Influence of Pressure from Compression Textile Bands: Their Using in the Treatment of Venous Human Leg Ulcers

Bachir Chemani, Rachid Halfaoui

Abstract—The aim of study was to evaluate pressure distribution characteristics of the elastic textile bandages using two instrumental techniques: a prototype Instrument and a load Transference. The prototype instrument which simulates shape of real leg has pressure sensors which measure bandage pressure. Using this instrument, the results show that elastic textile bandages presents different pressure distribution characteristics and none produces a uniform distribution around lower limb.

The load transference test procedure is used to determine whether a relationship exists between elastic textile bandage structure and pressure distribution characteristics. The test procedure assesses degree of load, directly transferred through a textile when loads series are applied to bandaging surface. A range of weave fabrics was produced using needle weaving machine and a sewing technique. A textile bandage was developed with optimal characteristics far superior pressure distribution than other bandages. From results, we find that theoretical pressure is not consistent exactly with practical pressure. It is important in this study to make a practical application for specialized nurses in order to verify the results and draw useful conclusions for predicting the use of this type of elastic band.

Keywords-Textile, cotton, pressure, venous ulcers, elastic.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE immobility for prolonged periods, the paralysis and other venous disorders contribute to the formation of leg ulcers. Compression bandaging is the most important single element in the conservative treatment of venous leg ulcers. The application of external compression by means of elasticity bandages serves to increase the velocity of blood flow within the veins by providing support to the calf muscles. The level of pressure exerted on the leg is a function of the tension induced into the compression bandage during application, the layers number used and the limb circumference [1].

Since the pressure produced is inversely proportional to the circumference of the limb then a pressure gradient is formed where higher pressures are exerted on the ankle than on the calf if the bandage is applied at constant tension [2], [3]. However, mis-use of compression bandages have in some instances led to further venous system complications, notably

B. C. is with the Faculty of Engineering Sciences, Department of Engineering Process, Laboratory of Processing and Shaping of Fibrous Materials and Polymers, University M'Hamed BOUGARRA, Boumerdes 35000, Algeria (phone/fax: 213-24-81 91 53; e-mail: chemani_ba@yahoo.fr)

bandage induced ulcers [4]. Such ulcers may occur at the foot, fibula, and tibia regions of the leg where high compression bandage pressures are exerted over a relatively small radius of curvature. In addition, because there is little subcutaneous tissue in this region, the likelihood of further complications is extended. The need to distribute the pressure equally on all points of the lower limb, whilst offering protection to the tibia and fibula regions is extremely important [5]. A variety of bandages are used beneath compression bandages as padding layers in order to evenly distribute pressure and give protection [6]. These include polyurethane foam bandages and nonwoven orthopedic waddings but there is little published material which defines their use for this particular problem or whether they have the performance criteria necessary to provide adequate pressure distribution [7]. In order to distribute compression bandage pressure evenly around the limb it is essential that high pressures created at the tibia and fibula regions are absorbed by the padding bandage material [8]. The load transference test procedure was developed so that a quick assessment of the pressure distribution characteristics of padding bandages can be made. We will also use the Laplace law to theoretically [9], calculate the pressures applied, and then compare the results. This law is applied in many sectors of science, including medicine, to calculate the forces exercised on blood vessels and alveoli filled with fluid. It is necessary to use the conversion of the measuring units of measurement, that is to say, using the units pertaining to each other. According to Laplace's law, the sub-bandage pressure is directly proportional to the voltage of the latter, but inversely proportional to the radius of curvature of the body on which it is applied. In order to use Laplace's law to estimate the pressure under the bandage, it is also necessary to consider two other factors: the width B and number of layer applied N.

The calculated value of the sub-bandage pressure is the average pressure to be exerted by a bandage on one leg whose circumference is known. Calculated pressure values are significantly lower than the measured values, as shown in Fig. 4, and so these results are very useful because it tells us about the behavior of the pressure applied and calculated. This leads us to say that it is therefore very important to pose elastic bands on the patient's limb in an efficient manner, hence the need to train the person responsible for this task. In second place, it has been reported that knowledge about bandages is poor and that sub-bandage pressures are largely inappropriate.

Community nurses care for the majority of patients with leg ulcers and hence it was decided to study the way in which

R. H. is with the Faculty of Engineering Sciences, Department of Engineering Process, Laboratory of Processing and Shaping of Fibrous Materials and Polymers, University M'Hamed BOUGARRA, Boumerdes 35000, Algeria (phone/fax: 213- 24- 91 16 66 ; e-mail: halfaoui@outlook.fr)

community nurses apply bandages, and the extent to which their technique improves after training. It was also intended to test whether the bandage characteristics were a significant factor in aiding bandage application.

The main objective of this research was to produce a bandage material with far superior pressure distribution characteristics.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The final properties of textiles depend more or less on many different technical and technological parameters, which should already be adjusted during the design phase of a textile. The fabric composed to warp yarns and weft, which mechanical properties and physical (count, strength and breaking elongation, fineness, the number of towers, the composition of raw materials, post-processing, etc.), have a significant influence [10], [11].

To predict interfacial pressure induced by different textile structure on a curved surface of the human body, the relationship between the tensile properties of an elastic fabric, the curvature of the shaped surface, and the pressure of the skin and textile interfacial may be formulated into a mathematical expression. Pressure bandage are made from strong elastic fabric containing Lycra. Lycra several types can be used. The different degrees of elasticity and strength of materials with different degrees of tissue tension can induce different degrees of pressure on patients.

The five bandages were given identification codes (MT1, MT2, MT3, MT4 and MT5) prior to pressure distribution testing; a description of the bandages is given in Tables I, II. Throughout this investigation a standard high compression bandage was used in order to exert the required level of pressure 38 mmHg. A constant bandage tension of 450 cN was introduced into the compression bandage during application.

TABLE I MEASURED LOAD DEPENDING THE APPLIED LOAD Applied Measured 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 80 54 43 20 20 200 128 95 67 36 34 300 250 115 90 65 42 400 310 125 67 56 150 500 426 150 75 195 64 600 475 200 165 80 78 700 552 210 198 87 86

An elastic textile fabrics number were produced using weaving needle looms and sewing techniques. These fabrics were subsequently tested on load transference. It was found that the load transference performances of a fabric are reduced as a result of sewing technique. Therefore, a variety of samples were adopted until an optimum bandage will produce. The optimum bandage MT4 composed of Polyester 30%, Lycra 20%, cotton 50%, distributes pressure throughout its

245

320

98

110

92

98

unique structure due to the weaving technique used. Textile fabric and padding is assembled using seam.

A. The Theoretical Method Pressure Calculation

Using the Laplace law to estimate the pressure under the bandage, it is also necessary to take into account two other factors: the bandage width B and the number of layers applied N. The calculated value of sub-bandage pressure is the average pressure to be exerted by a bandage on one leg whose circumference is known. To reduce the pressure variations in a given area under the bandage, padding can be used under compression bandages. Many types of tissues are composed of woven yarn, each thread composed of a plurality of fibers or yarn twisted together. Other, more advanced, the yarn cross sections may be elliptical, lenticular or racetrack.

However, in order to maintain a reasonable degree of simplicity, the banding pressure can be formulated by mathematical expression:

$$Pi - Pe = T_1 / R + T / R$$
(1)

$$P = Pi - Pe = 2T/R$$
 (2)

where: Pi - The internal pressure, Pe -The external pressure, T1 - The positive tension of textile, T2 - The negative tension of textile, R - The curvature radius, T- Tension of bandage.

Equation (2) shows that pressure inside of a spherical surface is always greater than external pressure, but the difference tends towards zero when the radius tends to infinity (when the surface becomes flat). However, pressure difference increases if the radius becomes smaller and tends to infinity as R tends to zero. When the wall is perfectly cylindrical, simply use the following (3).

$$P = T/R \tag{3}$$

This law is applied in many sectors of science, including medicine, to calculate the forces exercised on blood vessels and alveoli filled with fluid. It is necessary to use the measuring unit's conversion of measurement, that is to say, using units pertaining to each other. The most common unit used is Pascal for measuring the pressure and Newton unit as measure of force, but in medical field often used following units. To reduce errors due to measurement units, it is important to consider a constant coefficient of conversion of measurement units *K* and (3) takes following form:

$$P = TK/R \tag{4}$$

$$P = F/2\pi RB$$
 (5)

800

900

675

780

250

375

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Materials and Textile Engineering Vol:8, No:8, 2014

TABLE II

		SA	MPLE OF ELAS	FIC TEXTILE BAN	DAGES USED			
Sample	Comp	osition	Elongation	Relaxed linear	density, yarns/10cm	Weave	Padding bandage	
	Warp yarns, %	Weft yarns,%	%	Weft	Warp	•	material	
MT1	Polyester 42	Cotton	70	75	120	plain	Polyurethane 2	
	Lycra 8	100 %						
	Cotton 50							
MT2	Polyester 38	Cotton	90	90	120	plain	Polyurethane 2	
	Lycra 12	100 %				-	-	
	Cotton 50							
MT3	Polyester 34	Cotton	110	110	120	plain	Polyurethane 2	
	lycra 16	100 %						
	Cotton 50							
MT4	Polyester 30	Cotton	160	120	120	plain	Polyurethane 2	
	lycra 20	100 %				-	-	
	Cotton 50							
MT5	Polyester 34	Cotton	190	135	120	plain	Polyurethane 2	
	lycra 24	100 %						
	Cotton 50							

TABLE III Pressure Distribution Using Prototype Instrument							
Textile pressure using		Leg area					
Theoretical	52.4	30.2	32.6				
Compression MT1	60.9	24.6	49.2				
Compression MT2	49.0	33.6	42.6				
Compression MT3	62.3	33.2	42.8				
Compression MT4	53.2	32.4	34.6				
Compression MT5	48.1	25.2	37.8				

TA	BI	Æ	Г

CALCULATION RESULTS ON FIVE DIFFERENT HUMANS SUBJECTS USING MT5SAMPLE OF ELASTIC TEXTILE BANDAGES USED

Human subject (S)		1			2			3			4			5	
Z	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z1	Z2	Z3
Cm (cm)	31.7	29.3	26.5	33.5	31.1	27.6	31.4	26.1	23.2	31.0	27.1	24.1	33.0	31.8	28.2
Pm (mm Hg)	21.4	21.3	20.5	21.2	20.5	18.6	19.6	23.2	19.4	18.9	20.2	21.3	20.8	21.5	19.5
L IC. Cimeref		1			41 1	Limb () D		1 1	1 (II.) C II			(A1-1 -)	72 (0-16

Legend Cm - Circumference of each measuring location on the low limb (cm). Pm - pressure calculated (mm Hg). S - Human subject: Z1 (Ankle), Z2 (Calf), Z3 (Below knee).

In case of a single bandage layer applied to a member, the pressure is exerted on surface covered by the textile. This pressure will be determined by total force applied to fabric and the width the bandage in relation to the definition of pressure.

Pressure applied is proportional to force on the textile and inversely proportional to application surface. Total tension developed by an elastic band is equal to sum of warp yarn tensions. The result is that number of layers, applied with a constant tension, is proportional to number of warp yarn in a point of application on surface of leg. Thus it is essential to consider number of layers in the calculations.

The original formula for calculating pressure is expressed as (5).

$$P = T.N/LB$$
(6)

To move from one measurement unit to another and to avoid significant errors due to conversion of measurement units, it is useful to consider a constant K in final equation, the equation gives a K value of 4620. So we get the expression [9] follows:

$$P(mmHg) = T(Kgf).N.4620/L(cm).B(cm)$$
(7)

where: P - Pressure (mmHg), T - Tension (Kgf), L - The circumference (cm), B - Width (cm), N - Number of layers applied.

B. The Experimental Study Techniques Pressure's Measuring - Method of Load Transference

The load transference instrumental technique is based on the same type of strain gauge measurement system adopted for the prototype instrument. The load transference test-rig is used in conjunction with a Fabric Thickness Tester (Fig. 1). Different loads of increasing magnitude 0 to 900 cN are applied on to surface of padding bandage single layer material and the degree of load transferred through padding bandage structure is measured by the instrument. To calibrate load transference instrument, a range of known loads 0 to 900 cN with 100 cN intervals were applied via the large contact area diameter 10 cm directly on to smaller contact area diameter 1 cm and the corresponding measured loads were recorded. The relationship between known and measured loads provides the calibration line by which load transference of padding bandages is assessed. When a load is applied onto the surface of a padding bandage material the load proportion which is transferred through material is governed by bandage structure. Constant load transference for each increase in applied load signifies that the padding bandage material has ability to absorb high loads throughout its structure.




Fig. 1 Load Transference Instrument

- Using Prototype Instrument

The prototype instrument (Fig. 2) developed in this work consists of using a mannequin leg which has eight strain gauges located inside the leg so that pressure measurements can be made at ankle, calf and positions below knee. The strain gauges are strategically positioned so that pressure measurements can be made at tibia, front and back of leg. Bandage pressure is detected by means of pressure pins which are connected to each strain gauge and protrude from inside of the leg to outer surface. To determine the pressure distribution characteristics of the bandages on the prototype instrument, one end of the bandage was attached to instrument. The prototype instrument was then rotated so that two layers of the bandage were applied. A double layer of the compression bandage, applied at a constant tension of 450 cN was placed over the padding layers and the pressure was recorded by the pressure sensors.

Each bandage was tested five times at each pressure sensor location and the recordings averaged in order to map the pressure around the instrument. Pressure measurements were taken from the application of the compression bandage to the prototype instrument without any padding layers. These pressure measurements serve to assess the pressure distribution characteristics of the padding bandages. Fig. 2 illustrated a line diagram of the prototype instrument showing location of the pressure sensors numbered from smallest to largest diameter.




Fig. 2 Prototype Instrument

- Practical Application

Five district and practice nurses attended study days on the management of leg ulcers and were recruited as a convenience sample. The pressure produced by the nurses using each of the three selected bandages on the investigator's leg was measured using sensors and an oscillograph recording. Measurement sites were the lateral aspect of the leg, 40 mm above the center of the lateral malleolus and at the widest point of the leg, along a line joining the lateral malleolus and the tibia tuberoses. Training in compression bandaging was provided using feedback from pressure at the first study day. Nurses were also provided with bandages to practice at home. Posttraining bandaging technique was reassessed after two weeks. Immediately after their returning for a second study day, the nurses reapplies all three bandages and the result of subbandage pressures were recorded. The same leg, sensor sites and pressure monitor were used as at initial assessment and results are showed to Table V.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The load transference of all the bandages is shown to Fig. 3. The results demonstrate that bandages exhibit different load transference properties and effectiveness of each bandage is determined by its relative position to calibration line. With textile sample MT1, the load transference line is positioned close to calibration line which indicates that the high degree of known load is directly transferred through bandage structure.

Therefore, the composite bandage is unable to dissipate loads throughout its structure and offers little support to application of known load. The MT2 and MT3 bandages sample exhibit similar load transference performances. The initial load transference, in the known load range 0 to 500 cN, is relatively low. Throughout this range, both bandages have demonstrated their ability to support and distribute load since the degree of load transferred through the structure is minimal.




Fig. 3 Transmitted load depending the applied load

At load range 500 to 900 cN, it can be seen that load transference bandage changes. The degree of load which is transferred through the bandage structure increases indicating that ability to support and distribute load rapidly, diminishes as the known load is increased. With the MT4 and MT5

bandages, the load transferred through the bandage structure remains constant throughout the load range 0 to 900 cN. Therefore, both bandages are able to absorb high loads and dissipate the load through their entire structure while load transferred through is minimal. The pressure distribution characteristics of the bandages obtained using the prototype instrument is shown to Table III.

The theoretical pressures calculated from the leg circumference at ankle, calf, and below knee positions are lower than pressures exerted by the compression bandage when no padding layer was used. High compression bandage pressures are exerted on the tibia. We note importance of prototype instrument, sensors 1 and 3 which results in an uneven pressure distribution at the ankle and below knee positions. However, around circumference of the calf an even pressure distribution maintained since the tibia at this position, is less pronounced and therefore, pressure exerted by the compression bandage is low. The bandages do not produce uniform pressure distributions around circumferences of the ankle, calf, and below knee positions of the prototype instrument.

TABLE V

PRACTICAL PRESSURES OBTAINED									
Bandage	Ankle	Ankle	Below	Below					
	Theor.	Prac.	Knee	knee					
	pressure	pressure	Theor.	Prac.					
	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	pressure	pressure					
			(mmHg)	(mmHg)					
MT1	60.9	24.02	49.2	25.19					
MT2	49.0	29.04	42.6	25.02					
MT3	62.3	29.02	42.8	24.95					

The results show that the MT5 bandage performs better and its application both is reducing the tibia pressures and provides even pressure distributions around circumferences of the ankle, calf, and knee. From the Table IV, we observed the results of calculations to five real pressures on the MT5 elastic band.

If we compare these results with the experiment results on the prototype, we observe that there is some difference, amounting to approximately 60% at the ankle, 5% at the calf and 38% above the knee (Fig. 4).

The main problem with bandaging technique is production of a reverse pressure gradient and ankle pressures are being too high or too low in 20% of bandages.

Thus pressure gradient and ankle pressure will be the dependent variables in the impact analysis of training on bandaging. Pressures obtained according to the bandages applied by nurses are shown to Table V.




Fig. 4 Pressure measured and calculated

The pressures generated by compression bandage must be equal any points in the leg around a given circumference. The application of padding bandages should provide an even pressure distribution by reducing high pressures exerted on tibia and fibula prominences.

The results obtained demonstrate that excessive pressures are not significantly reduced by the bandages investigated in this study. The relationships which exist between large and small curvatures radius of leg are directly responsible for these non-uniform pressure distributions. High pressures are generated over a relatively small radius of curvature.

The instrumental test procedures used in study confirm that bandages have different pressure distribution characteristics. The results show that MT5 bandage is most performance and this can be attributed to its ability to absorb as well as dissipate high compression bandage pressures. This ability is largely determined by the bandage structure governed by arrangement and interaction of the constituent fibers. The MT5 bandage has a better load transference due to its complex structure which consists of a large number of fibers which are formed during weaving. The fibers are positioned perpendicular to the bandage plane within the structure and support loads which are applied onto the bandage surface.

Resistance and support to the applied load is provided by a combination of both number of fiber contained within structure and relative stiffness of each fiber. The overall fiber system of the MT5 bandage is able to provide support to any load within the range to 0-900 cN. A small proportion of applied load is directly transferred through while the remainder is dissipated throughout the bandage structure. This unique property contributes to superior pressure distribution characteristic of this bandage. The MT4 bandage has a similar load transference performance to the MT5 bandage but has inferior pressure distribution characteristic. This can be attributed to its high flexural rigidity which makes it less conformable than fiber-based bandages and is more likely to crease when applied around the limb. Following the result, high compression bandage pressures are generated over areas that have become large. The MT2 and MT3 bandages are able to dissipate low loads throughout their structures.

However, this ability decreases quickly when applied load is increased 500 to 900 cN. A greater proportion load is transferred through the padding bandage. Therefore, the high compression bandage pressures which are generated over a small radius of curvature are not absorbed by the bandages. The band structure MT1 is a little less complex and consists of loose fibers found primarily on horizontal plane of bandage. The application of loads to padding bandage surface culminates in the displacement of the fibers relative to one another. The increase in the load resulting from the large number of fibers displaced which reducing the degree support and a higher proportion of applied load is transferred through while the load dissipated is minimal. The fibers contained within the MT1 bandage form a segregated structure in which individual fibers are not combined to enhance the bandage properties. Resistance and support to applied loads is not provided by this segregated structure since the fibers have no direct inter relationship relative to one another and leads to poor pressure distribution characteristic.

The load transference bandage can be used to determine its pressure distribution characteristics. The bandages having high load transference, as the MT1 bandage, are unable to absorb high compression bandage pressures and produce uniform pressure distributions. In practical the pressures of ankle were lower than calf pressures (p<0.05) for three bandages, the gradient is greater to 1.0. The change in the pressure gradient was achieved by decreasing the calf pressure rather than increasing the ankle pressure. The ankle pressure remained marginally low throughout the study to around 25 to 30 mmHg compared to recommended value 40 mmHg.

IV. CONCLUSION

None of bandages investigated in this work provides uniform pressure distributions around the limb. The structure of bandage is regarded as important factor to producing uniform pressure distribution. The load transference test procedure has shown that each bandage has completely different load transference.

The results confirm that bandage structure has greatly influences overall pressure distribution characteristics. Bandages having good load transference performance are able to absorb and dissipate loads throughout their structure.

The optimum bandage MT5 developed in this study has better performance of load transference than the fiber bandage. These results give a superior pressure distribution characteristic. A limited number of field trials have also been carried out for comparative performance of newly developed bandage MT5 and a commercially available product. Trials have confirmed that the MT5 bandage possesses superior pressure distribution characteristics when applied around lower limb.

The results also indicate that this new bandage structure enables reduction of pressure experienced by the patient on certain parts of the leg and also assists to achieving more uniform pressure distributions.

The nurses perform extremely poorly initially and their technique improved after training. There is no statistically significant difference between numbers of nurses applying the strips correctly.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This topic is carried out within framework of national research projects funded by the Directorate General of Scientific Research and Technological Development

REFERENCES

- H. Van Der Molen, J. H. Kuiper, "Mesure de la compression en thérapeutique phlébologie et notamment de pression permanente efficace après réduction de l'œdème", *Phlébologie*, vol. 13, 2001, pp. 105-112.
- [2] C. J. Moffatt, "Four-Layer Bandaging: From Concept to Practice ' International Journal Low Extrem Wounds.vol.1, n°1, 2002, pp.13-26.
- [3] C. J Moffatt, "Compression therapy in practice Aberdeen" Wounds UK Publishing. 2007.
- [4] X. Zhang, K. W. Yeung, Y. Li, "Numerical Simulation of 3D Dynamic Garment Pressure" *Text Res J.*, vol.72, n°3, 2002, pp. 245-252.
- [5] M. C. Stacey, V. Falanga, W. Marston, C. Moffatt "The use of compression therapy in the treatment of venous leg ulcers". *EWMA Journal*. Vol.2, n°1, 2002, pp. 9-13.
- [6] N. Khokar "3D-weaving: theory and practice." J. Text. Inst. vol.92, n°2, 2001, pp.193-207.
- [7] E. Onofrei, A. Rocha, M. A. Catarino, "Thermal comfort properties of knitted fabrics made of elastane and bioactive yarns. In: Proceedings of the Fiber Society Spring." *International Conference on Fibrous Materials, Bursa, Turkey.* 2010, pp. 145–146.
- [8] C. D. Radu, V. Popescu, L. R. Manea, R. Harpa, "Behavior of Medical Stockings Used In Chronic Venous Failure of Leg." Book of Abstracts: 8th AUTEX Conference, 2008.
- [9] S. Thomas "The use of the Laplace equation in the calculation of subbandage pressure," *EWMA. J.* vol.3, n°1, 2003, pp. 21-23.
- [10] B. Kumar, A. Das, R. Alagirusamy, "Effect of material and structure of compression bandage on interface pressure variation over time" *Phlebology*, 2013b, PMID: 23571751.
- [11] B. Kumar, A. Das, R. Alagirusamy, "Study of the effect of composition and construction of material on sub-bandage pressure during dynamic loading of a limb in vitro," *Biorheology*, 2013c, vol.50, pp. 83-94.