# Investigationon Metalosalen Complexes Binding to DNA using Ab initio calculations

M.Jahangiri Lahkani, Gh.Ghassemi, N.Sohrabi, N.Rasooli

**Abstract**—Geometry optimizations of metal complexes of Salen(bis(Salicylidene)1,2-ethylenediamine) were carried out at HF and DFT methods employing Lanl2DZ basis set. In this work structural, energies, bond lengths and other physical properties between  $Mn^{2+}$ , $Cu^{2+}$  and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions coordinated by salen–type ligands are examined. All calculations were performed using Gaussian 98W program series. To investigate local aromaticities, NICS were calculated at all centers of rings. The higher the band gap indicating a higher global aromaticity. The possible binding energies have been evaluated. We have evaluated Frequencies and Zero-point energy with freq calculation. The NICS(Nucleous Independent Chemical Shift) Results show Ni(II) complexes are antiaromatic and aromaticites of Mn(II) complexes are larger than Cu(II) complexes. The energy Results show Cu(II) complexes are stability than Mn(II) and Ni(II) complexes.

*Keywords*—Frequency Calculation, Hartree-Fock(HF), Nucleous Independent Chemical Shift(NICS), Salen(bis(Salicylidene)1,2ethylenediamine)

## I.INTRODUCTION

SALEN (bis(Salicylidene)1,2-ethylenediamine) ligands can be categorized in Schiff-base ligand group. Schiff bases derived from aliphatic amines and salicylaldehyde drew the interest of many workers based on their antitumor activities which has been investigated on different Schiff-base ligands [1]. This activity will be increased by coordinating ligands to metal ions. Salen complexes and their derivatives with transition metals of first row have been synthesized [2] and some of their activities have been investigated, for example potential applications as catalyst [3,4] and their interactions with DNA [5]. The controlled assembly of functional nanoscale materials from molecular entities isregarded as a key subject of future nanotechnology. Currently, the use of DNA, which features superior self organization properties, is heavily investigated. The aim of this work was the development of new systems for the controlled binding of multiple metal ions to the inside or outside of modified DNA double strands [6]. In the "metal-base pair concept", the natural DNA base pairs are replaced by flat metal complexes. The placement of metals inside the chiral DNA environment may result in enantioselective catalytic activity of these hybrid compounds.

F. M. JahangiriLahkani is student in Department of chemistry, Payamenoor university, Tehran, I. R. Iran (e-mail: Jahangiri86@yahoo.com).

S. Gh.Ghassemi is with the Department of Chemistry, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran (e-mail: Ghasemi@iaurasht.ac.ir).

T. N.Sohrabi is with the Department of chemistry, Payamenoor university, Tehran, I. R. Iran (e-mail: nasrinsohrabi@yahoo.com).

F. N.Rasooli is with the Department of chemistry, Payamenoor university, Tehran, I. R. Iran

Furthermore, the incorporation of numerous metal-base pairs into oligonucleotides may lead to compounds with interesting electronic and magnetic properties. Many DNA binding studies of metal complexes of Salen-type ligands have been reported in the literature, for example of Mn [7]. The capability to interact with DNA is determined by several factors such as the nature of the ligand and the coordination geometry [8].

A special interest behind the incorporation of several metalbase pairs into one DNA duplex is the desire to construct metal arrays which provide new perspectives for the nanotechnological exploitation of the DNA structure as a molecular wire or electronic switch or for the generation of artificial multi-metal ribozymes. Furthermore, access to a variable set of multidentate ligands is of great interest to study metal interactions in homo- or hetero-multimetallic coordination compounds.

# **II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

Geometry of complexeswere optimized at LANL2DZ basis sets (see Table I and III). NICS Calculations reported in this paper were carried out by using gradient-corrected density functional theory with the Becke–Lee–Young–Parr exchange correlation functional (B3LYP) as implemented in the Gaussian 98 program where LANL2DZ basis sets were used (see Table IV).Theoretical calculations of frequencies at the fully optimized geometries ofMetalosalen Complexes have been performed at ROHF level, where the used basis sets are LANL2DZ (see Table V).Metal-salen complexes have been shown to bind to DNA in an intercalating fashionand have been used for the structural characterization of DNA.



World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Chemical and Molecular Engineering Vol:5, No:9, 2011





(b) Cu(II)Salen(O)

(c) Cu(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose



Open Science Index, Chemical and Molecular Engineering Vol:5, No:9, 2011 publications.waset.org/4323.pdf

(e) Cu(II)Salen(O) inDNA



World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Chemical and Molecular Engineering Vol:5, No:9, 2011



Fig. 2Schematic representation of the assembly of the metal-salen base pair inside the DNA

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Calculations reported in this paper were carried out by using a restricted open-shell version of the Hartree–Fock method and gradient-corrected density functional theory with the Becke–Lee–Young–Parr exchange correlation functional (B3LYP) as implemented in the Guassian 98. This combination of theoretical methods (ROHF for geometry optimization and DFT/B3LYP for NICS calculation) was employed in the present study. The geometry of complex is shown in fig. 1. For each compound, calculations of frequency were performed. Frequency indicated that the zero-point energyfor Mn(II) complexes are highter than Cu(II) and Ni(II) complexes.

Molecules Name	Energy(Hartree/ Particle)		
H <sub>2</sub> salen	-873.5501446	-	-
Cu(II)Salen(N)	-1066.8178739	-0.919413	-1.25111
Cu(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose	-1825.7428548	-1.118981	-1.192119
Mn(II)Salen(N)	-974.9850002	-1.004179	-1.438456
Mn(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose	-1733.9087177	-1.211619	-1.475776
Ni(II)Salen(N)	-1040.7586546	-0.883675	-1.088809
Ni(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose	-1799.657115	-1.031671	-1.433362
Cu(II)Salen(O)	-1029.5445035	-	-
Cu(II)Salen(O) in Deoxyribose	-1788.4828296	-1.081543	-1.081543
Mn(II)Salen(O)	-937.7461022	-	-
Mn(II)Salen(O) in Deoxyribose	-1696.6644931	-1.2847	-1.2847
Ni(II)Salen(O)	-1003.5510665	-	-
Ni(II)Salen(O) in Deoxyribose	-1762.4504602	-1.157932	-1.157932

 TABLE I

 ENERGYANDATOMICCHARGEOFMETALOSALENCOMPLEXESINROHF/ LANL2DZLEVEL

TABLE II Energy Of Metalosalen Complexesin Uff Method				
Molecules Name Energy(Hartree/ Particle)				
Cu(II)Salen(N) in DNA Mn(II)Salen(N) in DNA	0.0012458 0.1647453			
Ni(II)Salen(N) in DNA	-0.1665594			
Cu(II)Salen(O) in DNA	-0.157442			
Mn(II)Salen(O) in DNA	-0.0123954			
Ni(II)Salen(O) in DNA	-0.3056935			

According to Table I and II we observe that energy of complexes when attached to DNA are more stable and Cu(II) complexes are stability than Mn(II) and Ni(II) complexes.

TABLE III
OPTIMIZED BOND LENGTH (A <sup>0</sup> )AND BONDANGLE(DEGREE) OF COMPLEXES
IN ROHF/ LANL2DZ LEVEL

Molecules Name	(9)N-M <sup>a</sup>	(10)O-M <sup>a</sup>	(9)N-M <sup>a</sup> -O(10)
Cu(II)Salen(N)	1.9039	1.8609	90.6079
Cu(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose	1.8986	1.8592	90.9201
Mn(II)Salen(N)	2.0082	1.747	90.152
Mn(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose	2.0065	1.8828	88.2641
Ni(II)Salen(N)	1.9585	1.8788	90.8396
Ni(II)Salen(N) in Deoxyribose	1.9573	1.8806	90.7877

 $M = Cu^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Ni^{2+a}$ 

The bond length to our calculations show Cu(II) complexes are shorter than Mn(II) and Ni(II) complexes. The calculated bond angles also show Cu(II) complexes are highter than Mn(II) and Ni(II) complexes. It is possible to because of electronic configuration of Cu(II) complexes.

TABLE IV

NICS CALCULATIONSIN UB3LYP/ LANL2DZ LEVEL			
Molecules Name	NICS		
Cu(II)Salen(N)	-2.0117		
Mn(II)Salen(N)	-4.0124		
Ni(II)Salen(N)	4.0825		
Cu(II)Salen(O)	-4.7113		
Mn(II)Salen(O)	-5.6081		
Ni(II)Salen(O)	3.7520		

The NICS Results show Ni(II) complexes are antiaromatic and aromaticites of Mn(II) complexes are larger than Cu(II) complexes.

TABLE V FREQ CALCULATIONSIN ROHF/ LanL2DZ LEVEL						
Molecules Name	E (Thermal) KCal/Mol	C <sub>v</sub> Cal/Mol- Kelvin	S Cal/Mol- Kelvin	Zero-point vibrational energy (Kcal/Mol)		
Cu(II)Salen(N)	189.386	56.920	114.937	181.07864		
Mn(II)Salen(N)	194.489	62.621	127.687	184.76634		
Ni(II)Salen(N)	194.088	62.386	126.165	184.36618		
Cu(II)Salen(O)	145.882	51.289	110.659	138.25549		
Mn(II)Salen(O)	148.898	50.059	110.296	141.38784		
Ni(II)Salen(O)	151.668	56.779	123.604	142.49901		

Frequency calculations show that the zero-point energy for Mn(II) complexes are higher than Cu(II) and Ni(II) complexes.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Ab initio calculations were performed using the G98w program package, running on a personal computer. The fully optimised geometry, the frequency Calculation, and NICS Calculation were obtained at the ROHF and B3LYP level, using the valence double-zeta basis set of Dunning and Hay (Lanl2DZ basis set of G98).

In this thesis a new kind of metal-base pair based on the well knownsalen ligand was developed. A new feature that differentiates the metal-salen base pair from other known metal-base pairs is the crosslinking character of the metal salen complex, which resulted in a greatly enhanced structural stability of the DNA.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Research Vice Presidency of Payamenoor university, Tehran, I. R. Iranfor their encouragement, permission and financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- G. Liu, J. Peiliao, S. Huang, G. Lishen, R. Qinyu, Anal. Sci. 17 (2001) 1031–1035.
- [2] R. Klement, F. Stock, H. Elias, H. Paulus, P. Pelikan, M. Valko, M. Mazur, Polyhedron18 (1999) 3617–3628.
- [3] C. Baleiz ao, H. Garcia, Chem. Rev. 106 (2006) 3987–4043.
- [4] L. Canali, D.C. Sherrington, Chem. Soc. Rev. 28 (1999)85-93.
- [5] S. Bhattacharya, S.S. Mandal, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1995) 2489–2490.
- [6] G. H. Clever, Y. Söltl, H. Burks, W. Spahl, T. Carell, Chem. Eu.J.(2006), 12,8708 - 8718.
- [7] K. Takahashi, K. Fukiura, H. Arii, M.Chikira, Nucl. Acids Symp. Ser. 51 (2007) 189–190.
- [8] S. S. Mandal, U. Varshney, S. Bhattacharya, Bioconjugate Chem. (1997), 8, 798-812.