

# Constructive proof of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem for sequentially locally non-constant functions

Yasuhito Tanaka

*Abstract*—We present a constructive proof of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem in a locally convex space for uniformly continuous and sequentially locally non-constant functions.

*Keywords*—sequentially locally non-constant functions, Tychonoff's fixed point theorem, constructive mathematics.

## I. INTRODUCTION

IT is well known that Brouwer's fixed point theorem can not be constructively proved<sup>1</sup>. Thus, Tychonoff's fixed point theorem also can not be constructively proved. Sperner's lemma which is used to prove Brouwer's theorem, however, can be constructively proved. Some authors have presented a constructive (or an approximate) version of Brouwer's theorem using Sperner's lemma. See [9] and [10]. Thus, Brouwer's fixed point theorem is constructively, in the sense of constructive mathematics à la Bishop, proved in its approximate version<sup>2</sup>.

Also Dalen[9] states a conjecture that a uniformly continuous function  $f$  from a simplex into itself, with property that each open set contains a point  $x$  such that  $x \neq f(x)$ , which means  $|x - f(x)| > 0$ , and also at every point  $x$  on the boundaries of the simplex  $x \neq f(x)$ , has an exact fixed point. Recently [2] showed that the following theorem is equivalent to Brouwer's fan theorem.

Each uniformly continuous function  $f$  from a compact metric space  $X$  into itself with at most one fixed point and approximate fixed points has a fixed point.

By reference to the notion of *sequentially at most one maximum* in [1] we require a more general and somewhat stronger condition of *sequential local non-constancy* for functions, and in [7] we have shown the following result.

If each uniformly continuous function from a compact metric space into itself is *sequentially locally non-constant*, then it has a fixed point,

without the fan theorem. It is a partial answer to Dalen's conjecture.

Y. Tanaka is with the Faculty of Economics, Doshisha University, Kyoto, 602-8580, Japan, e-mail: (yasuhito@mail.doshisha.ac.jp).

<sup>1</sup>[6] provided a *constructive* proof of Brouwer's fixed point theorem. But it is not constructive from the view point of constructive mathematics à la Bishop. It is sufficient to say that one dimensional case of Brouwer's fixed point theorem, that is, the intermediate value theorem is non-constructive. See [3] or [9].

<sup>2</sup>In [8] we have presented a constructive proof of an approximate version of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem.

In the next section we present a constructive proof of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem in a locally convex space<sup>3</sup>.

## II. TYCHONOFF'S FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SEQUENTIALLY LOCALLY NON-CONSTANT FUNCTIONS IN A LOCALLY CONVEX SPACE

In constructive mathematics a nonempty set is called an *inhabited* set. A set  $S$  is inhabited if there exists an element of  $S$ .

Note that in order to show that  $S$  is inhabited, we cannot just prove that it is impossible for  $S$  to be empty: we must actually construct an element of  $S$  (see page 12 of [4]).

Also in constructive mathematics compactness of a set means *total boundedness with completeness*. A set  $S$  is *finitely enumerable* if there exist a natural number  $N$  and a mapping of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  onto  $S$ . An  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $S$  is a subset of  $S$  such that for each  $\mathbf{p} \in S$  there exists  $\mathbf{q}$  in that  $\varepsilon$ -approximation with  $|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}| < \varepsilon$  ( $|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}|$  is the distance between  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ ).  $S$  is *totally bounded* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finitely enumerable  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $S$ . *Completeness* of a set, of course, means that every Cauchy sequence in the set converges.

A locally convex space consists of a vector space  $E$  and a family  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  of seminorms on  $E$ .  $I$  is an index set, for example, the set of positive integers. According to [4] we define, constructively, total boundedness of a set in a locally convex space as follows;

*Definition 1: (Total boundedness of a set in a locally convex space)* Let  $X$  be a subset of  $E$ ,  $F$  be a finitely enumerable subset of  $I^4$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $X$  relative to  $F$  we mean a subset  $T$  of  $X$  such that for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $y \in T$  with  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) < \varepsilon$ .  $X$  is *totally bounded relative to  $F$*  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finitely enumerable  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $X$  relative to  $F$ . It is *totally bounded* if it is *totally bounded relative to each finitely enumerable subset of  $I$* .

Extending Corollary 2.2.12 of [4] to a locally convex space we have the following result.

*Lemma 1:* If  $X$  is a totally bounded subset of a locally convex space, then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist totally bounded

<sup>3</sup>Formulations of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem in this paper follow those in [5].

<sup>4</sup>A set  $S$  is *finitely enumerable* if there exist a natural number  $N$  and a mapping of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  onto  $S$ .

sets  $K_1, \dots, K_n$ , each of diameter less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $X = \cup_{i=1}^n K_i$ .

The diameter of  $K_i$  is defined as follows.

$$\sup_{x,y \in K_i} \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x-y).$$

In the appendix we present a proof of this lemma.

Our Tychonoff's fixed point theorem is stated as follows;

*Theorem 1: (Tychonoff's fixed point theorem for uniformly continuous and sequentially locally non-constant functions)*

Let  $X$  be a compact (totally bounded and complete) and convex subset of a locally convex space  $E$ , and  $g$  be a uniformly continuous and sequentially locally non-constant function from  $X$  to itself. Then,  $g$  has a fixed point.

If  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\Delta$  this lemma is expressed as follows.

*Lemma 2:* If  $\Delta$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simplex, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist totally bounded sets  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ , each of diameter less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\Delta = \cup_{i=1}^n H_i$ .

Uniform continuity of a function in a locally convex space is expressed as follows;

*Definition 2: (Uniform continuity of a function in a locally convex space)* Let  $X, Y$  be subsets of a locally convex space. A function  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous in  $X$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each finitely enumerable subset  $G$  of  $J$ , which is also an index set, there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a finitely enumerable subset  $F$  of  $I$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x-y) < \delta$ , then  $\sum_{j \in G} q_j(g(x) - g(y)) < \varepsilon$ , where  $(q_j)_{j \in J}$  is a family of seminorms on  $Y$ .

In a metric space a seminorm should be replaced by a metric or a norm in this definition.

Let us consider an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\Delta$ ,  $x$  be a point in  $\Delta$ , and consider a uniformly continuous function  $f$  from  $\Delta$  into itself. Uniform continuity of functions in  $\Delta$  is expressed as follows;

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in \Delta$  and  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

According to [9] and [10]  $f$  has an approximate fixed point. It means

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x \in \Delta$  such that  $|x - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$\inf_{x \in \Delta} |x - f(x)| = 0.$$

Then,

$$\inf_{x \in H_i} |x - f(x)| = 0,$$

for some  $H_i$  such that  $\cup_{i=1}^n H_i = \Delta$ .

If  $X$  is a compact and convex subset of a locally convex space, there exists a finitely enumerable  $\varepsilon$ -approximation  $\{x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n\}$  to  $X$ . Every point in  $X$  is within  $\varepsilon$  for at least one  $x^j$ . Consider the following set

$$X_\varepsilon = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j x^j \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 1, \alpha_j \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Since  $X$  is convex,  $X_\varepsilon \subset X$  and they are homeomorphic.  $X_\varepsilon$  lies in the finite dimensional linear subspace of  $X$  spanned by

$x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n$ . There is a natural identification of this space with an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\Delta$  in the Euclidean space with vertices  $v^0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $v^1 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $v^n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ . Thus, there is a natural identification of  $X$  with  $\Delta$ , and so a uniformly continuous function  $g$  from  $X$  into itself has an approximate fixed point. Therefore,

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sum_{j \in F} p_j(x - g(x)) = 0.$$

Then, by Lemma 1

$$\inf_{x \in K_i} \sum_{j \in F} p_j(x - g(x)) = 0,$$

for some  $K_i$  such that  $\cup_{i=1}^n K_i = X$ .

The notion that  $f$  has at most one fixed point in [2] is defined as follows;

*Definition 3: (At most one fixed point)* For all  $x, y \in \Delta$ , if  $x \neq y$ , then  $f(x) \neq x$  or  $f(y) \neq y$ .

By reference to the notion of *sequentially at most one maximum* in [1], we define the property of *sequential local non-constancy* for  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  as follows;

*Definition 4: (Sequential local non-constancy of functions)* There exists  $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$  with the following property. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  less than or equal to  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  there exist totally bounded sets  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m$ , each of diameter less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\Delta = \cup_{i=1}^m H_i$ , and if for all sequences  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}, (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in each  $H_i$ ,  $|f(x_n) - x_n| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|f(y_n) - y_n| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $|x_n - y_n| \rightarrow 0$ .

We define sequential local non-constancy for functions  $g : X \rightarrow X$  in a locally convex space as follows;

*Definition 5: (Sequential local non-constancy of functions in a locally convex space)* There exists  $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$  with the following property. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  less than or equal to  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  there exist totally bounded sets  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m$ , each of diameter less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $X = \cup_{i=1}^m K_i$ , and if for all sequences  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}, (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in each  $K_i$ ,  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(g(x_n) - x_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(g(y_n) - y_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_n - y_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

Now we show the following lemma.

*Lemma 3:* Let  $g$  be a uniformly continuous function from  $X$  into itself, and assume that  $\inf_{x \in K_i} \sum_{j \in F} p_j(g(x) - x) = 0$ . If the following property holds:

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in K_i$ ,  $\sum_{j \in F} p_j(g(x) - x) < \delta$  and  $\sum_{j \in F} p_j(g(y) - y) < \delta$ , then  $\sum_{j \in F} p_j(x - y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Then, there exists a point  $z \in \Delta$  such that  $g(z) = z$ , that is, a fixed point of  $g$ .

*Proof:* Choose a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $K_i$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(g(x_n) - x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Compute  $N$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(g(x_n) - x_n) < \delta$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then, for  $m, n \geq N$  we have  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_m - x_n) \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $K_i$ , and converges to a limit  $z \in K_i$ . The continuity of  $g$  yields  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(g(z) - z) = 0$  for each  $F \subset I$ , that is,  $g(z) = z$ . ■

Let us prove Tychonoff's fixed point theorem (Theorem 1).

*Proof:* Assume  $\inf_{x \in K_i} \sum_{j \in F} p_j(f(x) - x) = 0$ . Choose a sequence  $(z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $K_i \subset \Delta$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(z_n) - z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . We prove that the following condition holds.

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in K_i$ ,  $\sum_{j \in F} p_j(f(x) - x) < \delta$  and  $\sum_{j \in F} p_j(f(y) - y) < \delta$ , then  $\sum_{j \in F} p_j(x - y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Assume that the set

$$T = \{(x, y) \in K_i \times K_i : \sum_{j \in F} p_j(x - y) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is nonempty and compact<sup>5</sup>. Since the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow \max(\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(x) - x), \sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(y) - y))$  is uniformly continuous, we can construct an increasing binary sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$\lambda_n = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\inf_{(x,y) \in T} \max \left( \sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(x) - x), \sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(y) - y) \right) < 2^{-n},$$

$$\lambda_n = 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$\inf_{(xyu) \in T} \max \left( \sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(x) - x), \sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(y) - y) \right) > 2^{-n-1}.$$

It suffices to find  $n$  such that  $\lambda_n = 1$ . In that case, if  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(x) - x) < 2^{-n-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(y) - y) < 2^{-n-1}$ , we have  $(x, y) \notin T$  and  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) \leq \varepsilon$ . Assume  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . If  $\lambda_n = 0$ , choose  $(x_n, y_n) \in T$  such that  $\max(\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(x_n) - x_n), \sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(y_n) - y_n)) < 2^{-n}$ , and if  $\lambda_n = 1$ , set  $x_n = y_n = z_n$ . Then,  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(x_n) - x_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(f(y_n) - y_n) \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_n - y_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Computing  $N$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_N - y_N) < \varepsilon$ , we must have  $\lambda_N = 1$ . By Lemma 3  $f$  has a fixed point.

We have completed the proof. ■

#### APPENDIX

First we show the following lemma which is an extension of Proposition 2.2.11 of [4] to a locally convex space.

**Lemma 4:** Let  $X$  be a totally bounded subset of a locally convex space,  $x_0$  a point of  $X$ , and  $r$  a positive number. Then, there exists a closed, totally bounded subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $U(x_0, F, r) \subset K \subset V(x_0, F, 8r)$ , where

$$U(x_0, F, r) = \{x \in X : \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - x_0) < r\},$$

and

$$V(x_0, F, 8r) = \{x \in X : \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - x_0) \leq 8r\}.$$

$F$  is a finitely enumerable subset of  $I$ .

*Proof:* With  $G_1 = \{x_0\}$ , construct inductively a sequence  $(G_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of finitely enumerable subset of  $X$  such that

- 1)  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - G_n) < 2^{-n+1}r$  for each  $x$  in  $U(x_0, F, r)$ ,
- 2)  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - G_n) < 2^{-n+3}r$  for each  $x$  in  $G_{n+1}$ ,

where

$$\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - G_n) = \inf_{y \in G_n} \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y).$$

<sup>5</sup>See Theorem 2.2.13 of [4].

Assume that  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  have been constructed and let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  be a  $2^{-n}r$ -approximation to  $X$ . Write  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  as a union of subsets  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_i - G_n) < 2^{-n+3}r \text{ if } i \in A,$$

$$\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_i - G_n) > 2^{-n+2}r \text{ if } i \in B.$$

Then,

$$G_{n+1} = \{x_i : i \in A\}$$

satisfies the condition (2). Let  $x$  be a point of  $U(x_0, F, r)$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists  $y$  in  $G_n$  with  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) < 2^{-n+1}r$ . Choosing  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - x_i) < 2^{-n}r$  (Note that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  is a  $2^{-n}r$ -approximation to  $X$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_i - G_n) &\leq \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x_i - y) \leq \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - x_i) + \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) \\ &< 2^{-n+2}r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $i \notin B$ , so  $i \in A$  and  $x_i \in G_{n+1}$ . Since  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - x_i) < 2^{-(n+1)+1}r$ , the set  $G_{n+1}$  satisfies the condition (1).

Let  $K$  be the closure of  $\cup_{n \geq 1} G_n$  in  $X$ . From (1)  $U(x_0, F, r) \subset K$ . On the other hand, given  $x \in K$  and a natural number  $n$ , we can find  $m \geq n$  and  $y \in G_m$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) < 2^{-n+4}r$ . By (2), there exist points  $y_m = y$ ,  $y_{m-1} \in G_{m-1}, \dots, y_n \in G_n$  such that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(y_{i+1} - y_i) < 2^{-i+3}r$  for  $n \leq i \leq m-1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in F} p_i(y - G_n) &\leq \sum_{i \in F} p_i(y - y_n) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \sum_{i \in F} p_i(y_{i+1} - y_i) \\ &< \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 2^{-i+3}r = 2^{-n+4}r, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - G_n) &\leq \sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) + \sum_{i \in F} p_i(y - G_n) \\ &< 2^{-n+4}r + 2^{-n+4}r = 2^{-n+5}r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\cup_{i=1}^n G_i$  is a finitely enumerable  $2^{-n+5}r$ -approximation to  $K$ . Since  $n$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $K$  is totally bounded.

Taking  $n = 1$  in (1), we see that  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(y - x_0) < 8r$  for each  $y$  in  $\cup_{n \geq 1} G_n$ , hence  $K \subset V(x_0, F, 8r)$ . ■

Now the proof of Lemma 1 is as follows.

*Proof of Lemma 1:* Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , construct an  $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ -approximation to  $X$ , By Lemma 4, for each  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a closed, totally bounded set  $K_i$  such that  $U(x_i, F, \frac{\varepsilon}{16}) \subset K_i \subset V(x_i, F, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ . Clearly  $X = \cup_{i=1}^n K_i$ , and also  $\sum_{i \in F} p_i(x - y) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y$  in  $K_i$ , so the diameter of  $K_i$  is smaller than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ . ■

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 20530165.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berger, D. Bridges, and P. Schuster. The fan theorem and unique existence of maxima. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 71:713–720, 2006.
- [2] J. Berger and H. Ishihara. Brouwer’s fan theorem and unique existence in constructive analysis. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 51(4):360–364, 2005.
- [3] D. Bridges and F. Richman. *Varieties of Constructive Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [4] D. Bridges and L. Vîță. *Techniques of Constructive Mathematics*. Springer, 2006.
- [5] V. I. Istrătescu. *Fixed Point Theory*. D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1981.
- [6] R. B. Kellogg, T. Y. Li, and J. Yorke. A constructive proof of Brouwer fixed-point theorem and computational results. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 13:473–483, 1976.
- [7] Y. Tanaka. Constructive proof of Brouwer’s fixed point theorem for sequentially locally non-constant functions. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.1776>, 2011.
- [8] Y. Tanaka. On constructive versions of tychonoff’s and schauder’s fixed point theorems. *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 11:125–132, 2011.
- [9] D. van Dalen. Brouwer’s  $\varepsilon$ -fixed point from Sperner’s lemma. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 412(28):3140–3144, June 2011.
- [10] W. Veldman. Brouwer’s approximate fixed point theorem is equivalent to Brouwer’s fan theorem. In S. Lindström, E. Palmgren, K. Segerberg, and V. Stoltenberg-Hansen, editors, *Logicism, Intuitionism and Formalism*. Springer, 2009.

**Yasuhito Tanaka** is Professor at the Faculty of Economics, Doshisha University, 602-8580, Japan.