIBLE UPPER BOUND d_2 FOR DIFFERENT d_1 WITH $\mu_1 = 0.7$ AND $\mu_2 = 0.1$.

Method	$d_1 = 0.8$	$d_1 = 1$	$d_1 = 1.2$
[26]	0.8831	0.6832	0.4843
[27]	1.5666	1.3668	1.1664
[31]	0.8831	0.6831	0.4831
Theorem 1	2.0214	1.9275	1.7816

TABLE II
ADMISSIBLE UPPER BOUND d_1 FOR DIFFERENT d_2 WITH $\mu_1 = 0.7$ AND $\mu_2 = 0.1$.

Method	$d_2 = 0.8$	$d_2 = 1$	$d_2 = 1.2$
[26]	2.1564	1.6464	1.4365
[27]	2.6928	2.2389	2.0639
[31]	1.5831	1.4831	1.3831
Theorem 1	3.0768	2.9013	2.5612

IV. EXAMPLE

In this section,we provide a numerical examples to demonstrate the effectiveness and less conservatism of our delay-dependent stability criteria.

Example 1 Consider the system (5) with the following parameters:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 0.88 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$f_1(s) = 0.4\tanh(s), f_2(s) = 0.8\tanh(s), L = diag\{0.4, 0.8\}$.

According to Table I and Table II,we can see that Theorem 1 in our paper can indeed provide much larger admissible upper bounds than the stability criteria in [26,27,31]. In Table III, we consider the other case with different d_2, unknown μ_1, μ_2, according to this Table,we can see this example shows that the stability condition gives much less conservative results in this paper.

TABLE III
ADMISSIBLE UPPER BOUND d_1 FOR DIFFERENT d_2 WITH UNKNOWN μ_1, μ_2.

Method	$d_2 = 0.8$	$d_2 = 1$	$d_2 = 1.2$
Theorem 2	2.3147	2.02160	1.9856

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the problem of stability analysis for delayed neural networks with two additive time-varying delay components has been investigated. By choosing new Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional, using some new zero equalities, and combining linear matrix inequalities (LMI) techniques, two new sufficient criteria ensuring the global stability asymptotic stability of DNNs is obtained. Finally, some examples are given to show the effectiveness of our obtained criteria.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments which have led to a much improved paper.This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2010CB32501).

REFERENCES

[1] T.L. Liao, F.C. Wang, Global stability for cellular neural networks with time delay, IEEE Trans, Neural Networks 11(6)(2000)1481-1484.
[2] S.Arik, Global asymptotic stability of a larger class of neural networks with constant time delay, Phys. Lett. A 311(2002)504-511.
[3] T. Chen, L Rong. Delay-independent stability analysis of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks, Phys. Lett. A 317(2003)436-499.
[4] J.H.Park,O.M.Kwon,Further results on state estimation for neural networks of neutral-type with time-varying delay,App. Math. Comput. 208(2009) 69-57.
[5] Chen Y,Wu Y.Novel delay-dependent stability criteria of neural networks with time-varying delay.Neurocomputing 2009;72:1065-70.
[6] P.L.Liu,Improved delay-dependent robust stability creteria for recurrent neural networks with time-varying delays,ISA Transactions,52 (2013)30-35.
[7] Kwon OM,Park JH,Improved delayed-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with time-varying delays.Physics Letters A 2009;373:528-35.
[8] Tian J K,Zhong S M.Improved delay-dependent stability criterion for neural networks with time-varying delay.Applied Mathematics and Computation 2011;217:10278-88.
[9] X. Liu, C. Dang, Stability analysis of positive switched linear systems with delays, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 56(2011) 1684-1690.
[10] O.M. Kwon, J.H. Park, Delay-dependent stability for uncertain cellular neural networks with discrete and distribute time-varying delays,J.Franklin Inst. 345(2008) 766-778.
[11] X. Liu, Y. Wang, Delay-dependent exponential stability for neural networks with time-varying delays, Phys. Lett. A 373(2009) 4066-4027.
[12] P.G. Park, J.W. Ko, C. Jeong, Reciprocally convex approach to stability of systems with time-varying delays, Automatica 47(2011) 235-238.
[13] S.M. Lee, O.M. Kwon, J.H. Park, A novel delay-dependent criterion for delayed neural networks of neutral type, Phys. Lett. A 374(2010) 1843-1848.
[14] J.H. Park, O.M. Kwon, Synchronization of neural networks of neutral type with stochastic perurbation, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 23(2009) 1743-1751.
[15] K.Gu,A further refinement of discretized Lyapunov functional method for the stability of time-vary systems,Int.J.Control 74(2001)967-976.
[16] S.Lakshmanan, Ju.H. Park, D.H.Ji, H.Y.Jung, G.Nagamani,State estimation of neural networks with time-varying delays and Markovian jumping parameter based on passivity theory, Nonlinear Dyn. 70(2012) 1421-1434.
[17] J. Chen,H. Zhu,S.M. Zhong, G.H. Li, Novel delay-dependent robust stability criteria for neutral systems with mixed time-varying delays and nonlinear perturbations, Appl. Math. Comput. 219(2013) 7741-7753.
[18] D.Yue, C. Peng, G. Y. Tang, Guaranteed cost control of linear systems over networks with state and input quantizations, IEE Proc. Control Theory Appl. 153 (6) (2006) 658-664.
[19] Q. Song, Z. Wang,Neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays:a general stability analysis, Chaos Solitons Fract. 37(2008) 1538-1547.
[20] C.Lien,L.Chung, Global asymptotic stability for cellular neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays, Chaos Solitons Fract 34(2007) 1213-1219.
[21] S. Cui, T. Zhao, J. Guo, Global robust exponential stability for interval neural networks with delay, Chaos Solitons Fractals 42 (3) (2009) 1567C1576.
[22] D. Lin, X. Wang, Self-organizing adaptive fuzzy neural control for the synchronization of uncertain chaotic systems with random-varying parameters, Neurocomputing 74 (12C13) (2011) 2241C2249.
[23] C.Lin, Q.G.Wang,T.H.Lee, A less conservative robust stability test for linear uncertain time-delay systems, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 51(2006)87-91.
[24] K.Gu, V.L.Kharitonov, J.Chen, Stability of Time-Delay System, Birkhauser, Boston, 2003.
[25] J.L. Wang, H.N. Wu, Robust stability and robust passivity of parabolic complex networks with parametric uncertainties and time-varying delays, Neurocomputing 87 (2012) 26C32.
[26] Y.Zhao, H.Gao, S.Mou,Asympotic stability of neural networks with successive time delay components, Neurocomputing 71(2008)2848-2856.
[27] H.Shao, Q.Han, New delay-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with two additive time-varying delay components, IEEETrans. Neural Networks 22(2011)812C818.
[28] J.K.Tian, S.M. Zhong, Improved delay-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with two additive time-varying delay components, Neurocomputing 77(2012)114C119.
[29] K.Gu, Integral inequality in the stability problem of time-delay systems, in: Proceedings of the 39th IEEE Conference on Decsion and Control, Sydney, Australia, 2000.
[30] R.E.Skeiton, T.lwasaki, K.M. Grigoradis, A Unified Algebraic Approach to Linear Control Design, Taylor and Francis, New York, 1997.
[31] Y.He, G.P.Liu, D.Rees, New delay-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with time-varying delay, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 18(1)(2007)310-314.

Qingqing Wang was born in Anhui Province, China,in 1989. She received the B.S. degree from Anqing University in 2012. She is currently pursuing the M.S.degree from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. Her research interests include neural networks, switch and delay dynamic systems.

Shouming Zhong was born in 1955 in Sichuan, China. He received B.S. degree in applied mathematics from UESTC, Chengdu, China, in 1982. From 1984 to 1986, he studied at the Department of Mathematics in Sun Yatsen University, Guangzhou, China. From 2005 to 2006, he was a visiting research associate with the Department of Mathematics in University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada. He is currently as a full professor with School of Applied Mathematics, UESTC. His current research interests include differential equations, neural networks, biomathematics and robust control. He has authored more than 80 papers in reputed journals such as the International Journal of Systems Science, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Acta Automatica Sinica, Journal of Control Theory and Applications, Acta Electronica Sinica, Control and Decision, and Journal of Engineering Mathematics.

Open Science Index, Mathematical and Computational Sciences Vol:8, No:5, 2014 publications.waset.org/9999510/pdf