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Abstract—Sudoku is a logic-based combinatorial puzzle game which is popular among people of different ages. Due to this popularity, computer softwares are being developed to generate and solve Sudoku puzzles with different levels of difficulty. Several methods and algorithms have been proposed and used in different softwares to efficiently solve Sudoku puzzles. Various search methods such as stochastic local search have been applied to this problem. Genetic Algorithm (GA) is one of the algorithms which have been applied to this problem in different forms and in several works in the literature. In these works, chromosomes with little or no information were considered and obtained results were not promising. In this paper, we propose a new way of applying GA to this problem which uses more-informed chromosomes than other works in the literature. We optimize the parameters of our GA using puzzles with different levels of difficulty. Then we use the optimized values of the parameters to solve various puzzles and compare our results to another GA-based method in the literature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sudoku is a Japanese word consisting of two parts, su and doku, where the first part means number and the second means single. It has been originally called Number Place which indicates the nature of the game, in which numbers should be placed in appropriate places in a grid. Indeed, it is a logical game which has attracted both young and old people.

The first Sudoku was published in a puzzle magazine in USA, 1979 [1]. Having a very challenging and addictive nature, it has spread wildly all over the world. The objective of the game is to take a 9×9 grid and fill in the open spots with numbers from 1 to 9 so that each column and each row of the grid contains each of the numbers only once. Furthermore, each of the nine 3×3 sub-grids that together compose the total 9×9 grid (also called boxes, blocks, regions, and sub-squares) must contain all of the digits from 1 to 9 only once.

Fig. 1 is an example of a Sudoku puzzle where 21 of the cells initially contain a number. Fig. 2 represents the solution to the Sudoku puzzle in Fig. 1.

As in Fig. 1, grids come with partially filled columns and rows depending on the difficulty level of the puzzle. For instance, in a beginner puzzle several numbers will be already in the grid. In more advanced puzzles, only a few numbers are in the grid. But this is not the only factor for determining the level of difficulty. There are also other factors such as the number of occurrences of each number in the initial grid, the position of numbers in the initial grids, etc. which are very effective in the difficulty level of a Sudoku puzzle.

In this paper, we will examine the problem of proposing an algorithm to solve Sudoku puzzles. We will introduce genetic algorithms and then propose a way of applying this algorithm to the problem of solving Sudoku puzzles efficiently. We evaluate our model on Sudoku puzzles having different difficulties and compare our results with another GA-based method in the literature.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II reviews the works available in the literature. Section III describes our formulation of genetic algorithm to solve Sudoku puzzles. Section IV is devoted to optimizing the parameters of the GA. In Section V, we represent our experiments and results and compare them to another existing work which also uses genetic algorithm. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper and points out some future directions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Solving the generalized Sudoku problem is NP-complete, as has been shown in [2]. Therefore, we cannot hope to find an algorithm with polynomial time for all puzzles, unless $P = NP$ [3]. This means that there will be possibly many instances that cannot be solved without one kind of search also being necessary [4]. Researchers have tried to propose heuristic algorithms or use existing local search methods such as Genetic Algorithm (GA) to solve Sudoku puzzles.

Fig. 1 An example of a Sudoku puzzle
In his paper, he proposed a GA algorithm, in which he models his fitness function in a way that considers the puzzle-character-dependant constraints and used GA to solve the problem. He called his method retrievable GA, or simply ret-GA, because after a certain number of iterations, the population is reinitialized in his method. Since we also use this idea of reinitializing the population, like in [12], we also use the term retrievable for our GA method.

Timo Mantere and Janne Koljonen [1] also used GA to solve Sudoku puzzles. In their paper, they create chromosomes of the size of the Sudoku puzzle with two special conditions. The first condition is that the numbers initially given in the grid should be fixed in their corresponding positions in the chromosome. The second one is that no number can appear twice in each row. While these two conditions cause chromosomes to contain some information, they didn’t get promising results. One possibility for this may be the fact that their chromosomes are not sufficiently informed. Generating more-informed chromosomes may help solving Sudoku puzzles using GA more efficiently.

In this paper, we try to generate chromosomes containing more information. We add one more condition to the conditions specified in [1] which is, in addition to each of the rows; chromosomes are also forbidden to have common numbers in each 3×3 sub-square.

In the next section, we represent how different genetic algorithm operations, such as crossover and mutation, can be applied to these chromosomes. We use some parameters in different operations and try to optimize our parameters empirically so as to make the proposed genetic algorithm more efficient.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

In this section, we describe how we use genetic algorithm to solve a Sudoku puzzle. We explain different functions and operations which are required to specify how genetic algorithm is used for a problem.

A. Representation

We can see a Sudoku puzzle as a 9×9 matrix, where each row, column and each 3×3 sub-square must contain all numbers from 1 to 9 only once.

For representing the Sudoku puzzle in GA program, we represent each chromosome as a two dimensional integer array of size 9×9, with these conditions: 1) the numbers predefined by Sudoku setter should be fixed in their corresponding position in the chromosome and cannot be changed, 2) each row of the chromosome should contain numbers from 1 to 9 only once, and 3) each 3×3 sub-square of the general grid should also contain numbers from 1 to 9 only once.

B. Fitness Function

After testing different functions, we considered the fitness function to be the maximum possible number of mismatches in a chromosome minus the total number of mismatches in the given chromosome, where by mismatch we mean a case where a number appears more than one time in a column. We can see that the maximum possible number of mismatches that a Sudoku puzzle can have in the worst case is 81. Using this fitness function, it is obvious that as the number of mismatches reduce the fitness of the chromosome increases, which is intuitively reasonable. A chromosome with a fitness
of 81 is the solution to our Sudoku puzzle and the process will be stopped once such a chromosome is found.

Using this fitness function, most chromosomes tend to have fitness values in a small range. That’s because random chromosomes hardly have lots of (or few) mismatches, having an initial fitness ranging from 40 to 60. This can deteriorate the efficiency of the GA by giving high probabilities of being selected as a parent to all the chromosomes.

Therefore, we use max-min normalization to project the fitness values of chromosomes to a smaller interval of [5, max Value], where we optimize the parameter maxValue empirically. Having fitness values in this interval, chromosomes with lower fitness values are less likely to be chosen as a parent.

C. Crossover

Before explaining about crossover operation, it is necessary to see that we can consider a Sudoku puzzle as a 3×3 matrix of sub-squares. In the rest of the paper, we call each row of this matrix a sub-square row. Because of the constraints we imposed on the chromosomes, our chromosomes have no mismatches in each sub-square row. Therefore, to do the crossover operation, first of all we choose two chromosomes from our population as parents, with the probability of selection being proportional to their fitness values. Then we generate two daughters with the first one having randomly two of her sub-square rows from one of the parents and one from the other, and the second daughter having the sub-square rows of the parents not used by the first daughter. These two daughters go to the next generation.

Note that crossover operation happens by a probability crossoverProb which we optimize empirically. If the operation does not happen, the parents go to the next generation without any changes.

D. Mutation

We use two different mutation operations in our formulation. The first one is applied to a gene (a cell in the matrix) of the chromosome by probability mutationProb1. If this mutation is happening to a gene, another random non-predefined gene in the same row is selected and the values in these two genes are swapped. Then if the new chromosome is not valid according to our constraints, we change the same numbers of these two genes in the other two matrix rows of the same sub-square row to get a valid chromosome.

The second mutation is applied to each of the sub-square rows with probability mutationProb2. Each time a mutation is happening to a sub-square row, that row is completely replaced by a newly generated row.

E. Other Criteria

We set the maximum number of generations for solving a single Sudoku puzzle to be 100000. Since we tend to compare our results with the results of [1] and the maximum number of generations in [1] was set to be 100000, we chose the same number to make our comparisons more reasonable.

As we mentioned earlier, we use a retrievable GA, in which after each ten thousand generations, if we haven’t found a solution, all chromosomes are killed and a new population of them is produced.
In this paper, we proposed a more efficient genetic algorithm by generating more-informed chromosomes. We compared our method with other methods in the literature which also uses genetic algorithm by generating more-informed chromosomes. We found that our method is more efficient than one of the other methods in the literature which also uses genetic algorithm by generating more-informed chromosomes.

IV. PARAMETER OPTIMIZATION

We have four parameters in our proposed method which we need to find the best values for them. These parameters are: crossoverProb, mutationProb1, mutationProb2, and maxValue. In order to find the best values for these parameters, we tested different combinations of values for them over twenty Sudoku puzzles from www.websudoku.com with different levels of difficulty. Five of these puzzles were easy, five of them were medium, five of them were hard and the other five were evil.

At the beginning, we tested some random combinations of values for these parameters and came up with this initial guess for the parameters: crossoverProb = 0.8, mutationProb1 = 0.2, mutationProb2 = 0.02 and maxValue = 20. We use these values in the next section to run our experiments and compare our results with obtained results from another GA-based method for solving Sudoku puzzles in [1].

We can see that the best values for the parameters are crossoverProb = 0.65, mutationProb1 = 0.15, mutationProb2 = 0.04 and maxValue = 20. We use these values in the next section to run our experiments and compare our results with obtained results from another GA-based method for solving Sudoku puzzles [1].

V. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

In order to test our proposed method and compare it to other methods, we solved the Sudoku puzzles of different difficulty levels which Timo Mantere and Janne Koljonen [1] used in their paper. These puzzles are from two different sources. The first source categorizes the puzzles into five different groups according to their difficulty levels, where the easiest puzzles are called 1 star and the hardest ones are called 5 stars. The second source categorizes the puzzles into four different groups based on their difficulty levels and calls them Easy, Challenging, Difficult, and Super difficult to represent their difficulty levels. Like in [1], we ran our GA 100 times for each of the Sudoku puzzles. For each run, if the puzzle could be solved, we recorded the number of generations it took to solve the puzzle. Then we counted the number of times that our GA could solve the puzzle. We also calculated statistical measures such as minimum, maximum, median, average, and standard deviation of number of generations for solving the puzzle in 100 runs.

Table I represents the results of our proposed method and Table II represents the results obtained in [1]. We can see from the results that our proposed method is outperforming the previous method in terms of the number of times it has been able to solve a puzzle. While this increment is not very striking for some easier puzzles like 2 stars puzzle for which the increment is 31%, it is quite striking for more difficult puzzles such as 4 stars puzzle for which the increment is 71%. Our method is also outperforming the work in [1] in terms of decreasing the minimum number of generations that has passed to find the solution to a puzzle in most cases. This decrement can be seen for 7 out of 9 puzzles. However, we can see that our algorithm is not totally outperforming the algorithm in [1] in terms of average number of generations. The reason can be the way we optimized our parameters. The only criterion we used for optimizing the parameters was the number of times a puzzle was solved and not the average number of iterations. Including the average as another criterion for optimizing the parameters can result in a decrement in the average number of iterations.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION

Various methods and algorithms have been proposed to solve Sudoku puzzles. One of these methods is to formulate the problem as a genetic algorithm and try to solve it. Previous attempts of using genetic algorithm seem inefficient because of including only little information in their chromosomes.

In this paper, we proposed a more efficient genetic algorithm by generating more-informed chromosomes. We demonstrated that our method can be used more efficiently than one of the other methods in the literature which also uses
genetic algorithms. We could increase the percentage of solving the puzzles with different difficulties up to 73%.

We could also do a better job in terms of the minimum number of generations which is passed to solve a puzzle. In 7 puzzles out of the 9 puzzles that we used for our experiments, we could decrease this minimum compared to results obtained in [1].

However, we could not do much better than [1] in terms of average number of generations. The reason can be the way we optimized our parameters because we only cared about solving the Sudoku puzzles not the average number of generations.

In future, we can use a different objective function in our parameter optimization which also includes the number of generations it takes to solve the puzzle. We can do the same experiments to see if it can improve our proposed method in terms of the average number of generations for solving the puzzles or not. We may also consider other criterion such as median or standard deviation of they are of high importance to us.
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