# Nonlinear Observer Design and Sliding Mode Control of Four Rotors Helicopter

H. Bouadi, and M. Tadjine

**Abstract**—In this paper; we are interested in dynamic modelling of quadrotor while taking into account the high-order nonholonomic constraints as well as the various physical phenomena, which can influence the dynamics of a flying structure. These permit us to introduce a new state-space representation and new control scheme. We present after the development and the synthesis of a stabilizing control laws design based on sliding mode in order to perform best tracking results. It ensures locally asymptotic stability and desired tracking trajectories. Nonlinear observer is then synthesized in order to estimate the unmeasured states and the effects of the external disturbances such as wind and noise. Finally simulation results are also provided in order to illustrate the performances of the proposed controllers.

*Keywords*—Dynamic modelling, nonholonomic constraints, Sliding mode, Nonlinear observer.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**U**NMANNED aerial vehicles (UAV) have shown a growing interest thanks to recent technological projections, especially those related to instrumentation. They made possible the design of powerful systems (mini drones) endowed with real capacities of autonomous navigation at reasonable cost.

Despite the real progress made, researchers must still deal with serious difficulties, related to the control of such systems, particularly, in the presence of atmospheric turbulences. In addition, the navigation problem is complex and requires the perception of an often constrained and evolutionary environment, especially in the case of lowaltitude flights.

Nowadays, the mini-drones invade several application domains [3]: safety (monitoring of the airspace, urban and interurban traffic); natural risk management (monitoring of volcano activities); environmental protection (measurement of air pollution and forest monitoring); intervention in hostile sites (radioactive workspace and mine clearance), management of the large infrastructures (dams, hightension lines and pipelines), agriculture and film production (aerial shooting).

In contrast to terrestrial mobile robots, for which it is often possible to limit the model to kinematics, the control of aerial robots (quadrotor) requires dynamics in order to account for gravity effects and aerodynamic forces.

In this paper, authors propose a control-law based on the choice of a stabilizing Lyapunov function ensuring the desired tracking trajectories along (X, Z) axis and roll angle. However, they do not take into account nonholonomic constraints. ; do not take into account frictions due to the aerodynamic torques nor drag forces or nonholonomic constraints. They proposed firstly a control-law based on backstepping and secondly sliding mode controller based upon backstepping approach in order to stabilize the complete system (i.e. translation and orientation). In [1], authors take into account the gyroscopic effects and show that the classical model-independent PD controller can stabilize asymptotically the attitude of the quadrotor aircraft. Moreover, they used a new Lyapunov function, which leads to an exponentially stabilizing controller based upon the  $PD^2$ and the compensation of coriolis and gyroscopic torques. While in [2] the authors develop a PID controller in order to stabilize altitude.

Others papers; presented the sliding mode and high-order sliding mode respectively like an observer [6] and [7] in order to estimate the unmeasured states and the effects of the external disturbances such as wind and noise.

In this paper, based on the vectorial model form presented in [2] we are interested principally in the modelling of quadrotor to account for various parameters which affect the dynamics of a flying structure such as frictions due to the aerodynamic torques, drag forces along (X, Y, Z) axis and gyroscopic effects which are identified in [2] for an experimental quadrotor and for high-order nonholonomic constraints. Consequently, all these parameters supported the setting of the system under more complete and more realistic new state-space representation, which cannot be found easily in the literature being interested in the control laws synthesis for such systems.

Then, we present a control technique based on the development and the synthesis of a stabilizing control laws by sliding mode approach ensuring locally asymptotic stability and desired tracking trajectories expressed in term of the center of mass coordinates along (X, Y, Z) axis and yaw angle, while the desired roll and pitch angles are deduced from nonholonomic constraints unlike to . However, the synthesis of nonlinear observer becomes necessary in order to estimate unmeasured states and the effects of additive uncertainties.

Finally all the control laws synthesized are highlighted by simulations which gave results considered to be satisfactory.

## II. MODELLING

## A. Quadrotor Dynamic Modelling

The quadrotor have four propellers in cross configuration. The two pairs of propellers (1,3) and (2,4) as described in Fig. 2, turn in opposite directions. By varying the rotor speed, one can change the lift force and create motion. Thus, increasing or decreasing the four propeller's speeds together

16111, Algiers, Algeria (e-mail: hakimusavj@yahoo.fr).M. Tadjine is with Electrical Engineering Department, ENP, 10, Ave Hassen Badi, BP.182, EL-Harrah, Algiers, Algeria (e-mail:

tadiine@vahoo.fr).

H. Bouadi is with Control and Command Laboratory, EMP, BEB,

generates vertical motion. Changing the 2 and 4 properties vertical motion. Changing the 2 and 4 properties vertical motion. speed conversely produces roll rotation coupled with lateral motion. Pitch rotation and the corresponding lateral motion; result from 1 and 3 propeller's speed conversely modified. Yaw rotation is more subtle, as it results from the difference in the counter-torque between each pair of propellers.

Let E(O, X, Y, Z) denote an inertial frame, and B(o', x, y, z) denote a frame rigidly attached to the quadrotor as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1 Quadrotor configuration

We will make the following assumptions:

- The quadrotor structure is rigid and symmetrical.
- The center of mass and o' coincides.
- The propellers are rigid.
- Thrust and drag are proportional to the square of the propellers speed.

Under these assumptions, it is possible to describe the fuselage dynamics as that of a rigid body in space to which come to be added the aerodynamic forces caused by the rotation of the rotors.

Using the formalism of Newton-Euler, the dynamic equations are written in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi} = v \\ m\ddot{\xi} = F_f + F_t + F_g \\ \dot{R} = RS(\Omega) \\ J\dot{\Omega} = -\Omega \wedge J\Omega + \Gamma_f - \Gamma_a - \Gamma_g \end{cases}$$
(1)

 $\xi$  is the position of the quadrotor center of mass with respect to the inertial frame. m is the total mass of the structure and  $J \in R^{3\times 3}$  is a symmetric positive definite constant inertia matrix of the quadrotor with respect to B.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} I_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_z \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

 $\Omega$  is the angular velocity of the airframe expressed in B:

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \cos\phi & \cos\theta\sin\phi \\ 0 & -\sin\phi & \cos\phi\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

motions of low amplitude  $\Omega$  can be assimilated to  $\left[ \dot{\phi} \dot{\theta} \dot{\psi} \right]^T$ .

R is the homogenous matrix transformation.

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} C\theta C\psi & C\psi S\theta S\phi - S\psi C\phi & C\psi S\theta C\phi + S\psi S\phi \\ C\theta S\psi & S\psi S\theta S\phi + C\psi C\phi & S\psi S\theta C\phi - C\psi S\phi \\ -S\theta & S\phi C\theta & C\phi C\theta \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

Where C and S indicate the trigonometrically functions cos and sin respectively.  $S(\Omega)$  is a skew-symmetric matrix; for a given vector  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_2 & \Omega_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$  it is defined as follows:

$$S(\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Omega_3 & \Omega_2 \\ \Omega_3 & 0 & -\Omega_1 \\ -\Omega_2 & \Omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 ${\cal F}_{\rm f}$  is the resultant of the forces generated by the four rotors

$$F_{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi\cos\psi\sin\theta + \sin\phi\sin\psi\\ \cos\phi\sin\theta\sin\psi - \sin\phi\cos\psi\\ \cos\phi\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{4} F_{i} \quad (5)$$

$$F_i = K_p \omega_i^2 \tag{6}$$

where  $K_p$  is the lift coefficient and  $\omega_i$  is the angular rotor speed.

 $F_t$  is the resultant of the drag forces along (X, Y, Z)axis

$$F_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} -K_{ftx} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -K_{fty} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -K_{ftz} \end{pmatrix} \dot{\xi}$$
(7)

such as  $K_{ftx}$ ,  $K_{fty}$  and  $K_{ftz}$  are the translation drag coefficients.

 $F_{g}$  is the gravity force.

$$F_g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ -mg \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{8}$$

 $\Gamma_{f}$  is the moment developed by the quadrotor according to the body fixed frame. It is expressed as follows:

$$\Gamma_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} d(F_{3} - F_{1}) \\ d(F_{4} - F_{2}) \\ K_{d}(\omega_{1}^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{3}^{2} - \omega_{4}^{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

d is the distance between the quadrotor center of mass and the rotation axis of propeller and  $K_d$  is the drag coefficient.

 $\Gamma_a$  is the resultant of aerodynamics frictions torques.

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology

$$\Gamma_{a} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{fax} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{fay} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{faz} \end{bmatrix} \Omega^{2}$$
(10)

 $K_{fax}$ ,  $K_{fay}$  and  $K_{faz}$  are the frictions aerodynamics coefficients.

 $\Gamma_g$  is the resultant of torques due to the gyroscopic effects.

$$\Gamma_{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Omega \wedge J_{r} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ \left(-1\right)^{i+1} \omega_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

Such as  $J_r$  is the rotor inertia.

Consequently the complete dynamic model which governs the quadrotor is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\varphi} &= \frac{1}{I_x} \left\{ \dot{\theta} \dot{\psi} (I_y - I_z) - K_{fax} \dot{\phi}^2 - J_r \overline{\Omega} \dot{\theta} + dU_2 \right\} \\ \ddot{\theta} &= \frac{1}{I_y} \left\{ \dot{\theta} \dot{\psi} (I_z - I_x) - K_{fay} \dot{\theta}^2 + J_r \overline{\Omega} \dot{\phi} + dU_3 \right\} \\ \ddot{\psi} &= \frac{1}{I_z} \left\{ \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} (I_x - I_y) - K_{fax} \dot{\psi}^2 + K_d U_4 \right\} \\ \ddot{x} &= \frac{1}{m} \left\{ (C\phi S \theta C \psi + S\phi S \psi) U_1 - K_{fax} \dot{x} \right\} \\ \ddot{y} &= \frac{1}{m} \left\{ (C\phi S \theta S \psi - S\phi C \psi) U_1 - K_{fay} \dot{y} \right\} \\ \ddot{z} &= \frac{1}{m} \left\{ (C\phi C \theta) U_1 - K_{faz} \dot{z} \right\} - g \end{aligned}$$

$$(12)$$

With  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  and  $U_4$  are the control inputs of the system which are written according to the angular velocities of the four rotors as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{1} \\ U_{2} \\ U_{3} \\ U_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{p} & K_{p} & K_{p} & K_{p} \\ -K_{p} & 0 & K_{p} & 0 \\ 0 & -K_{p} & 0 & K_{p} \\ K_{d} & -K_{d} & K_{d} & -K_{d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1}^{2} \\ \omega_{2}^{2} \\ \omega_{3}^{2} \\ \omega_{4}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

and

$$\overline{\Omega} = \left(\omega_1 - \omega_2 + \omega_3 - \omega_4\right)$$

### B. Nonholonomic Constraints

Taking into account nonholonomic constraints for our system is of major importance as are in compliance with physical laws and define the coupling between various states of the system.

From the equations of the translation dynamics (12) we can extract the expressions of the high-order nonholonomic constraints:

pace and Mechanical Engineering  
No:7, 2007  

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\left(\ddot{x} - \frac{K_{fix}}{m}\dot{x}\right)\cos\psi + \left(\ddot{y} - \frac{K_{fiy}}{m}\dot{y}\right)\sin\psi}{\ddot{z} + g - \frac{K_{fiz}}{m}\dot{z}} \qquad (14)$$

$$\sin \phi = \frac{-\left(\ddot{x} - \frac{K_{fix}}{m}\dot{x}\right)\sin\psi + \left(\ddot{y} - \frac{K_{fiy}}{m}\dot{y}\right)\cos\psi}{\sqrt{\left(\ddot{x} - \frac{K_{fix}}{m}\dot{x}\right)^{2} + \left(\ddot{y} - \frac{K_{fiy}}{m}\dot{y}\right)^{2} + \left(\ddot{z} + g - \frac{K_{fiz}}{m}\dot{z}\right)^{2}}}$$

C. Rotor Dynamic

The rotor is a unit constituted by D.C-motor actuating a propeller via a reducer. The D.C-motor is governed by the following model [9]:

$$\dot{\omega}_{i} = bV_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}\omega_{i} - \beta_{2}\omega_{i}^{2} \qquad (15)$$
$$i \in [1, 4]$$

with:

and:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{C_s}{J_r}, \beta_1 = \frac{k_e k_m}{r J_r}, \beta_2 = \frac{k_r}{J_r} \text{ and } b = \frac{k_m}{r J_r}$$

V : motor input.

 $k_e, k_m$ : electrical and mechanical torque constant respectively.

 $k_r$ : load constant torque.

r : motor internal resistance.

 $J_r$ : rotor inertia.

 $C_s$ : solid friction.

## III. CONTROL OF THE QUADROTOR

The choice of this method is not fortuitous considering the major advantages it presents:

- It ensures Lyapunov stability.
- It ensures the robustness and all properties of the desired dynamics.
- It ensures the handling of all system nonlinearities.

The model (12) developed in the first part of this paper can be rewritten in the state-space form:

 $\dot{X} = f(X) + g(X,U) + \delta$  and  $X = [x_1...x_{12}]^T$  is the state vector of the system such as:

$$\underline{X} = \left[\phi, \dot{\phi}, \theta, \dot{\theta}, \psi, \dot{\psi}, x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y}, z, \dot{z}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(16)

From (12) and (16) we obtain the following state representation:

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{1} &= x_{2} & \text{Vol:1,1} \\ \dot{x}_{2} &= a_{1}x_{4}x_{6} + a_{2}x_{2}^{2} + a_{3}\overline{\Omega}x_{4} + b_{1}U_{2} \\ \dot{x}_{3} &= x_{4} \\ \dot{x}_{4} &= a_{4}x_{2}x_{6} + a_{5}x_{4}^{2} + a_{6}\overline{\Omega}x_{2} + b_{2}U_{3} \\ \dot{x}_{5} &= x_{6} \\ \dot{x}_{6} &= a_{7}x_{2}x_{4} + a_{8}x_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} \\ \dot{x}_{7} &= x_{8} \\ \dot{x}_{8} &= a_{9}x_{8} + U_{x}\frac{U_{1}}{m} \\ \dot{x}_{9} &= x_{10} \\ \dot{x}_{10} &= a_{10}x_{10} + U_{y}\frac{U_{1}}{m} \\ \dot{x}_{11} &= x_{12} \\ \dot{x}_{12} &= a_{11}x_{12} + \frac{Cx_{1}Cx_{3}}{m}U_{1} - g \end{aligned}$  (17)

$$\begin{cases} a_{1} = \left(\frac{I_{y} - I_{z}}{I_{x}}\right), a_{2} = \frac{-K_{fax}}{I_{x}}, a_{3} = \frac{-J_{r}}{I_{x}} \tag{18} \\ a_{4} = \left(\frac{I_{z} - I_{x}}{I_{y}}\right), a_{5} = \frac{-K_{fax}}{I_{y}}, a_{6} = \frac{J_{r}}{I_{y}} \\ a_{7} = \left(\frac{I_{x} - I_{y}}{I_{z}}\right), a_{8} = \frac{-K_{fax}}{I_{z}}, a_{9} = \frac{-K_{fax}}{m}, a_{10} = \frac{-K_{fby}}{m}, a_{11} = \frac{-K_{fbz}}{m} \\ b_{1} = \frac{d}{I_{x}}, b_{2} = \frac{d}{I_{y}}, b_{3} = \frac{1}{I_{z}} \\ \begin{cases} U_{x} = C x_{1} S x_{3} C x_{5} + S x_{1} S x_{5} \\ U_{y} = C x_{1} S x_{3} S x_{5} - S x_{1} C x_{5} \end{cases} \tag{19} \end{cases}$$

The state representation of the system under this form has never been developed before.

From high-order nonholonomic constraints developed in (14), roll ( $\phi$ ) and pitch ( $\theta$ ) angles depend not only on the yaw angle ( $\psi$ ) but also on the movements along (X, Y, Z) axis and their dynamics. However the adopted control strategy is summarized in the control of two subsystems; the first relates to the position control while the second is that of the attitude control as shown it below the synoptic scheme:



Fig. 2 Synoptic scheme of the proposed controller

In this section, the purpose is to design a sliding mode controller. The basic sliding mode controller design procedure in our case is performed in two steps. Firstly, the choice of sliding surface (S) according to the tracking error, while the second step, consist into the design of a Lyapunov function which can satisfy the necessary sliding condition ( $S\dot{S} < 0$ ).

$$\begin{cases} U_{2} = \frac{1}{b_{1}} \left\{ -k_{1} sign(S_{\phi}) - a_{1} x_{4} x_{6} - a_{2} x_{2}^{2} - a_{3} x_{4} \overline{\Omega} + \ddot{\phi}_{d} + \lambda_{4} e_{2} \right\} \\ U_{3} = \frac{1}{b_{2}} \left\{ -k_{2} sign(S_{\phi}) - a_{4} x_{2} x_{6} - a_{5} x_{4}^{2} - a_{6} x_{2} \overline{\Omega} + \ddot{\phi}_{d} + \lambda_{2} e_{4} \right\} \\ U_{4} = \frac{1}{b_{3}} \left\{ -k_{3} sign(S_{\psi}) - a_{7} x_{2} x_{4} - a_{8} x_{6}^{2} + \ddot{\psi}_{d} + \lambda_{5} e_{6} \right\} \\ U_{x} = \frac{m}{U_{1}} \left\{ -k_{4} sign(S_{x}) - a_{9} x_{8} + \ddot{x}_{d} + \lambda_{4} e_{8} \right\} / U_{1} \neq 0 \\ U_{y} = \frac{m}{U_{1}} \left\{ -k_{5} sign(S_{y}) - a_{10} x_{10} + \ddot{y}_{d} + \lambda_{5} e_{10} \right\} / U_{1} \neq 0 \\ U_{1} = \frac{m}{C\phi C \theta} \left\{ -k_{6} sign(S_{z}) - a_{11} x_{12} + \ddot{z}_{d} + \lambda_{6} e_{12} + g \right\} \end{cases}$$

$$(20)$$

## Proof:

Let us choose the sliding surfaces given by:

$$\begin{cases} S_{\phi} = e_{2} + \lambda_{1}e_{1} \\ S_{\theta} = e_{4} + \lambda_{2}e_{3} \\ S_{\psi} = e_{6} + \lambda_{3}e_{5} \\ S_{x} = e_{8} + \lambda_{4}e_{7} \\ S_{y} = e_{10} + \lambda_{5}e_{9} \\ S_{z} = e_{12} + \lambda_{6}e_{11} \end{cases}$$
(21)

Such as:

$$\lambda_{i} > 0 \text{ and } \begin{cases} e_{i} = x_{id} - x_{i} \\ e_{i+1} = \dot{e}_{i} \end{cases} \quad i \in [1, 11] \end{cases}$$
(22)

We assume that:

$$V(S_{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2} S_{\phi}^{2}$$
(23)

If  $\dot{V}(S_{\phi}) < 0$ , so  $S_{\phi}\dot{S}_{\phi} < 0$  then, the necessary sliding condition is verified and Lyapunov stability is guaranteed.

The chosen law for the attractive surface is the time derivative of (21) satisfying  $\left(S_{\phi}\dot{S}_{\phi} < 0\right)$ :

$$\dot{S}_{\phi} = -k_{1}sign(S_{\phi})$$

$$= \ddot{x}_{1d} - \dot{x}_{2} + \lambda_{1}\dot{e}_{1}$$

$$= -a_{1}x_{4}x_{6} - a_{2}x_{2}^{2} - a_{3}\overline{\Omega}x_{4} - b_{1}U_{2} + \ddot{\phi}_{d} + \lambda_{1}(\dot{\phi}_{d} - x_{2})$$

$$U_{2} = \frac{1}{b_{1}} \left\{ -k_{1}sign(S_{\phi}) - a_{1}x_{4}x_{6} - a_{2}x_{2}^{2} - a_{3}\overline{\Omega}x_{4} + \ddot{\phi}_{d} + \lambda_{1}e_{2} \right\}$$
The same steps are followed to

The same steps are followed to extract  $U_3$ ,  $U_4$ ,  $U_x$ ,  $U_y$  and  $U_1$ .

## IV. OBSERVER DESIGN

Consider the model system (17) and denote  $\underline{X}$  the estimate of the state vector (16). The observer model is a copy of the original system, which has corrector gains functions of estimation errors; so:

$$\hat{\Sigma}: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1} = \hat{x}_{2} + \Lambda_{1}(z_{1}) & \text{Vol:1, No:7, 2007} \\ \dot{x}_{2} = a_{1}\hat{x}_{4}\hat{x}_{6} + a_{2}\hat{x}_{2}^{2} + a_{3}\hat{x}_{4}\overline{\Omega} + b_{1}U_{2} + \Lambda_{2}(z_{2}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = z_{1}\dot{z}_{1} + z_{2}\dot{z}_{2} \\ \dot{x}_{3} = \hat{x}_{4} + \Lambda_{3}(z_{3}) & = z_{1}(z_{2} - \Lambda_{1}) \\ \dot{x}_{4} = a_{4}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{6} + a_{5}\hat{x}_{4}^{2} + a_{6}\hat{x}_{2}\overline{\Omega} + b_{2}U_{3} + \Lambda_{4}(z_{4}) \\ \dot{x}_{5} = \hat{x}_{6} + \Lambda_{5}(z_{5}) & \text{The necessary condit} \\ \dot{x}_{6} = a_{7}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{4} + a_{8}\hat{x}_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} + \Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) \leq 0, \text{ for this} \\ \dot{x}_{6} = a_{7}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{4} + a_{8}\hat{x}_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} + \Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) \leq 0, \text{ for this} \\ \dot{x}_{6} = a_{7}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{4} + a_{8}\hat{x}_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} + \Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) \leq 0, \text{ for this} \\ \dot{x}_{6} = a_{7}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{4} + a_{8}\hat{x}_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} + \Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) \leq 0, \text{ for this} \\ \dot{x}_{6} = a_{7}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{4} + a_{8}\hat{x}_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} + \Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) \leq 0, \text{ for this} \\ \dot{x}_{6} = a_{7}\hat{x}_{2}\hat{x}_{4} + a_{8}\hat{x}_{6}^{2} + b_{3}U_{4} + \Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) & \dot{V}(z_{1}, z_{2}) \leq 0, \text{ for this} \\ \dot{x}_{7} = \hat{x}_{8} + \Lambda_{7}(z_{7}) & & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{1}(z_{1}) = z_{2} + k_{1}z_{1} \\ \Lambda_{2}(z_{2}) = a_{1}\Delta_{x_{4}x_{6}} + a_{2}\Delta_{x_{2}^{2}} + a_{2}\Delta_{x_{2}^{2}} + a_{2}\Delta_{x_{2}^{2}} \\ \dot{x}_{10} = \hat{a}_{10}\hat{x}_{10} + \frac{U_{1}}{m}U_{y} + \Lambda_{10}(z_{10}) & & \text{The same steps are for gains:} \\ \dot{x}_{11} = \hat{x}_{12} + \Lambda_{11}(z_{11}) & & & \\ \dot{x}_{12} = a_{11}\hat{x}_{12} + \frac{\cos x_{1}\cos x_{3}}{m}U_{1} - g + \Lambda_{12}(z_{12}) & & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{3}(z_{3}) = z_{4} + a_{4} A_{2} A_{2} \\ \Lambda_{4}(z_{4}) = a_{4} A_{2} A_{2} A_{2} A_{2} A_{2} \\ \Lambda_{4}(z_{4}) = a_{4} A_{2} A_{2} A_{2} A_{2} A_{2} \\ \Lambda_{4}(z_{4}) = a_{4} A_{2} A_{2$$

The estimation error dynamics are given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_{1} = z_{2} - \Lambda_{1} \\ \dot{z}_{2} = a_{1}\Delta_{x_{4}x_{6}} + a_{2}\Delta_{x_{2}^{2}} + a_{3}\Delta_{x_{4}}\overline{\Omega} - \Lambda_{2} \\ \dot{z}_{3} = z_{4} - \Lambda_{3} \\ \dot{z}_{4} = a_{4}\Delta_{x_{2}x_{6}} + a_{5}\Delta_{x_{4}^{2}} + a_{6}\Delta_{x_{2}}\overline{\Omega} - \Lambda_{4} \\ \dot{z}_{5} = z_{6} - \Lambda_{5} \\ \dot{z}_{6} = a_{7}\Delta_{x_{2}x_{4}} + a_{8}\Delta_{x_{6}^{2}} - \Lambda_{6} \\ \dot{z}_{7} = z_{8} - \Lambda_{7} \\ \dot{z}_{8} = a_{9}z_{8} - \Lambda_{8} \\ \dot{z}_{9} = z_{10} - \Lambda_{9} \\ \dot{z}_{10} = a_{10}z_{10} - \Lambda_{10} \\ \dot{z}_{11} = z_{12} - \Lambda_{11} \\ \dot{z}_{12} = a_{11}z_{12} - \Lambda_{12} \end{cases}$$

$$with: \begin{cases} \Delta_{x,x_{j}} = x_{i}x_{j} - \hat{x}_{i}\hat{x}_{j} \\ \Delta_{x_{i}^{2}} = x_{i}^{2} - \hat{x}_{i}^{2} \\ \Delta_{x_{i}} = x_{i} - \hat{x}_{i} \end{cases}$$

$$(27)$$

and: 
$$\begin{cases} z_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i & \text{if i impair} \\ z_i = x_i - \hat{x}_i & \text{if i pair} \end{cases}$$
(28)

The considered outputs of our system are:

$$\underline{Y} = [x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7, x_9, x_{11}]^T$$
(29)

In order to calculate the corrector gains, it is necessary that the estimation errors dynamics be stable so, let us choose the Lyapunov function given by:

$$V(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2)$$

No:752007  

$$\dot{V}(z_1, z_2) = z_1 \dot{z}_1 + z_2 \dot{z}_2$$
  
 $= z_1 (z_2 - \Lambda_1) + z_2 (a_1 \Delta_{x_4 x_6} + a_2 \Delta_{x_2^2} + a_3 \Delta_{x_4} \overline{\Omega} - \Lambda_2)$ 

The necessary condition to get a Lyapunov stability is  $\dot{V}(z_1, z_2) \le 0$ , for this :

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_1(z_1) = z_2 + k_1 z_1 \\ \Lambda_2(z_2) = a_1 \Delta_{x_1 x_6} + a_2 \Delta_{x_2^2} + a_3 \Delta_{x_4} \overline{\Omega} + k_2 z_2 \end{cases} \quad \text{with:} \ (k_1, k_2) \in \Re^{+2} \quad (30)$$

The same steps are followed to extract others corrector gains:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda_{3}(z_{3}) = z_{4} + k_{3}z_{3} \\
\Lambda_{4}(z_{4}) = a_{4}\Delta_{x_{2}x_{6}} + a_{5}\Delta_{x_{4}^{2}} + a_{6}\Delta_{x_{2}}\overline{\Omega} + k_{4}z_{4} \\
\Lambda_{5}(z_{5}) = z_{6} + k_{5}z_{5} \\
\Lambda_{6}(z_{6}) = a_{7}\Delta_{x_{2}x_{4}} + a_{8}\Delta_{x_{6}^{2}} + k_{6}z_{6} \\
\Lambda_{7}(z_{7}) = z_{8} + k_{7}z_{7} \\
\Lambda_{8}(z_{8}) = a_{9}z_{8} + k_{8}z_{8} \\
\Lambda_{9}(z_{9}) = z_{10} + k_{9}z_{9} \\
\Lambda_{10}(z_{10}) = a_{10}z_{10} + k_{10}z_{10} \\
\Lambda_{11}(z_{11}) = z_{12} + k_{11}z_{11} \\
\Lambda_{12}(z_{12}) = a_{11}z_{12} + k_{12}z_{12}
\end{array}$$
(31)

## V. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation results are obtained based on the following real parameters [8]:





World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering







Fig. 3 Tracking simulation results of desired trajectories along yaw angle  $(\Psi)$  and (X, Y, Z) axis



Fig. 4 Tracking errors according yaw ( $\psi$ ) angle and (X, Y, Z) respectively





Fig. 5 Estimation errors according yaw ( $\psi$ ) angle

and (X, Y, Z) respectively

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented stabilizing control laws synthesis by sliding mode. Firstly, we start by the development of the dynamic model of the quadrotor taking into account the different physics phenomena and the highorder nonholonomic constraints imposed to the system motions; this says these control laws allowed the tracking of the various desired trajectories expressed in term of the center of mass coordinates of the system in spite of the complexity of the proposed model. After we are interested to the developement of a nonlinear observer in order to be able to estimate unmeasured states and the effects of external additive disturbances like wind and noise.As prospects we hope to develop other control techniques and other kinds of nonlinear observer in order to improve the performances and to ensure good navigation of such systems in evolutionary and constrained environement.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr.Taha Chettibi, PhD students Mohamed Guiatni and Karim Souissi for their help and their fruitful discussions about the stability problem of under-actuated systems.

#### REFERENCES

- A. Tayebi, S. Mcgilvray, 2004 "Attitude stabilisation of a four rotor aerial robot", IEEE conference on decision and control, December 14-17, Atlantis Paradise Island, Bahamas 1216-1217.
- [2] Derafa L. Madani t. and Benallegue A, 2006 « dynamic modelling and experimental identification of four rotor helicopter parameters » ICIT Mumbai, India.
- [3] Hamel T. Mahoney r. Lozano r. Et Ostrowski j, 2002. "Dynamic modelling and configuration stabilization for an X4-flyer." In the 15éme IFAC world congress', Barcelona, Spain.
- [4] S. Bouabdellah, P. Murrieri and R.Siegwart, 2004 "Design and control of an indoor micro quadrotor" ICRA 2004, New Orleans (USA), April.
- [5] S. Bouabdellah, A.Noth and R.Siegwart, 2004 "modelling of the (OS4) quadrotor" modelling course, EPFL, may.
- [6] A. Mokhtari, A.Benallegue and A.Belaidi "polynomial linear quadratic Gaussian and sliding mode observer for a quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle" Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics Vol.17 No.4, 2005.
- [7] A. Mokhtari, N.K.M'sirdi, K. Meghriche and A. Belaidi "feedback linearization and linear observer for a quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle" Advanced Robotics, Vol.20, No.1, pp. 71-91, 2006.
- [8] H. Bouadi, M. Bouchoucha, M. Tadjine "sliding mode control based on backstepping approach for an UAV type-quadrotor" International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Vol.4, No.1, pp. 12-17, Barcelona; Spain 2007.
- [9] H. Bouadi, M. Bouchoucha, M. Tadjine "modelling and stabilizing control laws design based on backstepping for an UAV typequadrotor" Proceeding of 6th IFAC Symposium on IAV, Toulouse, France 2007.