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Abstract—Gene, principal unit of inheritance, is an ordered sequence of nucleotides. The genes of eukaryotic organisms include alternating segments of exons and introns. The region of Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) within a gene containing instructions for coding a protein is called exon. On the other hand, non-coding regions called introns are another part of DNA that regulates gene expression by removing from the messenger Ribonucleic acid (RNA) in a splicing process. This paper proposes to determine splice junctions that are exon-intron boundaries by analyzing DNA sequences. A splice junction can be either exon-intron (EI) or intron-exon (IE). Because of the popularity and compatibility of the artificial neural network (ANN) in genetic fields; various ANN models are applied in this research. Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP), Radial Basis Function (RBF) and Generalized Regression Neural Networks (GRNN) are used to analyze and detect the splice junctions of gene sequences. 10-fold cross validation is used to demonstrate the accuracy of networks. The real performances of these networks are found by applying Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A gene determines one inherited feature of an organism. The set of genes interacts to direct physical development and behavior of an organism. Most genes encode proteins, but some are transcribed into non-coding RNA molecules that function in protein biosynthesis and gene regulation. In all organisms, there are two major steps of producing a functional molecule of RNA or protein called gene expression. The first step is transcription that produces a single-stranded RNA molecule known as messenger RNA (mRNA) whose nucleotide sequence is complementary to the DNA from which it was transcribed. The second step is translation in which a mature mRNA molecule is used as a template for synthesizing a new protein [1]. An exon is a nucleotide sequence that is transcribed (into RNA) but later eliminated from the transcription by splicing its adjacent exons. Therefore, only exons represent the mature gene. The splice junctions refer to the points in which splicing takes place that connect exon and intron regions. The DNA sequence is an ordered structure, so a splice junction can be either exon-intron (EI) or intron-exon (IE) [2-5] (See Fig. 1).

Determination of exon and intron regions is crucial in diagnosis of genetic diseases. Genetic information is generated through separation of exons and introns, and rejoining of exon regions. This process is called splicing. To provide accurate splicing, splice junctions should be obtained.

Fig. 1 Splice junctions of a gene

%15 of the mutations causing genetic diseases are originated from splicing mistakes. Most of these mutations are the changes of one nucleotid on the intronic and exonic regions of splice junctions [6]. Therefore, in a DNA sequence, the fundamental gene identification issue is to determine the presence and location of exons and introns in the sequence. Searching for special signal regions such as promoters (the initiation sites of transcription) or splice junctions is one approach. Measuring the splice characteristic of protein coding from segment to segment is another. In either case, exon identification is an essential step for gene modeling. A DNA sequence belongs to one of three classes [7, 8] according to the center of 60 nucleotides at the boundary between position 30-31: EI, an exon-intron boundary; IE, an intron-exon boundary; N, neither type of boundary (refer to Fig. 2). In this paper, two categories of IE and EI are chosen for ROC analysis. Thus, it is determined that in which category a DNA sequence belongs to.

II. METHOD

In this paper, ANN is chosen for determination of splice junctions because of its learning and generalization capabilities [9-11]. MLP, RBF and GRNN are applied to this work. The data set used in ANN application, is taken from Genbank [12], and it contains 1535 instances.
Each instance consists of a sequence of 60 DNA nucleotides of four base types: A (Adenine), G (Guanine), T (Thymine), C (Cytosine) and a label indicated one of two possible classes: IE (an intron-exon boundary, called an acceptor), EI (an exon-intron boundary, called donor). There are 768 instances in the IE category, 767 instances in the EI category.

III. THE WAY OF IMPROVING SOLUTION

Cross validation and ROC analysis are applied to find the real performances of the networks used to classify the dataset. Cross validation is used to find the generalization ability of ANN classification. ROC analysis has widely been used in medical data analysis to study the effect of varying the threshold on the numerical outcome of a diagnostic test.

A. Cross Validation

Cross validation is the statistical practice of partitioning a sample of data into subsets such that the analysis is initially performed on a single subset, while the other subset(s) are retained for subsequent use in confirming and validating the initial analysis. In this method, some of the data is removed before training begins. The initial subset of data is called the training set; while the other subset(s) are called testing sets. In the hold-out method that is the simple kind of cross validation, the data set is separated into two sets, called the training set and the testing set. In k-fold cross validation, the original sample is partitioned into k subset. A single subset is retained as the testing data and the remaining k – 1 subsets are used as training data. Then, the k results of testing and training can be averaged to produce a single estimation. Namely, in k-fold cross validation, the data set is divided into k subsets, and the holdout method is repeated k times [13]. In this work, k is 10.

B. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Analysis

ROC Analysis is related in a direct and natural way to cost/benefit analysis of diagnostic decision making. It is originated from signal detection theory, as a model of how well a receiver is able to detect a signal in the presence of noise. There are four possible outcomes from a binary classifier. If the outcome from a prediction is p and the actual value is also p, then it is called a true positive (TP); however if the actual value is n, then it is said a false positive (FP). Conversely, a true negative (TN) has occurred when both the prediction outcome and the actual value are n, and false negative (FN) is when the prediction outcome is n while the actual value is p [14]. In this work, p and n is defined as EI and IE respectively shown in Table I. The limitations of diagnostic "accuracy" as a measure of decision performance require introduction of the concepts of the "sensitivity" and "specificity" of a diagnostic test. The equations of these measures can be given by (1) and (2) [15]:

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false negatives}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{true negatives}}{\text{true negatives} + \text{false positives}} \tag{2}
\]

The key feature of ROC analysis is the distinction between hit rate (or true positive rate) and false alarm rate (or false positive rate) as two separate performance measures.

IV. RESULTS

MLP network has 60 input, one hidden layer of 6 hidden unit and one output layer of one unit. Each input DNA sequence for processing consists of 60 nucleotides. In the network, the nucleotide in each position is encoded by four input units designated by A, G, T and C. In hidden and output layers, “logarithmic sigmoid” (logsig) and “saturating linear transfer function” (satlin) are used. Data should be numeric for artificial neural network [16], so numerical values instead of A,T,G,C are applied to input layer of the network. MLP network was trained 50 epochs. While one subset is used for testing, nine of them is used for training. Each of the subsets is tested ten times in MLP and the average of them is calculated as success rate. GRNN and RBF have 60 inputs and an output layer of one unit. The spread value is chosen 0.5 for both of them. Classification accuracies of every subsets in training and testing processes and the average success rates of MLP, RBF and GRNN are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Value</th>
<th>EI</th>
<th>IE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>False Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>False Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table I

**Decision Table For EI-IE Classification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Value</th>
<th>EI</th>
<th>IE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>False Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>False Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II

**Results of MLP, RBF and GRNN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MLP</th>
<th>RBF</th>
<th>GRNN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Testing (%)</td>
<td>91.23</td>
<td>89.35</td>
<td>91.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training (%)</td>
<td>98.88</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are applications using 10-fold cross validation for recognition splice junctions in literature. They are shown in Table III [12]. In this work, it has been shown that MLP, RBF and GRNN have a higher testing rate than previous works have.

### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Works</th>
<th>Accuracies (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KBANN</td>
<td>83.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backprob</td>
<td>83.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pebls</td>
<td>84.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptron</td>
<td>67.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID3</td>
<td>75.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COBWEB</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neigrest-Neigb</td>
<td>79.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sensitivity and specificity values of MLP, RBF and GRNN are demonstrated in Table IV.

### TABLE IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLP Training</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLP Testing</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF Training</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF Testing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRNN Testing</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRNN Training</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to obtained results of MLP, GRNN and RBF, training accuracies of all networks are higher than 90%. Results of testing sets including data that is not used in training sets are more suitable for evaluation of network performances. Thus, all of obtained success rates by using test sets, ROC analysis of test sets and ROC curves drawn for test sets are considered for three networks. Success rates for test sets are acquired as 91.23 % for MLP, 91.14 % for GRNN and 89.35 % for RBF. So, MLP and GRNN are more superior in accuracies. However, ROC analysis results are taken into consideration for a more detailed review because these values alone are not expressive (they do not demonstrate network performances accurately.). Sensitivity values that give correctly detected EI junctions are found as 0.9 for MLP, 0.93 for GRNN and 0.95 for RBF. Specificity values which give correctly detected IE junctions are found as 0.92 for MLP, 0.89 for GRNN and 0.85 for RBF. MLP gives best results for specificity and worst results in sensitivity. On the other hand, RBF gives best results for sensitivity and worst results in specificity. According to these results, GRNN has the most correct result supplying network for both specificity and sensitivity values. In addition, according to ROC curves drawn for different decision borders used in test sets, GRNN supplies best result (Fig. 3). Thus, it is tellable that GRNN is more successful than other networks which are used in this work to determine EI_IE and IE_EI junctions. Determination of exon regions that supplies genetic information on gene is planned as a next step of this work by applying GRNN.
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