

Modern Method for Solving Pure Integer Programming Models

G. Shojatalab

Defining Case “B” :

$$\begin{aligned} B(1): C_k > 0, \quad a_{ik} < 0 \quad ; \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad k \in j = 1, \dots, n \\ B(2): C_k < 0, \quad a_{ik} > 0 \quad ; \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad k \in j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

Without putting any contrary in totally logic, supposing case “A(1)” indefeasible:

$$1) \quad \text{MAX } Z = \sum_{j=1}^n C_j x_j \quad ; \quad C_k > 0, \quad a_{ik} > 0 \\ \text{s.t. } Ax \leq b \quad ; \quad i = 1 \dots m; \\ k \in j = 1, \dots, n \quad x \in W$$

In this satiation, supposing objective function as:
 $-\sum_{j=1}^n C_j x_j \leq -Z$ with “m” nonequivalent constraints.
 Forming an apparatus with $(m+1)$ nonequivalent with $(n+1)$ unknown quantities.

$$2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) -C_1 x_1 - \dots - C_n x_n \leq -Z \\ (2) a_{11} x_1 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n \leq b_1 \\ (3) a_{21} x_1 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n \leq b_2 \\ \vdots \\ (m+1) a_{m1} x_1 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n \leq b_m \end{array} \right. \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W$$

Supposing all dual combination from nonequivalent of (1) with all other nonequivalents:

$$3) \quad (1,2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -C_1 x_1 - \dots - C_n x_n \leq -Z \\ a_{11} x_1 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n \leq b_1 \end{array} \right. \\ (1,3) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -C_1 x_1 - \dots - C_n x_n \leq -Z \\ a_{21} x_1 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n \leq b_2 \end{array} \right. \\ \vdots \\ (1, (m+1)) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -C_1 x_1 - \dots - C_n x_n \leq -Z \\ a_{m1} x_1 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n \leq b_m \end{array} \right.$$

In upon apparatus, $C_1, a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{m1}$ are supposed to be positives, therefore, Omitting x_1 from all upon apparatus, hence, in apparatus (1,2) having:

$$B: \text{MIN } Z = \sum_{j=1}^n C_j x_j \\ \text{s.t. } Ax \leq b \\ x \in W; W = \{0,1,2,3,\dots\}$$

G.Shojatalab is with the Industrial engineering Department, Shomal University, Amol, Iran (phone: +98-9111354521; fax: +98-121-2203755; e-mail: gshojatalab@yahoo.com).

$$4) \begin{cases} -a_{11}C_1x_1 - \dots - a_{11}C_nx_n \leq -a_{11}Z \\ a_{11}C_1x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}C_1x_n \leq C_1b_1 \end{cases}$$

From totaling upon dual nonequivalent, having:

$$5) -a_{11}C_2x_2 + a_{12}C_1x_2 - \dots - a_{11}C_nx_n + a_{1n}C_1x_n \leq -a_{11}Z + C_1b_1$$

Omitting x_1 continues, having:

$$6) -a_{m1}C_2x_2 + a_{m2}C_1x_2 - \dots - a_{m1}C_nx_n + a_{mn}C_1x_n \leq -a_{m1}Z + C_1b_m$$

Having an apparatus with "m" nonequivalent with "n" unknown quantities, (5) and (6) are samples of them. We can continuing the last step and Omitting x_2 and then having (m-1) nonequivalent with (n-1) unknown quantities.

Finally, with continuing upon step and Omitting x_3, x_4, \dots, x_i ($i \in j$), reaching to nonequivalent with (n-m+1) unknown quantities, interducing:

$x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_n, Z$

(End of section 2)

III. EXAMPLES

A. Example for "Case A(1)"

$$\text{MAX } Z = 2x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 + 5x_4 \\ \text{s.t.}$$

$$\begin{cases} 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 \leq 8 \\ x_1 + 7x_2 + 3x_3 + 7x_4 \leq 46 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \leq 10 \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in W; W=\{0,1,2,\dots\} \end{cases}$$

Resolve:

$$\begin{cases} -2x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 \leq -Z \\ 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 \leq 8 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus (9), then having:

$$3Z \leq 5x_2 - 11x_3 + 11x_4 + 16$$

$$\begin{cases} -2x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 \leq -Z \\ x_1 + 7x_2 + 3x_3 + 7x_4 \leq 46 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus (11), then having:

$$Q2) Z \leq -13x_2 - 9x_3 - 9x_4 + 92$$

$$13) \begin{cases} -2x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 \leq -Z \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \leq 10 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus (13), then having:

$$14) Z \leq -2x_2 - 2x_3 + 4x_4 + 10$$

Here, forming an apparatus using nonequivalents of (10), (12)26 (14) :

$$15) \begin{cases} 3Z \leq 5x_2 - 11x_3 + 11x_4 + 16 \\ Z \leq -13x_2 - 9x_3 - 9x_4 + 92 \\ Z \leq -2x_2 - 2x_3 + 4x_4 + 10 \end{cases}$$

Choosing a dual combination form above apparatus:

$$16) \begin{cases} 3Z \leq 5x_2 - 11x_3 + 11x_4 + 16 \\ Z \leq -13x_2 - 9x_3 - 9x_4 + 92 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_2 in apparatus (16), then having:

$$17) 44Z \leq -188x_3 + 98x_4 + 668$$

Choosing a dual combination from above apparatus:

$$18) \begin{cases} 3Z \leq 5x_2 - 11x_3 + 11x_4 + 16 \\ Z \leq -2x_2 - 2x_3 + 4x_4 + 10 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_2 in apparatus (18), then having:

$$19) 11Z \leq -32x_3 + 42x_4 + 82$$

Variables of x_3 and x_4 can not be omitted in (17), (19), because, the sign of x_3 in both of them is negative and the sign of x_4 , in both of them is positive.

Supposing (17), (19) :

$$20) \begin{cases} 44Z \leq -188x_3 + 98x_4 + 668 \\ 44Z \leq -128x_3 + 168x_4 + 328 \end{cases}$$

Having 3 conditions:

Condition 1:

$$21) -188x_3 + 98x_4 + 668 = -128x_3 + 168x_4 + 328$$

Simplifying (21), then having:

$$22) 6x_3 + 7x_4 = 34$$

According to (22) and integering variables of decision:

$$23) x_4 = 2q \Rightarrow 3x_3 + 7q = 17$$

According to (23), having:

$$24) q + 1 = 3d \Rightarrow q = 3d - 1 \Rightarrow 3x_3 + 21d = 24$$

Therefore, having:

$$25) x_3 = 8 - 7d, x_4 = 6d - 2$$

Hence, for d=1 having:

$$x_3 = 1, x_4 = 4$$

Putting values of x_3 and x_4 in nonequivalents of (20) having:

$$27) 44Z \leq -188(1) + 98(4) + 668 \Rightarrow Z \leq 19$$

Putting values of x_3, x_4 and Z in nonequivalent (8) having:

$$28) \begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 - 3(1) + 5(4) \leq 19 & \Rightarrow \\ 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \\ 3x_1 - x_2 + 1 + 2(4) \leq 8 & \Rightarrow \\ 3x_1 - x_2 \leq -1 \\ x_1 + 7x_2 + 3(1) + 7(4) \leq 46 & \Rightarrow \\ x_1 + 7x_2 \leq 15 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 1 + 4 \leq 10 & \Rightarrow \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 7 \end{cases}$$

Simply , According to (28) having:

$$29) x_1 = 0, x_2 = 2, Z = 19$$

Condition 2:

$$-188x_3 + 98x_4 + 668 > -128x_3 + 168x_4 + 328$$

Simplifying (30), then having:

$$1) 6x_3 + 7x_4 < 34$$

Therefore having:

$$32) 44Z \leq -128x_3 + 168x_4 + 328$$

Hence, regarding to (31) and (32) having:

$$33) x_3 = 0, x_4 = 4, Z \leq 22$$

Thereupon:

$$34) \begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \\ 3x_1 - x_2 \leq 0 \\ x_1 + 7x_2 \leq 18 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 6 \end{cases} \Rightarrow 5x_1 \leq 2 \Rightarrow x_1 = 0, x_2 = 2, Z = 22$$

Condition 3:

$$35) -188x_3 + 98x_4 + 668 < -128x_3 + 168x_4 + 328$$

Sampling:

$$36) 6x_3 + 7x_4 > 34$$

Hence:

$$37) 44Z \leq -188x_3 + 98x_4 + 668$$

Regarding to (36) and constraint of $x_1 + 7x_2 + 3x_3 + 7x_4 \leq 46$ having:

$$38) x_3 = 0, x_4 = 5 \text{ or } x_4 = 6$$

Putting values in (37) :

$$39) (x_3 = 0, x_4 = 5) \Rightarrow Z \leq 26$$

Putting values in objective function:

$$40) 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \Rightarrow x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 \leq 1$$

Putting values in first constraint:

$$41) -x_2 + 10 \leq 8 \Rightarrow x_2 \geq 2$$

Nonequivalents of (40), (41) reverse each other.

Putting values in (37):

$$42) (x_3 = 0, x_4 = 6) \Rightarrow Z \leq 28$$

Putting values in objective function:

$$43) 2x_1 + x_2 \leq -2$$

Unequal of (43) is an impossible tie (x_j is an integer variable). Therefore optimal result is attained from (34):

$$44) x_1 = 0, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 0, x_4 = 4, Z = 22$$

End of example A.

B. Example for "Case A(2)"

$$MAX Z = -3x_1 + x_2 - 7x_3 + 3x_4$$

s.t:

$$45) \begin{cases} -x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \leq -2 \\ x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \leq 1 \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in W; W = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

Resolve:

$$46) \begin{cases} 3x_1 - x_2 + 7x_3 - 3x_4 \leq -Z \\ -x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \leq -2 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus (46),then having:

$$47) 2x_2 + 4x_3 \leq -Z - 6$$

$$48) \begin{cases} -x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \leq -2 \\ x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus of (48),then having:

$$49) -2x_3 + x_4 \leq -1 \Rightarrow x_3 \geq 1$$

Forming (47) and (49) together, Omitting x_3 :

$$50) \begin{cases} 2x_2 + 4x_3 \leq -Z - 6 \\ -2x_3 + x_4 \leq 1 \\ 2(x_2 + x_4) \leq -Z - 8 \end{cases} \Rightarrow$$

$$51) Z \leq -2x_2 - 2x_4 - 8$$

C. Example for "Case B(1)":

Regarding to (49):

$$52) x_3 = 1 \Rightarrow x_4 \leq 1 \Rightarrow x_4 = 1 \text{ or } x_4 = 0$$

Putting values in objective function:

$$53) x_4 = 1 \Rightarrow Z = -3x_1 + x_2 - 4$$

Putting values in constraints:

$$54) \begin{cases} -x_1 + x_2 \leq -2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \leq 2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = 2$$

$$55) Z = -3x_1 + x_2 - 4 = -3(x_2 + 2) + x_2 - 4 = -2x_2 - 10$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \\ & \begin{cases} x_2 = 0 \\ x_1 = 2 \\ Z = -10 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Putting values in objective function:

$$56) x_4 = 0 \Rightarrow Z = -3x_1 + x_2 - 7$$

(56)

Putting values in constraints:

$$57) \begin{cases} -x_1 + x_2 \leq -1 \\ x_1 - x_2 \leq 2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 \geq 1$$

$$58) x_1 - x_2 = 1 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2 + 1 \Rightarrow Z = -3(x_2 + 1) + x_2 - 7 \Rightarrow Z = -2x_2 - 10$$

Therefore:

$$59) x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = 1, Z = -10$$

$$60) x_1 - x_2 = 2 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2 + 2 \Rightarrow Z = -3(x_2 + 2) +$$

$$x_2 - 7 \Rightarrow -2x_2 - 13$$

$$61) x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = 2, Z = -13$$

Therefore, optimal result is attained from (55), (59):

$$62) x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 1, Z = -10$$

$$63) x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 0, Z = -10$$

End of example B.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{MIN } Z = 10x_1 + 14x_2 + 21x_3 \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & 64) \begin{cases} -8x_1 - 11x_2 - 9x_3 \leq -12 \\ -2x_1 - 2x_2 - 7x_3 \leq -14 \\ -9x_1 - 6x_2 - 3x_3 \leq -10 \end{cases} \\ & (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in W; W = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

Resolve:

$$65) \begin{cases} 10x_1 + 14x_2 + 21x_3 \leq Z \\ -8x_1 - 11x_2 - 9x_3 \leq -12 \\ -2x_1 - 2x_2 - 7x_3 \leq -14 \\ -9x_1 - 6x_2 - 3x_3 \leq -10 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus (65), then having:

$$66) \begin{cases} x_2 + 39x_3 \leq 4Z - 60 \\ 4x_2 - 14x_3 \leq Z - 70 \\ 66x_2 + 159x_3 \leq 9Z - 100 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_3 in apparatus (66), then having:

$$67) \begin{cases} 170x_2 \leq -3570 + 95Z \\ 1560x_2 \leq -12530 + 285Z \\ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 102x_2 + 2142 \leq 57Z \\ 312x_2 + 2506 \leq 57Z \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$68) x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow Z \geq 44 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 10x_1 + 21x_3 \geq 44 \\ 8x_1 + 9x_3 \geq 12 \\ 2x_1 + 7x_3 \geq 14 \\ 9x_1 + 3x_3 \geq 10 \end{cases}$$

$$69) x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 \geq 7 \Rightarrow x_1 = 7, Z = 70$$

$$70) x_3 = 1 \Rightarrow x_1 \geq 4 \Rightarrow x_1 = 4, Z = 61$$

$$71) x_3 = 2 \Rightarrow x_1 \geq 1 \Rightarrow x_1 = 1, Z = 52$$

Continuing current operation leads to increasing "Z", so not to raising " x_3 ".

$$72) x_2 = 1 \Rightarrow Z \geq 50 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 10x_1 + 21x_3 \geq 36 \\ 8x_1 + 9x_3 \geq 1 \\ 2x_1 + 7x_3 \geq 12 \\ 9x_1 + 3x_3 \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

73) For satisfying (72), x_1 and x_3 must be like $\begin{cases} x_1 \geq 0 \\ x_3 \geq 2 \end{cases}$ or like $\begin{cases} x_1 \geq 3 \\ x_3 \geq 1 \end{cases}$, therefore, having $Z=56$ using 2 first constraints and having $Z=65$ using 2 second constraints, which both of them are not optimum.

Not to continuing these steps because it leads to raising value of Z over 52.

$$74) \quad x_1 = 2 \Rightarrow Z \geq 55$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 1, \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_3 = 2, \quad x_4 = 0, \quad Z = \\ &52 \end{aligned}$$

End of example C.

D. Example for "Case B(2)"

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MIN } Z &= 2x_1 - 3x_2 - 4x_3 \\ \text{S.t.} \\ 75) \quad &\begin{cases} -x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 8 \\ 3x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \leq 10 \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in W; W=\{0,1,2,\dots\} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Resolve:

$$76) \quad \begin{cases} 2x_1 - 3x_2 - 4x_3 \leq Z \\ -x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 8 \\ 3x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \leq 10 \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_2 in apparatus (76), then having:

$$77) \quad \begin{cases} -x_1 + 5x_3 \leq 24 + Z \\ 13x_1 - 11x_3 \leq 30 + 2Z \end{cases}$$

Omitting x_1 in apparatus (77), then having:

$$78) \quad \begin{cases} 5Z \geq 18x_3 - 114 \\ x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow Z \geq -22 \Rightarrow Z = -22 + K, \quad K = 0,1,2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$79) \quad \begin{cases} 2x_1 - 3x_2 = -22 + K \\ -x_1 + x_2 \leq 8 \\ 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 10 \\ 3x_2 = 2x_1 + 22 - K \\ x_2 \leq 5 \Rightarrow 3x_2 \leq 15 \end{cases} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x_1 + 22 - K \leq 15 \Rightarrow K \geq 2x_1 + 7$$

By a brief research, finding out optimal result:

$$x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 5, \quad x_3 = 1, \quad Z = -19$$

End of example D.

IV. CONCLUSION

The effectiveness of the implied method is that with the aid of simple software, we can make an improvement in the process of solving the pure integer programming problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Schrijver, "Theory of Linear and Integer Programming," John Wiley & Sons, 1998, ISBN 0-471-98232-6.