

# Trans-Esterification for Production of Biodiesel from Waste Frying Oil (WFO)

N. Akhavan Moghaddam, K. Tahvildari, and S. Taghvaie

**Abstract**—Biodiesel is a type of biofuel having similar properties of diesel fuel but lacks substances (undesirable emissions) such as sulfur, nitrogen and aromatic polycyclic. Upon filtration of waste oil, the biodiesel fuel was produced via carrying out transesterification reaction of triglycerides followed by conducting viscosity, density, flash point, cloud point, pour point and copper strip corrosion tests on the samples and comparing with EN14214 and ASTM 6751 standards and all results were found in the permitted limit. The highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 46.6435 g when Sodium Hydroxide catalyst in amount of 0.375g was employed, 44.2347 g when Sodium methoxide catalyst in amount of 0.5g was employed and 56.5124 g when acid sulfuric catalyst in amount of 1g was employed and 47.3290 g when two stage reaction was done.

**Keywords**—Biodiesel, Transesterification, Basic catalyst, Acidic catalyst.

## I. INTRODUCTION

DEPLETION of fossil fuel deposits, threat of supply instability, rising petroleum prices and increasing threat to the environment from exhaust emissions informed the reactivation of worldwide interest in renewable biofuels [1], [2]. The production of biofuel to replace oil and natural gas is in active development in major parts of the world and in nascent status in numerous others [3], [4], focusing on the use of cheap organic matter in the efficient production of liquid and gas biofuels which yield high net energy gain. Modern biofuels are a promising long term renewable energy source which has potential to address both environmental impacts and security concerns posed by current dependence on petroleum based fuels [1], [3] and [5].

Biofuel is a new possible substitute of regular fuel and from the natural and renewable materials such as: Biogas, Bioethanol, Biopetrol and biodiesel.

Biodiesel (fatty acid methyl ester) refers to fuel deriving from the natural and renewable materials such as vegetable oils and animal fats. The biodiesel fuel energy is comparable

N. Akhavan Moghaddam is with the Department of Chemistry, Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran (corresponding author to provide phone: +98-021- 22011162; e-mail: nona\_akhavaan@yahoo.com).

K. Tahvildari is with the Department of Chemistry, Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran (e-mail: ktahvildari@yahoo.com).

S. Taghvaie is with the Department of Chemistry, Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran (e-mail: taghvaei@hotmail.com).

with diesel fuel although it is preferable as a "Clean fuel" which emits fewer pollutants owing to its natural origin in comparison with fossil fuel producing environmental pollutants such as Unburned Hydrocarbons (UHC), sulfur contained materials, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> which is considered as the major defects of the fossil fuels increasing the greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, depleting the ozone layer, polluting the environment and imposing respiratory disorders for inhabitants of the big cities [6], [7].

Biodiesel is produced employing one of the three following ways:

- 1) Pyrolysis
- 2) Microemulsion
- 3) Transesterification

Transesterification was employed in this study owing to the following advantages comparing with two other above mentioned methods:

- 1) The oxygen atoms remained intact and not taken out but in pyrolysis these atoms are taken out from the biodiesel.
- 2) This production method requires less requirements and facilities.
- 3) This production method enjoys high performance.
- 4) This production method is more acceptable and most biodiesel production plants in the world exploit this method.

The molecular structures of these compounds must be breakdown to simple compounds and become balanced in order to be employed directly as energy resources in internal combustion engines. To this end, the oil or fat based materials must involved in a chemical reaction designated as transesterification, after purification, with an alcohol such as methanol at the presence of an acidic or alkaline catalyst in which OH group of the employed alcohol replaces the hydrocarbon chain of oil molecule and therefore esters with new molecular structure i.e. fatty acid methylated esters are produced with great similarities with diesel No. 2. There is no need to change the engine for using this fuel but carrying out a few adjustments on amount of injected fuel, injection pressure in fuel injector, injection duration and start and ending of injection, depending on the thermal value, density, viscosity and cetane number. The studies showed that the blends comprises of 20% biodiesel and diesel are appropriate for all types of diesel engines and the blends with higher amounts of biodiesel ,i.e. by 100% of the pure biodiesel can be used for new engines [8].

Production of biodiesel from waste oil requires several

stages and at the first step the waste oil impurities must be taken out via carrying out purification process [9], [10].

In order to avoid the problem of saponification, the two-stage method was used for synthesis of biodiesel from WFO. In the first stage, esterification of FFA (Free Fatty Acids) present in WFO was carried out using sulfuric acid as a catalyst and in the second stage transesterification of neutral WFO was performed using NaOH as a catalyst

The WFO was contaminated with water, solid particles, FFA and many other chemicals. Since water and FFA create a problem during transesterification, WFO was pretreated before the reaction. Solid portion of WFO was removed using a centrifuge. Water was removed by methods that will be described in materials and methods.

In this paper some efforts was done to increase the yield of the trans-esterification reaction by using several acidic and basic catalysts and subsequently increasing the performances of the obtained biodiesel by adjusting the reaction conditions to avoid the saponification reaction as adverse reactions, Sodium Methoxide was used, so formation of soap is reduced and the washing stage is simplified.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL

### A. Materials and Methods

Waste Frying Oil (WFO) was obtained from confectionery, Anhydrous methanol 99.8%, Sodium hydroxide, Sodium Methoxide, Sulfuric acid, diethyl ether were purchased from Merck Co, Ltd (Germany).

### B. Apparatus

- 1) FT-IR with ATR (Attenuated Total Reflectance) cell (PerkinElmer, cat.No.58900, U.S.A.).
- 2) Hydrometer
- 3) Cannon-Fenske Viscometer (Brookfield, cat. No. RT519 14, U.S.A.)

### C. Trans-Esterification reaction with basic catalyst

50 g of WFO was refluxed for two hours in a flask equipped with a condenser, thermometer and magnetic stirrer at 60°C using different amounts (0.125, 0.25, 0.375, 0.5, 0.635, 0.75, 0.875, 1g) of basic catalysts of sodium hydroxide and sodium methoxide mixed with 11g methanol (6:1 alcohol to WFO ratio) that poured to the WFO. Results are shown in tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.

### D. Two stage biodiesel production

50g of WFO was refluxed for 6h using various quantities of diethyl ether (15,30 g) as a solvent for expediting the acidic reaction, were conducted using different quantities of acidic catalyst (Sulfuric acid: 1%, 2%, 5% equivalent to 0. 5, 1, 2. 5g) mixed with 22g methanol (12:1 alcohol to WFO ratio) and in second stage 25gr of produced biodiesel from first stage refluxed for 2 hours with 5.5g methanol (6:1) and highest efficiency of the basic catalyst sodium hydroxide (0.1875g) and sodium methoxide (0.25g) in separate reactions mixed with 5.5 g methanol which indicated the highest efficacy for

sodium hydroxide and all results are found to be placed in the permitted range. Results are shown in tables 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In one-stage synthesis of biodiesel with basis catalysts, saponification with sodium hydroxide is more observable in comparison with sodium methoxide, but sodium hydroxide is more economical and in denuded regions of water sodium methoxide is more economical because of less saponification and costs of washing with water.

In two-stage method (first stage esterification and second stage trans-esterification) in comparison with one-stage synthesis, took long time. Considering bellow tables, at first there is increase and then there is decrease because of the saponification.

The properties of a fuel determine the fuel quality and the biodiesel properties was determined, using fuel standard tests, and compared with biodiesel standards in US (ASTM D6751) and EN (EN1421). The standard tests are acid value, viscosity, density, flash point, cloud point, pour point, copper strip corrosion, Iodine value, boiling pint, saponification value, cetane index and lubricity number.

Fuels with high densities may increase the compression rate of tiny particles and NOx gas point diesel engines and with high viscosity blunder the injection process and culminates to the improper atomization and high flash point may produce deposited carbon in combustion chamber [11], [12] and [13]. Three standards including cloud point, freezing point and pour point have not been determined in ASTM standards for biodiesel and depend on the weather situation of the zone that weather this fuel is used, it should be noticed.

According to below charts, all of the characteristics were found in the permitted limit.

According to the table 1, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 46.6435 g when Sodium Hydroxide catalyst in amount of 0.375g was employed.

According to the table 3, the highest yield of biodiesel

TABLE I  
 YIELD REVIEW OF BASIS CATALYST SODIUM HYDROXIDE

Sample	Sodium Hydroxide(g)	Ester (g)	Yield
1	0.125	43.5234	87.0468
2	0.25	43.6741	87.3482
3	0.375	46.6435	93.2870
4	0.5	42.1328	84.2656
5	0.635	36.6573	73.3146
6	0.75	36.5813	73.1626
7	0.875	33.2150	66.4300
8	1	-	-

production reaction was found 44.2347 when Sodium Methoxide catalyst in amount of 0. 5g was employed.

According to the table 5, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 44.9122 when Sodium Methoxide catalyst in amount of 1g was employed.

TABLE II  
PROPERTIES OF PRODUCED BIODIESEL OF FWO AND SODIUM HYDROXIDE

Characteristics	Density	Viscosity	Cloud Point (°C)	Freezing Point (°C)	Flash Point (°C)	Pour Point (°C)
Sodium Hydroxide	870	4.1	-10	-14	160	-12
ASTM D6751	N. D.	1.8-6	N. D.	N. D.	Min 130	N. D.

<sup>a</sup>N. D. = Not Determined

TABLE III  
YIELD REVIEW OF BASIS CATALYST SODIUM METHOXIDE

Sample	Sodium Methoxide(g)	Ester (g)	Efficiency
9	0.125	41.8653	83.7306
10	0.25	42.3165	84.6330
11	0.375	43.3596	86.7192
12	0.5	44.2347	88.4694
13	0.635	42.8345	85.2542
14	0.75	42.6271	85.2542
15	0.875	41.8231	83.6462
16	1	40.7103	81.4206

According to the table 6, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 43.0762 g when Sodium Hydroxide catalyst in amount of 1g was employed.

According to the table 8, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 45.6902 g when Sodium Methoxide catalyst in amount of 1g was employed.

According to the table 9, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 43.7782 g when Sodium Hydroxide catalyst in amount of 1g was employed.

According to the table 11, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 46.2476 g when Sodium Methoxide catalyst in amount of 1g was employed.

According to the table 12, the highest yield of biodiesel production reaction was found 47.3290 g when Sodium Methoxide catalyst in amount of 1g was employed.

TABLE IV  
PROPERTIES OF PRODUCED BIODIESEL OF FWO AND SODIUM METHOXIDE

Characteristics	Density	Viscosity	Cloud Point (°C)	Freezing Point (°C)	Flash Point (°C)	Pour Point (°C)
Sodium Hydroxide	870	4.1	-10	-15	160	-14
ASTM D6751	N. D.	1.8-6	N. D.	N. D.	Min 130	N. D.

TABLE V  
YIELD REVIEW OF ACIDIC CATALYST SULFURIC ACID AND BASIS CATALYST SODIUM METHOXIDE IN TWO-STAGE

Sample	Sulfuric Acid (g)	Ester (g)	Yield	Sodium Methoxide (g)	Ester (g)	Yield
17	0.5	45.5536	91.1072	0.25	21.2831	42.5662
18	1	46.2894	92.5788	0.25	22.4561	44.9122
19	2.5	45.1153	90.2306	0.25	20.7841	41.5682

TABLE VI  
YIELD REVIEW OF ACIDIC CATALYST SULFURIC ACID AND BASIS CATALYST SODIUM HYDROXIDE IN TWO-STAGE

Sample	Sulfuric Acid (g)	Ester (g)	Yield	Sodium Methoxide (g)	Ester (g)	Yield
20	0.5	45.5536	91.1072	0.1875	20.8419	41.6838
21	1	46.2894	92.5788	0.1875	21.5381	43.0762
22	2.5	45.1153	90.2306	0.1875	21.1932	42.3864

TABLE VII  
PROPERTIES OF PRODUCED BIODIESEL OF FWO AND SODIUM METHOXIDE

Characteristics	Density	Viscosity	Cloud Point (°C)	Freezing Point (°C)	Flash Point (°C)	Pour Point (°C)
Sodium Hydroxide	870	4.1	-8	-12	160	-11
ASTM D6751	N. D.	1.8-6	N. D.	N. D.	Min 130	N. D.
Sulfuric acid	870	4.1	-9	-14	160	-12
Sodium Hydroxide						

TABLE VIII  
 YIELD REVIEW OF ACIDIC CATALYST SULFURIC ACID AND WITH 30 ML DIETHYL ETHER AND IN  
 SECOND STAGE WITH SODIUM METHOXIDE

Sample	Sulfuric Acid (g)	Ester (g)	Yield	Sodium Methoxide (g)	Ester (g)	Yield
23	0.5	45.4515	90.9030	0.25	21.4567	42.9134
24	1	46.0589	92.1178	0.25	22.8451	45.6902
25	2.5	44.5313	89.0626	0.25	21.1784	42.3568

TABLE IX  
 YIELD REVIEW OF ACIDIC CATALYST SULFURIC ACID AND WITH 30 ML DIETHYL ETHER AND IN  
 SECOND STAGE WITH SODIUM HYDROXIDE

Sample	Sulfuric Acid (g)	Ester (g)	Yield	Sodium Methoxide (g)	Ester (g)	Yield
26	0.5	45.4515	90.9030	0.25	21.3471	42.6942
27	1	46.0589	92.1178	0.25	21.8891	43.7782
28	2.5	44.5313	89.0626	0.25	20.6359	41.2718

TABLE X  
 PROPERTIES OF PRODUCED BIODIESEL OF FWO IN TWO-STAGE REACTION WITH SULFURIC ACID AND 30 ML  
 DIETHYL ETHER AND SODIUM HYDROXIDE AND ONCE WITH SODIUM METHOXIDE

Characteristics Catalysts	Density	Viscosity	Cloud Point (°C)	Freezing Point (°C)	Flash Point (°C)	Pour Point (°C)
Sulphuric acid Diethyl Ether 30 ml Sodium Methoxide	870	4.1	-10	-15	160	-12
ASTM D6751	N. D.	1.8-6	N. D.	N. D.	Min 130	N. D.
Sulphuric acid Diethyl Ether 30 ml Sodium Hydroxide	870	4.1	-9	-14	160	-12

TABLE XI  
 YIELD REVIEW OF ACIDIC CATALYST SULFURIC ACID AND WITH 15 ML DIETHYL ETHER AND IN  
 SECOND STAGE WITH SODIUM METHOXIDE

Sample	Sulfuric Acid (g)	Ester (g)	Yield	Sodium Methoxide (g)	Ester (g)	Yield
29	0.5	48.4598	96.9196	0.25	22.4873	44.9746
30	1	46.5124	113.0248	0.25	23.1238	46.2476
31	2.5	49.7831	99.5662	0.25	22.1891	44.3782

TABLE XII  
 YIELD REVIEW OF ACIDIC CATALYST SULFURIC ACID AND WITH 15ML DIETHYL ETHER AND IN  
 SECOND STAGE WITH SODIUM HYDROXIDE

Sample	Sulfuric Acid (g)	Ester (g)	Yield	Sodium Hydroxide (g)	Ester (g)	Yield
32	0.5	48.4598	96.9196	0.25	22.6423	45.2846
33	1	56.5124	113.0248	0.25	23.6645	47.3290
34	2.5	49.7831	99.5662	0.25	22.1124	44.2248

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. K. Gupta, R. Kumar, B. S. Panesar and V. K. Thapar, *Parametric studies on bio-diesel prepared from rice bran oil*. Agri Eng Int: CIGR Ejournal, 2007; IX [Paper No. EE 06 007].
- [2] P. Girard and A. Fallot, *Review of existing and emerging technologies for the production of biofuels in developing countries*. Energy Sustain Develop, 2006, pp. 92–108; X(2).
- [3] B. Batidzirai, A. P. C. Faaij and E. Smeets, *Biomass and bioenergy supply from Mozambique*. Energy Sustain Develop, 2006, pp. 54–81; X (1).
- [4] G. Best, *Alternative energy crops for agricultural machinery biofuels – focus on biodiesel*. Agri Eng Int: CIGR J Sci Res Develop 2006; VIII(13).
- [5] O. J. Alamu, M. A. Waheed and S. O. Jekayinfa, *Alkali-catalysed laboratory production and testing of biodiesel fuel from Nigerian palm kernel oil*. Agri Eng Int: CIGR Ejournal 2007;IX [Paper No. EE 07009].
- [6] Anonymous (2006 c), Biodiesel. Available on the <http://www.Sriconsulting.com>
- [7] Anonymous (2003a), European Bioenergy Networks. Available on the <http://www.vtt.fi>.
- [8] J. V. Gerpen, B. Shanks, R. Pruszek, D. Clements and G. Knothe, *Biodiesel Production Technology*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Boulevard, Golden, 2004.
- [9] A. Demirbas, *Biodiesel Fuels from Vegetable Oils via Catalytic and Non-Catalytic Supercritical Alcohol Transesterifications and other Methods: a Survey*. Journal of Energy Conversion and Management, 2003, vol. 44, pp. 2093–2109.
- [10] F. Ma and M. A. Hanna, *Biodiesel Production: a review*. Bio resource Technology, 1999, vol. 70, pp. 1–15
- [11] Attra, (2005). Biodiesel- A Primer. Available: [www.attra.org/attra-pub/biodiesel.html](http://www.attra.org/attra-pub/biodiesel.html) [2006, May26].
- [12] Alover, Maria.(2005). Bubble washing biodiesel and Emulsions. Available: <http://www.biodieselcommunity.org/bubblewashinbiodiesel>
- [13] Anonymous, (2006, d). Water Washing Biodiesel. Available: <http://biofuelsforum.com/showthread.php> [2006, May26].

**N. Akhavan Moghaddam** was born at Tehran in 1983 . The author gets her B. Sc degree in Applied Chemistry from Azad University, Tehran, Iran at 2005; and graduated for M. Sc in Organic chemistry from Azad University, North Tehran branch, Tehran, Iran at 2009. The author's major field of study is Optimization the Transesterification reaction of used fried oils by converting reaction conditions to produce Biodiesel with high quality and yield.

She was BUSINESS STAFF in Landis Co, Ltd from July 2001 til Jan 2005 and for Apco from Jun 2007 til Sep 2007. Her thesis work was continued in Business Department of Landis Company in field of Medical and surgical instruments and ambulances. Also she has a thesis in TPO (Trade Promotion Organization of Iran), [www.tpo.ir](http://www.tpo.ir), P. O. Box: 19395, Teheran, Iran.

**Kambiz Tahvildari** was born in Tehran, IRAN, on 09/22/1972. He completed his Bachelors in Applied chemistry from the Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch in 1996, Masters in Applied Chemistry from the IAU, North Tehran Branch in 1998 and Ph.D. in Applied chemistry from the IAU, North Tehran Branch in 2005.

He is Inspector, Responsible of R&D and assistant professor department of Applied Chemistry from the IAU, North Tehran Branch. He also taught various courses at the IAU, North Tehran Branch. He has experiences about 12 years in various areas including organic and inorganic chemistry, Alternative & Renewable Energy; teaching; business & technical consultant; project manager and launching 2 research labs at IAU, North Tehran Branch.

He has registered 7 patent and written 7 papers in technical journals and presented papers in large number of national and International conferences, workshops etc. He has been actively involved in preparing a large number of project reports/ studies implementation of Ethanol & biodiesel projects.

Dr. Kambiz Tahvildari is a member of IRANIAN Academy of Chemical Engineering since 2005 until Now.

**Saeed Taghvaei** was born in Tehran, IRAN, on 07/24/1971. He completed his B.Sc. in chemistry from Tehran University in 1993, M.Sc. in Organic Chemistry from Tehran University from 1993-1995 and Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry from Tehran University in 1999 and he get his Post Doc. From Giessen university in Germany in 2000.

He is Academic member of the IAU, North Tehran Branch. He also taught various courses at the IAU, North Tehran Branch e.g. Organic Chemistry, Physical Organic Chemistry, Medicinal Chemistry, Organic Synthesis, and Application of Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry, Biochemistry, Polymer Science, and Rubber Chemistry. He is the Managing Director of RIERCO. He is the member of Iran research and development association, executive committee of Iran rubber and tire association, managing board of RIERCO, Iranian nanotechnology association.

He has written more than 30 papers in technical journals and presented papers in large number of national and International conferences, workshops etc. e.g. The Chemistry of Retarders in rubber manufactures. The 13 th International Rubber Conference. SLOVAK RUBBER CONFERENCE 23-24, May 2001 and Modeling and Simulation of tire curing process, The7 th Iranian Seminar of Chemical Engineering, Tehran University , Oct, 2002.

Dr. Saeed Taghvaei is Assistant Professor, IA University, and North Tehran Branch, Chem. Dep., and Managing director of RIERCO (rubber industry engineering research company).