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Abstract—This paper proposes an approach for translating an existing relational database (RDB) schema into ORDB. The transition is done with methods that can extract various functions from a RDB which is based on aggregations, associations between the various tables, and the reflexive relationships. These methods can extract even the inheritance knowing that no process of reverse engineering can know that it is an Inheritance; therefore, our approach exceeded all of the previous studies made for the transition from RDB to ORDB. In summation, the creation of the New Data Model (NDM) that stocks the RDB in a form of a structured table, and from the NDM we create our navigational model in order to simplify the implementation object from which we develop our different types. Through these types we precede to the last step, the creation of tables.

The step mentioned above does not require any human interference. All this is done automatically, and a prototype has already been created which proves the effectiveness of this approach.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many problems have emerged with the RDB [9]. We recall from them the reconstruction of complex objects split across relational tables is costly because it causes many joins. For this a solution has appeared, it is the ORDB [7]. Who has addressed most of these problems, we recall the use of reference facilitates the use of very large multimedia data by allowing them to be easily shared and costs less. Yet, the question that arises is how to achieve a migration from a RDB to an ORDB.

Several approaches have addressed the topic of migration which shows the transformation of the aggregation and associations from the conceptual model to the object relational model [1]. Based on the notion of collections of the Unified Modeling Language [8]. Other approaches are based on the creation of an ORDB from the UML [4]. In those authors have proposed the use of cardinalities to preserve and store the information necessary for the migration.

This paper deals with the steps of the migration that are composed of 3 parts: the first is the implementation of the structured table to the NDM in Section II; the second part is the conversion from the NDM to the navigational model [3] in Section III; and the third part deals with the transformation of the Navigational Model (NavM) to the ORDB.

II. SEMANTIC ENRICHMENT OF RELATIONAL DATABASE: NEW DATA MODEL

A. Definition and Identification of the New Data Model

The NDM is a type of table describing the different classes extracted from a RDB with the data necessary for the realization of an ORDB.

The NDM is defined as a collection of classes

\[ \text{NDM} = \{C | C: = (cn, degree, cls, a, contributor)\} \]

\(cn\) = the name of the class.
Degree = first degree (the tables that contain PK) | 2nd degree (the tables that contain FK without PK).
\(cls\)=aggregation, association, inheritance, simple class (the class that does not belong to the other classifications).
Contributor=class list.
\(a\)=attribute:=(\(a | a := (an, t, tag, l, n, d)\) (\(an\) name of the attribute, \(t\) type of the attribute, \(Tag\) primary key(PK) | foreign key(FK),\(L\): length of the attribute, \(N\) if the attribute takes the parameter null, \(D\) the default value of the attribute)
*Observation: treating cardinalities cannot help us since the transformation of the Conceptual Data Model (CDM) to the Logical Data Model (LDM) in the RDB has been treated for the migration of attributes.

1. Classification (cls)

For the classification, classes are composed of four parts:
- Aggregation is when the class interacts with a single class (the class itself may be the (first degree | 2nd degree)), not included in the classification and inheritance as the class has a FK;
- Associations: a class of 2nd degree which interacts with two or more classes; Special case: for reflexive relationships including their cardinalities in the CDM were « 1-n » « 0-n » becomes an association with two FK with the name of the attribute of FK not found in any other table in the RDB, which includes a special treatment of the entities to know the referencing.

- Inheritance: When a class inherits from another class (no reverse approach can identify the inheritance).

For this we have developed a technique that is based on creating a table that will contain a maximum of possible probabilities of inheritance in accordance with the naming standards. Our table will consist of two columns: the first containing the mother class; and the second, the subclasses.

In order to know the inheritance we compare the names of the first-degree classes of the NDM with the first column of our created table. If a correspondence is found, we compare all the discovered classes that interact with our class within the NDM with the subclasses from the corresponding line of the table that contain possible inheritances. If an inheritance is found in this step, we precede to the last step of verification. We verify whether the PK value of the subclasses is equivalent to the PK value of the mother class in order to avoid a breach of the rules for naming tables.

So if there is a match we will extract an Inheritance, if not we will continue our treatment of classification knowing that the treatment of inheritance is the first step of the classification.

Here is an example that shows the most famous case of use of heritage shown in Table I.

![Table Image]

**Fig. 1** The tables representing the relational database (the pks are underlined in bold **pk**, the fks are underlined **fk**)
Observation: VARCHAR is synonymous with VARCHAR2 but this usage may change in future versions (provided for backward compatibility only for Oracle datatypes [11]).

III. NAVM (NAVIGATIONAL MODEL)

After obtaining the NDM, we create the navigational model.

A. Definition and Objectives
- A model that plots the object implementation of a database while drawing up the navigation path between relations with the principle of referencing.
- Facilitates the transition towards the object by a set of rules for transposition.
- Promotes the Visualization of complex structures and possible navigation paths.

Why navigational?
The model introduces the logical links of the type REF (REF implementation is undetermined in the conceptual level).
The references (ref or REF) facilitate the navigation between objects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>TABLE III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESULT OF THE GENERATION OF A NDM</strong></td>
<td><strong>NAVIGATION SYMBOL OF THE NAVM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cn</strong></td>
<td><strong>Degre</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainee</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The classes will be divided into two parts, the external classes and internal classes:
+ Internal classes are the classes classified as aggregation in the NDM.
+ External classes are the other classifications in the NDM.
Example

![External and Internal Classes](image1.png)

**Fig. 2** The composition of the external and internal classes

**Example of the NavM extracted from the UML:**

![NavM Diagram](image2.png)

**Fig. 3** From the conceptual model to the navigational model

**B. Transformation Rules**

![Inheritance Diagram](image3.png)

**Fig. 4** Modeling inheritance in navigational

Inheritance: It follows the same principle of the class diagram in the UML, either for the parent class or subclass.

Association: The navigation link is simple and necessarily valued keeping attributes if there is an association with the class attributes.

The navigation links starts from the association class to the class that interacts with it (universal solution).

![Association Link](image4.png)

**Fig. 5** How to model the association link

Aggregation: It becomes an internal class type object referenced by an attribute of assembly with a multiple link that can be valueless.

Simple class: For the simple class we must see the classification of the class that interacts with it in the NDM.

+ If the simple class interacts with an association, we will not need to trace the path of navigation because it is already done.

+ If a simple class interacts with another simple class, we have two navigation links:
  - The first link starts of the class that contains a foreign key, which is a primary key in the other class, and is simple and cannot be valueless.
  - The second link starts from the other class, which is multiple and can be valueless.

**C. The NDM Transformed into the NAVM**

This stage of the migration is a part of reverse engineering to show the transition by reference and the elimination of joints, and plays a pivot role between the conceptual and implementation object.
IV. TRANSLATION OF THE NDM TO AN ORDB

A. Approach for the Translation of the NDM into an ORDB

1. The Creation of Types
   + Creation of the types defined in the NDM as aggregation.
   + Creation of the types defined in the NDM as association.
   To create these types we keep the same name listed in the RDB and we add _type (concatenation).
   + Creation of composite types, those classes entering in collaboration with the aggregation taking into account their classification, and other types whose classification in the NDM is simple.
   + The creation of the types defined in the NDM as an inheritance starting with the parent class and ending with the subclasses.

2. Creating Tables
   The creation of tables is made by the typed classes and is classified in the NDM as inheritance (parent, subclasses), association, and simple class. The aggregations are included in the first-degree class that interacts with itself. All tables are created with the necessary constraints.

B. Method of Creating and Naming Rule

To create the types we keep the same name that appears in the RDB and we add _type (concatenation).

- Syntax
  CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB_Type AS OBJECT
  (column1 type1, column2 type2,...)
  / CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB2_Type AS OBJECT
  (column1 type1, column2 type2, nameRDB1_t set(nameRDB1_type),...)
  For the creation of types with references, we add a ref_{next} to the name of the RDB with the keyword REF and the referenced type.
  Observation: For reflexive relationships near many recordings [1-n] we concatenate the PK with the FK, and the side of a single record [1-1] we concatenate the FK with the PK.

- Syntax
  CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB1_Type AS OBJECT
  (column1 type1, column2 type2,...)
  CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB2_Type AS OBJECT
  (column1 type1, column2 type2, nameRDB1_t nameRDB1_type,...)
  CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB3_Type AS OBJECT
  (column1 type1, column2 type2, ref_nameRDB2 REF nameRDB2_type,...)
  For the creation of types that represent the inheritance, we add Under for the sub class and the keyword not final if the type has subtypes, and final if the type has no subtypes.

- Syntax
  CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB1_Type AS OBJECT
  (column1 type1, column2 type2,...)
  NOT FINAL
  /
CREATE [OR REPLACE] TYPE nameRDB2_Type under nameRDB_type (column1 type1, column2 type2, nameRDB1_type,...)

FINAL

Creating tables starts from typed classes. The table keeps the same name that appears in the RDB, and then we add the keyword OF and the type corresponding with the constraints captured in the NDM (PK constraint, reference constraint, not null constraint ...).

- Syntax:
  CREATE TABLE [schema.]nameTable OF [schema.]nameType
  [(column [DEFAULT expression], constraintOnLine [constraintOnLine]...)
  | constraintREFOnLine ]
  | { constraintOffline | constraintREFOffline }
  [[,...]] ]

V. CONCLUSION

The aforementioned work shows the steps of migrating from a RDB to an ORDB with a simple and practical method to capture the relationships between different classes, associations, aggregations and as well as the inheritance. Currently no approach has proposed such a solution to extract the inheritance from a RDB. We can trace the navigational model to better see how the navigation is made between classes and respect the navigation links for best listings.

This method is done with a normalized database to exploit the power of the object-relational as our solution of inheritance is based on a normalized database. If the normalized database is not used, we will have a simple class with an aggregation in place of the mother and sub class.

This solution exceeds the existing works as it generates an ORDB without the interference of the human factor. This approach also allows the possibility to make changes in the physical schema of the database obtained in cases where the user wants to manually update the database. Since the work is done in console mode, a prototype was created to prove the effectiveness of this approach.

A forthcoming article will present a prototype that examines the subsequent stage of the migration that affects the passage of the data from a RDB to an ODB.
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