

# Existence of solution for singular two-point boundary value problem of second-order differential equation

Xiguang Li

**Abstract**—In this paper , by constructing a special set and utilizing fixed point theory in coin , we study the existence of solution of singular two points boundary value problem for second-order differential equation , which improved and generalize the result of related paper .

**Keywords**—singular differential equation , boundary value problem, coin , fixed point theory .

## I. INTRODUCTION

**T**HE theory of singular differential equation is emerging as an important area of investigation since it is much richer than the corresponding theory of concerning equation without singular . Recently,some existence results concerning the general boundary value problem of singular differential equation have been obtained ([1-3]) , in thesis [4].wang proved the existence of solution for the general boundary value problem for the second-order differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = f(t, x(t)), \\ \alpha x(0) - \beta x'(0) = 0, \\ \gamma x(1) + \delta x'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

however , the  $f$  is a function without the term  $x'$  , motivated by the work of Wang , in this paper we study the following second-order differential equation

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = f(t, x(t)x'(t)), \\ \alpha x(0) - \beta x'(0) = 0, \\ \gamma x(1) + \delta x'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $f \in C[J \times R^2, R], J = [0, 1], f(\cdot)$  may be singular at  $t = 0, 1$  , that is  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f(t, \cdot)\| = \infty, \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \|f(t, \cdot)\| = \infty$ , let  $C[J, R] = \{x : J \rightarrow R \mid x \text{ is continuous in } J\}$  , and  $C^1[J, R] = \{x \in C[J, R] \mid x' \text{ is continuous in } J\}$ , It is easy to prove that  $C[J, R]$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|x\| = \max_{t \in J} |x(t)|$  ,  $C^1[J, R]$  is also a Banach space with norm  $\|x\|_1 = \max\{|x(t)|, |x'(t)|\}$  , A map  $x \in C^1[J, R] \cap C^2[J, R]$  is called a solution of (1) if it satisfies all equations of (1) . For convenience sake , we list the definition and preliminary lemmas .

**Definition 1.1** let  $E$  be a real Banach space , if  $P$  is a convex close set and satisfied the following conditions : (1)  $x \in P, \lambda \geq$

$0 \Rightarrow \lambda x \in P; (2) x \in P, -x \in P \Rightarrow x = \theta, \theta$  is element zero of  $E$  , we call  $P$  is a coin in  $E$  .

**Lemma 1.1** Assume that  $\Delta = \alpha\gamma + \alpha\delta + \beta\gamma$  ,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta}(\beta + \alpha t)(\delta + \gamma(1 - s)), 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta}(\beta + \alpha s)(\delta + \gamma(1 - t)), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $y \in C[J, E]$  , then

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = y, \\ \alpha x(0) - \beta x'(0) = 0, \\ \gamma x(1) + \delta x'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution in  $C^2[J, R]$  given by  $x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds$  . We also easily obtain  $G(t, s) \leq G(s, s) = e(s)$  and  $G(t, s) \leq G(t, t) = e(t), 0 < t, s < 1$  .

**Lemma 1.2** ([5])Let  $K$  be a coin in a Banach space ,  $0 < r < R, B(\theta, R) = \{x \in K \mid \|x\| \leq R\}, \overline{K_R} = \overline{B(\theta, R)} \cap K$  . Suppose that operator  $A : \overline{K_R} \rightarrow K$  is a completely continuous such that following conditions are satisfied : for  $x \in K, \|x\| = R, \|A(x)\| \leq \|x\|$  , and for  $x \in K, \|x\| = r \|A(x)\| \geq \|x\|$  , then  $A$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{K_R} \setminus K_r$ .

## II. CONCLUSION

**Theorem2.1** Let  $f : (0, 1) \times R^2 \rightarrow R$  be a continuous function , suppose that the following conditions are satisfied :  $(H_1)$  For all  $(x, y) \in R^2$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  ,  $f(t, x, y) = p_1(t)q_1(x) + p_2(t)q_2(y) + r(t)$  , where  $p_i, q_i \in C[(0, 1), R]$ , and  $\int_0^1 e(s)p_i(s)ds < +\infty (i = 1, 2), r(t) \in C[J, R]$  and  $\int_0^1 e(s)r(s)ds < +\infty$ .  $(H_2)$  There exist constant  $a > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 e(t)p_1(t) \max_{x \in [g_a(t), a]} q_1(x)dt \\ & + \int_0^1 e(t)p_2(t) \max_{y \in [g_a(t), a]} q_2(y)dt \\ & + \int_0^1 e(t)r(t)dt < a, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_a(t) = \begin{cases} at, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ a(1 - t), \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Xiguang Li is with the Department of Mathematics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266061, China . e-mail: lxg0417@tom.com.

(H<sub>3</sub>) There exist constant  $b \in (0, a)$  such that

$$\| \int_0^1 M e(t) \min_{x \in [g_b(t), b]} f(t, x, x') dt \| \geq b,$$

where  $M = \min\{\frac{\beta + \theta\alpha}{\beta + \alpha}, \frac{\delta + \theta\gamma}{\delta + \gamma}\}$ , then the problem (1) has at least one solution  $x \in C^2[0, 1]$ .

**Proof :** Operator  $A : C^1[0, 1] \rightarrow C^1[0, 1]$  is defined as follows :  $Ax(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds$ . It is easy to see  $x(t)$  is a solution of (1) if and only if  $x(t)$  is a fixed point of the operator  $Ax(t) = x(t)$ . Let  $E = C^1[0, 1], K = \{x \in C^1[0, 1], \min_{t \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})} x(t) \geq M \|x(t)\|\}$ , it is easy to see  $K$  is a coin in  $E$ .

First, we'll prove that operator  $A$  is a completely continuous one mapping  $K$  into  $K$ . Let  $D$  be an arbitrary bounded set of  $C^1[0, 1]$ , for all  $x \in D$ ,  $\sup q_i[0, \|x\|]$  is defined as follow:  $\sup q_i[0, \|x\|_1] = \sup\{q_i(y) \mid y \in [0, \|x\|_1]\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Ax(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 e(s)p_1(s)q_1(x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 e(s)p_2(s)q_2(x'(s))ds + \int_0^1 e(s)r(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &\leq \int_0^1 e(s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \\ &\leq \sup q_1[0, \|x\|_1] \int_0^1 e(s)p_1(s)ds \\ &\quad + \sup q_2[0, \|x\|_1] \int_0^1 e(s)p_2(s)ds + \int_0^1 e(s)r(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (H<sub>1</sub>), we easily obtain that  $AD$  are all uniformly bounded on  $J$ . On the other hand, according to Lebesgue control collect theory, we know that  $A$  is continuous on  $J$ . For  $\frac{1}{4} < t < \frac{3}{4}$ , we have

$$\frac{G(t, s)}{G(s, s)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)}, & s \leq t, \\ \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(s)}, & t \leq s, \end{cases} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta + \theta\gamma}{\delta + \gamma}, & s \leq t, \\ \frac{\beta + \theta\alpha}{\beta + \alpha}, & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = (\gamma + \delta - \gamma t), \psi(t) = (\beta + \alpha t), 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

so we get  $\frac{G(t, s)}{G(s, s)} \geq M$ , therefore  $G(t, s) \geq Me(s)$ .

If  $x \in K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\frac{1}{4} < t < \frac{3}{4}} Ax(t) &= \min_{\frac{1}{4} < t < \frac{3}{4}} \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \\ &\geq M \int_0^1 e(s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \\ &\geq M \|Ax\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

so  $Ax \in K$ , which imply that  $AK \subset K$ . For any  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ , we can define  $P_{i,n}(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) as follow :

$$P_{i,n}(t) = \begin{cases} \min\{p_i(t), p_i(\frac{1}{n})\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}, \\ p_i(t), & \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \frac{n-1}{n}, \\ \min\{p_i(t), p_i(\frac{n-1}{n})\}, & \frac{n-1}{n} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $p_{1,n}(t)q_1(x) + p_{2,n}(t)q_2(x') + r(t) = f_n(t, x, x')$ , it is easy to see for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f_n(t, x, x') = \begin{cases} \min\{f(t, x, x'), f(\frac{1}{n}, x, x')\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}, \\ f(t, x, x'), & \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}, \\ \min\{f(t, x, x'), f(1 - \frac{1}{n}, x, x')\}, & 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq t, \end{cases}$$

correspondingly we can define

$$A_n x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f_n(s, x(s), x'(s))ds, n \geq 2. \tag{3}$$

Obviously, for any  $n \geq 2$  we can see that  $f_n(t, x, x')$  is continuous in  $[0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$  and  $f_n(t, x, x') \leq f(t, x, x'), P_{i,n}(t) \leq p_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $A_n$  is relatively compact in  $K$ . For  $R > 0$ , let  $B_R = \{x \in K \mid \|x\| \leq R\}$ , now we prove that  $A_n$  is approximate to  $A$  in  $B_R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &|A_n x(t) - Ax(t)| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} G(s, s)(f(s, x(s), x'(s)) - f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1 G(s, s)(f(s, x(s), x'(s)) - f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} e(s)(p_1(s) - p_{1,n}(s))q_1(x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} e(s)(p_2(s) - p_{2,n}(s))q_2(x'(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1 e(s)(p_1(s) - p_{1,n}(s))q_1(x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1 e(s)(p_2(s) - p_{2,n}(s))q_2(x'(s))ds \\ &\leq \max q_1[0, \|x\|] (\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1) e(s)(p_1(s) - p_{1,n}(s))ds \\ &\quad + \max q_2[0, \|x\|] (\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1) e(s)(p_2(s) - p_{2,n}(s))ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By condition  $0 < \int_0^1 e(s)p_i(s)ds < +\infty$  and  $0 < p_{i,n}(s) \leq p_i(s)$ , we can get  $|A_n x(t) - Ax(t)| \leq \epsilon(n \rightarrow \infty)$  which imply that  $A_n$  is approximate to  $A$ , so  $A$  is relatively compact in  $K$ .

Finally, we show that  $A$  has a fixed point. Let  $\partial B_a = \partial B(\theta, a) \cap K, \forall x \in \partial B_a, \|x\| = a, A$  is a convex function, therefore  $x(s) \in [g_a(s), a], s \in [0, 1]$ , by condition  $H_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Ax\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s, x(s), x'(s)) \right\| \\
 &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 e(s)(p_1(s)q_1(x(s)))ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_0^1 e(s)(p_2(s)q_2(x'(s)))ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_0^1 e(s)r(s)ds \right\| \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 e(s)p_1(s) \max_{x \in [g_a(s), a]} q_1(x) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 e(s)p_2(s) \max_{y \in [g_a(s), a]} q_2(y) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 e(s)r(s) ds \\
 &< a. \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\forall x \in \partial B_b, \|x\| = b, x(s) \in [g_b(s), b]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Ax\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \right\| \\
 &\geq \int_0^1 Me(s) \min_{x \in [g_b(s), b]} f(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds \\
 &\geq b. \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2, we can see that (1) has at least one solution.

As an example, we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x' + t, t \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

it is easy to see  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  are all satisfied, according to Theorem 2.1, the problem (7) has at least a solution.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Zhang Yong. Positive solutions of singular sublinear Dirichlet boundary value problems. *J Math. anal* 1995;2(2)329-339.
- [2] Wang Hongzhou, Ge Weigao. Positive solutions of singular second order boundary value problem. *ACTA MATHEMATICA SINICA* 1999;42(1)112-118.
- [3] Liu Yansheng. Positive solutions of singular differential equations in Banach Space. *ACTA MATHEMATICA SINICA* 2004;47:131-140.
- [4] Wang Xinhua. Positive solutions of singular second order boundary value problem. *Mathematics in Practice and Theory* 2000;14:252-255.
- [5] Guo Dajun. *Nonlinear functional analysis*. Shandong Publishing House Science and Technology :2002:316.