

Stability Analysis of Neural Networks with Leakage, Discrete and Distributed Delays

Qingqing Wang, Baocheng Chen, Shouming Zhong

Abstract—This paper deals with the problem of stability of neural networks with leakage, discrete and distributed delays. A new Lyapunov functional which contains some new double integral terms are introduced. By using appropriate model transformation that shifts the considered systems into the neutral-type time-delay system, and by making use of some inequality techniques, delay-dependent criteria are developed to guarantee the stability of the considered system. Finally, numerical examples are provided to illustrate the usefulness of the proposed main results.

Keywords—Neural networks, Stability, Time-varying delays, Linear matrix inequality.

I. INTRODUCTION

STABILITY analysis for neural network is an issue of both theoretical and practical importance due to the fact that its wide application in various areas such as image processing, automatic control, pattern recognition, and so on. Therefore, the study of stability analysis for neural networks has been attracting the interest of many researchers in the past years, and many important and interesting results have been proposed in terms of all sorts of methods in the literature [1-10] and the references therein.

Now, many sufficient conditions ensuring global asymptotic stability and global exponential stability for delayed neural networks have been derived [3-25], however, only little attention has been paid towards the stability analysis of neural networks and dynamic systems involving time-delay in the leakage term [20-30]. To the best of authors' knowledge, up to now there are no result on the problem of stability analysis for neural networks with time-delays in the leakage term.

Motivated by this mentioned above, in this paper, two new delay-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with interval time-varying delay will be proposed by dividing the delay interval, constructing new Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional and shifting the considered systems into the neutral-type time-delay system. The obtained criterion are less conservative because free-weighting matrices method and a convex optimization approach are considered. Finally, numerical examples are given to illustrate the the usefulness and feasibility of the proposed main results.

Qingqing Wang and Shouming Zhong are with the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, PR China.

Baocheng Chen is with National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Communications, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 611731, PR China.

Shouming Zhong is with Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, PR China.

Email address: wangqqchenbc@163.com.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the following neural networks with mixed time-varying delays:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Ax(t - \sigma) + Bg(x(t)) + Cg(x(t - \zeta(t))) + D \int_{t-r(t)}^t g(x(s)) ds + I_0 \quad (1)$$

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [-h, 0]$$

where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)]^T \in R^n$ is the neuron state vector, $g(x(t)) = [g_1(x_1(t)), g_2(x_2(t)), \dots, g_n(x_n(t))]^T$ denotes the neuron activation function, and $I_0 = [I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n]^T \in R^n$ is a constant input vector, $A = \text{diag}\{a_i\} \in R^n$ is a positive diagonal matrix, $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n} \in R^n$ is the connection weight matrix, $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n} \in R^n$, and $D = (d_{ij})_{n \times n} \in R^n$ are the delayed connection weight matrices, and σ is the leakage delay satisfying $\sigma \geq 0$. The initial vector $\varphi(t)$ is bounded and continuous on $[-h, 0]$.

The following assumptions are adopted throughout the paper. **Assumption 1:** The delays $\zeta(t), r(t)$ are time-varying continuous function and satisfy:

$$0 \leq \zeta(t) \leq \varsigma, 0 \leq r(t) \leq r, \dot{\zeta}(t) \leq \mu \quad (2)$$

where ς, r, μ are constant.

Assumption 2: Each neuron activation function $g_i(\cdot), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, in (1) satisfies the following condition:

$$\phi_i^- \leq \frac{g_i(\alpha) - g_i(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \leq \phi_i^+, \forall \alpha, \beta \in R, \alpha \neq \beta \quad (3)$$

where $\phi_i^-, \phi_i^+, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are constants, and matrices $\Phi_1 = \text{diag}\{\phi_1^+, \phi_2^+, \dots, \phi_n^+\}, \Phi_2 = \text{diag}\{\phi_1^-, \phi_2^-, \dots, \phi_n^-\}$.

Based on Assumption 1-2, it can be easily proven that there exists one equilibrium point for (1) by Brouwer's fixed-point theorem. Assuming that $x^* = [x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*]^T$ is the equilibrium point of (1) and using the transformation $y(\cdot) = x(\cdot) - x^*$, system (1) can be converted to the following system :

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t - \sigma) + Bf(y(t)) + Cf(y(t - \zeta(t))) + D \int_{t-r(t)}^t f(y(s)) ds \quad (4)$$

where $y(t) = [y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)]^T$ is the state vector of the transformed system, and the neuron activation function in system (4) $f(y(t)) = [f_1(y_1(t)), f_2(y_2(t)), \dots, f_n(y_n(t))]^T$,

satisfy that $f_i(y_i(\cdot)) = g_i(x_i(\cdot) + x_i^*) - g_i(x_i^*)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
 From Eq.(3), $f_i(\cdot)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\phi_i^- \leq \frac{f_i(\alpha)}{\alpha} \leq \phi_i^+, \forall \alpha \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, the above system has an equivalent form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[y(t) - A \int_{t-\sigma}^t y(s) ds \right] = \\ - Ay(t) + Bf(y(t)) + Cf(y(t - \varsigma(t))) + D \int_{t-r(t)}^t f(y(s)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Lemma 1 [9]. For any constant matrices $G, S \in R^{n \times n}$, $S = S^T$, $G = G^T > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varsigma \int_{t-\varsigma}^t x^T(s) G x(s) ds \leq \\ - \begin{bmatrix} \int_{t-\varsigma(t)}^t x(s) ds \\ \int_{t-\varsigma}^t x(s) ds \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} G & S \\ * & G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \int_{t-\varsigma(t)}^t x(s) ds \\ \int_{t-\varsigma}^t x(s) ds \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, a new Lyapunov functional is constructed and a less conservative delay-dependent stability criterion is obtained.

Theorem 1 Given that the Assumption 1-2 hold, the system (6) is globally asymptotic stability if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $P, Q_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, R_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$, positive diagonal matrices $L = \text{diag}\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$, $W_1, W_2, K = \text{diag}\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$, and symmetric matrix T_1, T_2 , such that the following LMIs hold:

$$\Pi < 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_2 & T_1 \\ * & Q_3 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Pi = [\pi_{ij}]_{11 \times 11}$$

$$\pi_{11} = -2PA + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 + R_5 + \varsigma Q_2 + \sigma^2 R_6 + T_1 - 2\Phi_1 W_1 \Phi_2$$

$$\pi_{12} = \Phi_2 LA - \Phi_1 KA$$

$$\pi_{17} = PB - \Phi_2 LB + \Phi_1 KB + W_1(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)$$

$$\pi_{18} = PC - \Phi_2 LC + \Phi_1 KC$$

$$\pi_{19} = PD - \Phi_2 LD + \Phi_1 KD, \pi_{1,11} = A^T PA$$

$$\pi_{22} = -R_5 + \varsigma A^T Q_3 A$$

$$\pi_{27} = -AL + AK - \varsigma A^T Q_3 B, \pi_{28} = -\varsigma A^T Q_3 C$$

$$\pi_{29} = -\varsigma A^T Q_3 D, \pi_{33} = -R_2 - T_1, \pi_{44} = -R_3$$

$$\pi_{55} = -R_4, \pi_{66} = -(1 - \mu)R_1 - 2\Phi_1 W_2 \Phi_2$$

$$\pi_{68} = W_2(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)$$

$$\pi_{77} = 2LB - 2KB + \varsigma B^T Q_3 B + Q_1 + r^2 Q_4 - 2W_1$$

$$\pi_{78} = LC - KC + \varsigma B^T Q_3 C$$

$$\pi_{79} = LD - KD + \varsigma B^T Q_3 D, \pi_{7,11} = -B^T PA$$

$$\pi_{88} = -(1 - \mu)Q_1 - 2W_2 + \varsigma C^T Q_3 C$$

$$\pi_{89} = \varsigma C^T Q_3 D, \pi_{8,11} = -C^T PA$$

$$\pi_{99} = \varsigma D^T Q_3 D - Q_4, \pi_{9,10} = -T_2$$

$$\pi_{9,11} = -D^T PA, \pi_{10,10} = -Q_4, \pi_{11,11} = -R_6$$

Proof: Construct a new class of Lyapunov functional candidate as follow:

$$V(y_t) = \sum_{i=1}^4 V_i(y_t)$$

with

$$V_1(y_t) = [y(t) - A \int_{t-\sigma}^t y(s) ds]^T P [y(t) - A \int_{t-\sigma}^t y(s) ds]$$

$$V_2(y_t) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{y_i(t)} [l_i(f_i(s) - \phi_i^- s) + k_i(\phi_i^+ s - f_i(s))] ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(y_t) = & \int_{t-\varsigma(t)}^t y^T(s) R_1 y(s) ds + \int_{t-\varsigma}^t y^T(s) R_2 y(s) ds \\ & + \int_{t-\frac{\varsigma}{3}}^t y^T(s) R_3 y(s) ds + \int_{t-\frac{2\varsigma}{3}}^t y^T(s) R_4 y(s) ds \\ & + \int_{t-\sigma}^t y^T(s) R_5 y(s) ds + \int_{t-\varsigma(t)}^t f^T(y(s)) Q_1 f(y(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_4(y_t) = & \int_{-\varsigma}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t [y^T(s) Q_2 y(s) + \dot{y}^T(s) Q_3 \dot{y}(s)] ds d\theta \\ & + \sigma \int_{-\sigma}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t y^T(s) R_6 y(s) ds d\theta \\ & + r \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t f^T(y(s)) Q_4 f(y(s)) ds d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking the time derivative of $V(t)$ with respect to t along the system (6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1(y_t) = & 2[y(t) - A \int_{t-\sigma}^t y(s) ds]^T P [-Ay(t) + Bf(y(t)) \\ & + Cf(y(t - \varsigma(t))) + D \int_{t-r(t)}^t f(y(s)) ds] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(y_t) = & 2(f^T(y(t)) - y^T(t) \Phi_2) L \dot{y}(t) \\ & + 2(y^T(t) \Phi_1 - f^T(y(t))) K \dot{y}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_3(y_t) &\leq y^T(t)(R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 + R_5)y(t) \\ &\quad - (1-\mu)y^T(t-\varsigma(t))R_1y(t-\varsigma(t)) + f^T(y(t))Q_1f(y(t)) \\ &\quad - y^T(t-\varsigma)R_2y(t-\varsigma) - y^T(t-\frac{\varsigma}{3})R_3y(t-\frac{\varsigma}{3}) \\ &\quad - y^T(t-\frac{2\varsigma}{3})R_4y(t-\frac{2\varsigma}{3}) - y^T(t-\sigma)R_5y(t-\sigma) \\ &\quad - (1-\mu)f^T(y(t-\varsigma(t)))Q_1f(y(t-\varsigma(t))) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_4(y_t) &= \varsigma[y^T(t)Q_2y(t) + \dot{y}^T(t)Q_3\dot{y}(t)] \\ &\quad - \int_{t-\varsigma}^t [y^T(s)Q_2y(s) + \dot{y}^T(s)Q_3\dot{y}(s)] \\ &\quad + \sigma^2 y^T(t)R_6y(t) - \sigma \int_{t-\sigma}^t y^T(s)R_6y(s)ds \\ &\quad + r^2 f^T(y(s))Q_4f(y(s)) - r \int_{t-r}^t f^T(y(s))Q_4f(y(s))ds \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Consider the following zero equality with any symmetric matrix T_1 :

$$y^T(t)T_1y(t) - y^T(t-\varsigma)T_1y(t-\varsigma) - 2 \int_{t-\varsigma}^t y^T(s)T_1\dot{y}(s)ds = 0 \quad (14)$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we can obtain that:

$$-\sigma \int_{t-\sigma}^t y^T(s)R_6y(s) \leq -(\int_{t-\sigma}^t y(s)ds)^T R_6 (\int_{t-\sigma}^t y(s)ds) \quad (15)$$

Using Lemma 1, we can obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} &-r \int_{t-r}^t f^T(y(s))Q_4f(y(s))ds \\ &\leq - \begin{bmatrix} \int_{t-r}^t f(y(s))ds \\ \int_{t-r}^t f(y(s))ds \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_4 & T_2 \\ * & Q_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \int_{t-r}^t f(y(s))ds \\ \int_{t-r}^t f(y(s))ds \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From (5), we can obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} &-2f^T(y(t))W_1f(y(t)) + 2y^T(t)W_1(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)f(y(t)) \\ &\quad - 2y^T(t)\Phi_1W_1\Phi_2y(t) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-2f^T(y(t-\varsigma(t)))W_2f(y(t-\varsigma(t))) \\ &+ 2y^T(t-\varsigma(t))W_2(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)f(y(t-\varsigma(t))) \\ &\quad - 2y^T(t-\varsigma(t))\Phi_1W_2\Phi_2y(t-\varsigma(t)) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Basing on (10)-(18), one can obtain that:

$$\dot{V}(y_t) \leq \xi^T(t)\Pi\xi(t) - \int_{t-\varsigma}^t \begin{bmatrix} y(s) \\ \dot{y}(s) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 & T_1 \\ * & Q_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y(s) \\ \dot{y}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^T(t) &= [y^T(t), y^T(t-\sigma), y^T(t-\varsigma), y^T(t-\frac{\varsigma}{3}), y^T(t-\frac{2\varsigma}{3}), \\ &\quad y^T(t-\varsigma(t)), f^T(y(t)), f^T(y(t-\varsigma(t))), \int_{t-r}^t f^T(y(s))ds, \\ &\quad \int_{t-r}^{t-r(t)} f^T(y(s))ds, \int_{t-\sigma}^t y^T(s)ds] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can obtain that:

$$\dot{V}(y_t) \leq -\xi^T(t)\Xi\xi(t)$$

where $\Xi = -\Pi$. Thus, it can be deduced that

$$V(y_t) + \int_0^t \xi^T(s)\Xi\xi(s)ds \leq V(y_0), \quad t > 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V(y_0) &\leq \left\{ 2\lambda_{\max}(P)(1 + \sigma^2 \max_{i \in A} a_i^2) + 2\lambda_{\max}(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2)(\lambda_{\max}(L) \right. \\ &\quad + \lambda_{\max}(K)) + \varsigma(\lambda_{\max}(R_1) + \lambda_{\max}(R_2) + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{\max}(R_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3}\lambda_{\max}(R_4) + \sigma\lambda_{\max}(R_5) + \varsigma\phi^2\lambda_{\max}(Q_1) \\ &\quad + 2\varsigma^2[\lambda_{\max}(A^T A) + \phi^2\lambda_{\max}(B^T B) + \phi^2\lambda_{\max}(C^T C) \\ &\quad \left. + r^2\phi^2\lambda_{\max}(D^T D)] \right\} \sup_{-h \leq s \leq 0} \|y(s)\|^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, one can obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\| &= \|y(t) - y(t+\theta) + y(t+\theta)\|, \quad (t \in [0, 1]) \\ &\leq \left\| \int_t^{t+\theta} \dot{y}(s)ds \right\| + \left\| \int_t^{t+1} y(s)ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+\theta} \|\dot{y}(s)\|ds + \int_t^{t+1} \|y(s)\|ds \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+1} (\|\dot{y}(s)\| + \|y(s)\|)ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}(\Xi)}} \int_t^{t+1} \xi^T(s)\Xi\xi(s)ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}(\Xi)}} \int_t^\infty \xi^T(s)\Xi\xi(s)ds \rightarrow 0, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, combined with (8)-(9) and (19), we conclude that model (6) has a unique equilibrium point which is globally asymptotically stable. ■

Remark 1 In this paper, time-varying delay is assumed to be differentiable. But in many cases μ is unknown, considering this situation, we can set $Q_1 = R_1 = 0$ in Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional $V(y_t)$ of Theorem 1, then the time-varying delay becomes non-differentiable.

Remark 2 In system (6), if we consider the $\sigma = 0$, we can get the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= Ay(t) + Bf(y(t)) + Cf(y(t-\varsigma(t))) \\ &\quad + D \int_{t-r(t)}^t f(y(s))ds \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For system (20), we have the following result.

Theorem 2 Given that the Assumption 1-2 hold, the system (20) is globally asymptotic stability if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $P, Q_i, i = 2, 3, 4, R_j, j = 2, \dots, 6$, positive diagonal matrices $L = \text{diag}\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}, W_1, W_2, K = \text{diag}\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$, and symmetric matrix T_1, T_2 , such that the following LMIs hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_2 & T_1 \\ * & Q_3 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (21)$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & E_{16} & E_{17} & E_{18} & 0 \\ * & E_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -R_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & -R_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & E_{55} & 0 & E_{57} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & E_{66} & E_{67} & E_{68} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & E_{77} & E_{78} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & E_{88} & -T_3 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -Q_4 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (22)$$

where

$$E_{11} = -2PA + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 + R_5 + \varsigma Q_2 + \sigma^2 R_6 + T_1 - 2\Phi_1 W_1 \Phi_2 + \Phi_2 LA - \Phi_1 KA$$

$$E_{16} = PB - \Phi_2 LB + \Phi_1 KB + W_1(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)$$

$$E_{17} = PC - \Phi_2 LC + \Phi_1 KC$$

$$E_{18} = PD - \Phi_2 LD + \Phi_1 KD$$

$$E_{22} = -R_2 - T_1$$

$$E_{55} = -(1 - \mu)R_1 - 2\Phi_1 W_2 \Phi_2$$

$$E_{57} = W_2(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)$$

$$E_{66} = 2LB - 2KB + \varsigma B^T Q_3 B + Q_1 + r^2 Q_4 - 2W_1$$

$$E_{67} = LC - KC + \varsigma B^T Q_3 C$$

$$E_{68} = LD - KD + \varsigma B^T Q_3 D$$

$$E_{77} = -(1 - \mu)Q_1 - 2W_2 + \varsigma C^T Q_3 C$$

$$E_{78} = \varsigma C^T Q_3 D, E_{88} = \varsigma D^T Q_3 D - Q_4$$

Proof: Following the similar procedure in Theorem 1, we have

$$\dot{V}(y_t) \leq \xi_1^T(t) E \xi_1(t) - \int_{t-\varsigma}^t \begin{bmatrix} y(s) \\ \dot{y}(s) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 & T_1 \\ * & Q_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y(s) \\ \dot{y}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\xi_1^T(t) = \left[y^T(t), y^T(t - \varsigma), y^T\left(t - \frac{\varsigma}{3}\right), y^T\left(t - \frac{2\varsigma}{3}\right), y^T(t - \varsigma(t)), f^T(y(t)), f^T(y(t - \varsigma(t))), \int_{t-r(t)}^t f^T(y(s)) ds, \int_{t-r}^{t-r(t)} f^T(y(s)) ds \right]$$

Hence, we can obtain that system (20) is globally asymptotically stable. This completes the proof. ■

TABLE I
ALLOWABLE UPPER BOUND OF ς FOR EXAMPLE 1.

Method	Theorem 2
$\mu = 0$	11.382
$\mu = 0.3$	10.879
$\mu = 0.6$	10.075
$\mu = 0.9$	9.621

TABLE II
ALLOWABLE UPPER BOUND OF ς FOR EXAMPLE 1.

Method	Theorem 1
$\mu = 0, \sigma = 0.2$	11.051
$\mu = 0.3, \sigma = 0.4$	10.256
$\mu = 0.6, \sigma = 0.6$	9.832
$\mu = 0.9, \sigma = 0.8$	8.879

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we provide two numerical examples to demonstrate the effectiveness and less conservatism of our delay-dependent stability criteria.

Example 1 Consider the system (4) with the following parameters:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & -0.8 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & -1.5 & 0.7 \\ -0.8 & -1.2 & -1.4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -1.4 & 0.9 & 0.5 \\ -0.6 & 1.2 & 0.8 \\ 0.5 & -0.7 & 1.1 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8 & 0.7 & -0.8 \\ 0.6 & 0.4 & 1.0 \\ -0.4 & -0.6 & 1.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\Phi_2 = \text{diag}\{-1.2, 0, -2.4\}$, $\Phi_1 = \text{diag}\{0, 1.4, 0\}$.

For various μ , the maximum of ς calculated by Theorem 2. According to Table I, when $\sigma = 0$, this example shows that the stability criterion in this paper can lead to less conservative results. In Table II, we can obtain that the maximum of ς with various σ, μ .

Example 2 Consider a delayed recurrent neural networks with the following parameters:

$$\dot{y}(t) = -Ay(t - \sigma) + Bf(y(t)) + Cf(y(t - \varsigma(t)))$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0503 & 0.0454 \\ 0.0987 & 0.2075 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2381 & 0.9320 \\ 0.0388 & 0.5062 \end{bmatrix}$$

The neuron activation functions are assumed to satisfy Assumption 2 with $\Phi_2 = \text{diag}\{0, 0\}$, $\Phi_1 = \text{diag}\{0.3, 0.8\}$.

For various ς and μ , the maximum of σ calculated by Theorem 1. According to Table III, we can see that the stability criterion in this paper can lead to less conservative results.

TABLE III
ALLOWABLE UPPER BOUND OF σ FOR EXAMPLE 2.

Method	Theorem 1
$\mu = 0, \varsigma = 0.2$	1.976
$\mu = 0.3, \varsigma = 0.4$	1.549
$\mu = 0.6, \varsigma = 0.6$	1.025
$\mu = 0.9, \varsigma = 0.8$	0.946

V. CONCLUSION

In this present paper, we have deal with the problem of stability for neural networks with leakage, discrete and distributed delays. Two new delay-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with time-varying delay will be proposed. The obtained criteria are less conservative because free-weighting matrices method and a convex optimization approach are considered. Finally, two examples have been given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments which have led to a much improved paper. This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2010CB32501).

REFERENCES

- [1] Q.K. Song, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Li, Global exponential stability of BAM neural networks with distributed delays and reaction diffusion terms, *Phys. Lett.A* 335(2005) 213-225.
- [2] F.Long, S.Fei, Z.Fu, H_∞ control and quadratic stabilization of switched linear systems with linear fractional uncertainties via output feedback, *Nonlinear Anal:Hybrid Syst.*2(2008) 18-27.
- [3] O.M.Kwon, J.H.Park, Improved delay-dependent stability criterion for networks with time-varying, *Phys Lett. A* 373(2009) 529-535.
- [4] D. Lin, X. Wang, Self-organizing adaptive fuzzy neural control for the synchronization of uncertain chaotic systems with random-varying parameters, *Neurocomputing* 74 (12C13) (2011) 2241C2249.
- [5] J.L. Wang, H.N. Wu, Robust stability and robust passivity of parabolic complex networks with parametric uncertainties and time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing* 87 (2012) 26C32.
- [6] J. K. Tain, S.M. Zhong, New delay-dependent exponential stability criteria for neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing* 74 (2011) 3365-3375.
- [7] S. Cui, T. Zhao, J. Guo, Global robust exponential stability for interval neural networks with delay, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 42 (3) (2009) 1567C1576.
- [8] O.M.Kwon, Ju H.Park, Exponential stability analysis for uncertain neural networks with interval time-varying delays. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 212(2009) 530-541.
- [9] P.G.Park, J.W.Ko, C.Jeong, Reciprocally convex approach to stability of systems with time-varying delays, *Automatica*, 47(2011)235-238.
- [10] D.Yue, C. Peng, G. Y. Tang, Guaranteed cost control of linear systems over networks with state and input quantizations, *IEE Proc. Control Theory Appl.* 153 (6) (2006) 658-664.
- [11] T.Li, Q.Luo, C.Y.Sun, B.Y.Zhang, Exponential stability of recurrent neural networks with time-varying discrete and distributed delays, *Nonlinear Anal.Real World Appl.*10 (2009)2581-2589.
- [12] X.Zhu, Y.Wang, Delay-dependent exponential stability for neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays, *Phys.Lett.A* 373(2009) 4066-4072.
- [13] L.Shi, H.Zhu, S.M.Zhong, L.Y.Hou, Globally exponential stability for neural networks with time-varying delays, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 219(2013) 10487-10498.
- [14] S.M. Lee, O.M. Kwon, J.H. Park, A novel delay-dependent criterion for delayed neural networks of neutral type, *Phys. Lett. A* 374(2010) 1843-1848.
- [15] J.H. Park, O.M. Kwon, Synchronization of neural networks of neutral type with stochastic perturbation, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* 23(2009) 1743-1751.
- [16] Q. Song, Z. Wang, Neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays: a general stability analysis, *Chaos Solitons Fract.* 37(2008) 1538-1547.
- [17] C.Lien, L.Chung, Global asymptotic stability for cellular neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays, *Chaos Solitons Fract* 34(2007) 1213-1219.
- [18] M.T. Hagan, H.B. Demuth, M. Beale, *Neural Network Design*, PWS Publishing Company, Boston MA, 1996.

- [19] A. Cichoki, R. Unbehauen, *Neural Networks for Optimization and Signal Processing*, Wiley, Chichester, 1993.
- [20] J.D.Cao, L.Wang, Exponential stability and periodic oscillatory solution in BAM networks with delays, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* 13(2002) 457-463.
- [21] J.H.Park, O.M.Kwon, Further results on state estimation for neural networks of neutral-type with time-varying delay, *App. Math.. Comput.* 208(2009) 69-57.
- [22] O.M.Kwon, J.H.Park, Improved delay-dependent stability criterion for networks with time-varying, *Phys Lett. A* 373(2009) 529-535.
- [23] Q.K. Song, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Li, Global exponential stability of BAM neural networks with distributed delays and reaction diffusion terms, *Phys. Lett.A* 335(2005) 213-225.
- [24] S. Arik, Global asymptotic stability of hybrid bidirectional associative memory neural networks with time delays. *Phys. Lett.A* 351(2006) 85-91.
- [25] X.F. Liao, G. Chen, E.N.Sanchez, Delay-dependent exponential stability analysis of delayed neural networks: an LMI approach, *Neural Netw.* 15(2002) 855-866.
- [26] X. Zhu, Y. Wang, Delay-dependent exponential stability for neural networks with time-varying delays, *Phys. Lett. A* 373(2009) 4066-4072.
- [27] P.G. Park, J.W. Ko, C. Jeong, Reciprocally convex approach to stability of systems with time-varying delays, *Automatica* 47(2011) 235-238.
- [28] O.M.Kwon, J.H.Park, S.M.Lee, On robust stability for uncertain neural networks with interval time-vary delays, *IET Control Theory and Applications* 7(2008)625-634.
- [29] O.M.Kwon, Ju H.Park, Exponential stability analysis for uncertain neural networks with interval time-varying delays. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 212(2009)530-541.
- [30] Z.G. Wu, J.H. Park, H.Y. Su, J. Chu, New results on exponential passivity of neural networks with time-varying delays, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 13(2012) 1593-1599.

Qingqing Wang was born in Anhui Province, China, in 1989. She received the B.S. degree from Anqing University in 2012. She is currently pursuing the M.S. degree from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. Her research interests include neural networks, switch and delay dynamic systems.

Baocheng Chen was born in Anhui Province, China, in 1990. He received the B.S. degree from Anqing University in 2011. He is currently pursuing the M.S. degree from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. His research interests include dynamics systems and signal processing.

Shouming Zhong was born in 1955 in Sichuan, China. He received B.S. degree in applied mathematics from UESTC, Chengdu, China, in 1982. From 1984 to 1986, he studied at the Department of Mathematics in Sun Yatsen University, Guangzhou, China. From 2005 to 2006, he was a visiting research associate with the Department of Mathematics in University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada. He is currently as a full professor with School of Applied Mathematics, UESTC. His current research interests include differential equations, neural networks, biomathematics and robust control. He has authored more than 80 papers in reputed journals such as the *International Journal of Systems Science*, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems*, *Acta Automatica Sinica*, *Journal of Control Theory and Applications*, *Acta Electronica Sinica*, *Control and Decision*, and *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*.