

# Vibration of Functionally Graded Cylindrical Shells under Free-Free Boundary Conditions

A.R.Tahmasebi Birgani<sup>1,\*</sup>, M.Hosseini Zamenjani<sup>2</sup>, M.R.Isvandzibaei<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract**—In the present work, study of the vibration of thin cylindrical shells made of a functionally gradient material (FGM) composed of stainless steel and nickel is presented. Material properties are graded in the thickness direction of the shell according to volume fraction power law distribution. The objective is to study the natural frequencies, the influence of constituent volume fractions and the effects of boundary conditions on the natural frequencies of the FG cylindrical shell. The study is carried out using third order shear deformation shell theory. The governing equations of motion of FG cylindrical shells are derived based on shear deformation theory. Results are presented on the frequency characteristics, influence of constituent volume fractions and the effects of free-free boundary conditions.

**Keywords**—Vibration, FGM, Cylindrical shell.

## I. INTRODUCTION

CYLINDRICAL shells have found many applications in the industry. They are often used as load bearing structures for aircrafts, ships and buildings. Understanding of vibration behavior of cylindrical shells is an important aspect for the successful applications of cylindrical shells. Researches on free vibrations of cylindrical shells have been carried out extensively [1-5]. Recently, the present authors presented studies on the influence of boundary conditions on the frequencies of a multi-layered cylindrical shell [6]. In all the above works, different thin shell theories based on Love-hypothesis were used. Vibration of cylindrical shells with ring support is considered by Loy and Lam [7]. The concept of functionally graded materials (FGMs) was first introduced in 1984 by a group of materials scientists in Japan [8-9] as a means of preparing thermal barrier materials. Since then, FGMs have attracted much interest as heat-shielding materials. FGMs are made by combining different materials using power metallurgy methods [10]. They possess variations

in constituent volume fractions that lead to continuous change in the composition, microstructure, porosity, etc., resulting in gradients in the mechanical and thermal properties [11-12]. Vibration study of FGM shell structures is important. In this paper a study on the vibration of FG cylindrical shells is presented. The FGMs considered are composed of stainless steel and nickel where the volume fractions follow a power-law distribution. Studies are carried out for cylindrical shells with free-free (F-F) boundary conditions. Results are presented on the frequency characteristics, influence of constituent volume fractions and the effects of free-free boundary conditions.

## II. FUNCTIONALLY GRADED MATERIALS

For the cylindrical shell made of FGM the material properties such as the modulus of elasticity  $E$ , Poisson ratio  $\nu$  and the mass density  $\rho$  are assumed to be functions of the volume fraction of the constituent materials when the coordinate axis across the shell thickness is denoted by  $z$  and measured from the shell's middle plane. The functional relationships between  $E, \nu$  and  $\rho$  with  $z$  for a stainless steel and nickel FGM shell are assumed as [13].

$$E = (E_1 - E_2) \left( \frac{2Z + h}{2h} \right)^N + E_2 \quad (1)$$

$$\nu = (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \left( \frac{2Z + h}{2h} \right)^N + \nu_2 \quad (2)$$

$$\rho = (\rho_1 - \rho_2) \left( \frac{2Z + h}{2h} \right)^N + \rho_2 \quad (3)$$

The strain-displacement relationships for a thin shell [14].

$$\epsilon_{11} = \frac{1}{A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1})} \left[ \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{U_2}{A_2} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_2} + U_3 \frac{A_1}{R_1} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$\epsilon_{22} = \frac{1}{A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2})} \left[ \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{U_1}{A_1} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} + U_3 \frac{A_2}{R_2} \right] \quad (5)$$

<sup>1,\*</sup> A.R.Tahmasebi Birgani, Islamic Azad University, Shoushtar Branch, Department of Engineering, Shoushtar, Iran. Corresponding author, e-mail address: tahmasbi\_22@yahoo.com, Tell: +98 9163140918

<sup>2</sup> M.Hosseini Zamenjani, Islamic Azad University, Shoushtar Branch, Department of Engineering, Shoushtar, Iran.

<sup>3</sup> M.R.Isvandzibaei, Islamic Azad University, Andimeshk Branch, Andimeshk, Iran.

$$\epsilon_{33} = \frac{\partial U_3}{\partial \alpha_3} \quad (6)$$

$$\epsilon_{12} = \frac{A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1})}{A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{U_1}{A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1})} \right) + \frac{A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2})}{A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{U_2}{A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2})} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\epsilon_{13} = A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_3} \left( \frac{U_1}{A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1})} \right) + \frac{1}{A_1(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_1})} \frac{\partial U_3}{\partial \alpha_1} \quad (8)$$

$$\epsilon_{23} = A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_3} \left( \frac{U_2}{A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2})} \right) + \frac{1}{A_2(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{R_2})} \frac{\partial U_3}{\partial \alpha_2} \quad (9)$$

$$A_1 = \left| \frac{\partial \bar{r}}{\partial \alpha_1} \right|, \quad A_2 = \left| \frac{\partial \bar{r}}{\partial \alpha_2} \right| \quad (10)$$

where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are the fundamental form parameters or Lamé parameters,  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  and  $U_3$  are the displacement at any point  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the radius of curvature related to  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  respectively. The third-order theory of Reddy used in the present study is based on the following displacement field:

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = u_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 \phi_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3^2 \psi_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3^3 \beta_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ U_2 = u_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 \phi_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3^2 \psi_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3^3 \beta_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ U_3 = u_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

These equations can be reduced by satisfying the stress-free conditions on the top and bottom faces of the laminates, which are equivalent to  $\epsilon_{13} = \epsilon_{23} = 0$  at  $Z = \pm \frac{h}{2}$ . Thus

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = u_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 \phi_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - C_1 \alpha_3^3 \left( -\frac{u_1}{R_1} + \phi_1 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \right) \\ U_2 = u_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 \phi_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - C_1 \alpha_3^3 \left( -\frac{u_2}{R_2} + \phi_2 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \right) \\ U_3 = u_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Where  $C_1 = \frac{4}{3h^2}$ . Substituting Eq. (12) into nonlinear strain-displacement relation (4) - (9), it can be obtained for the third-order theory of Reddy

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{11}^0 \\ \epsilon_{22}^0 \\ \epsilon_{12}^0 \end{Bmatrix} + \alpha_3 \begin{Bmatrix} k_{11} \\ k_{22} \\ k_{12} \end{Bmatrix} + \alpha_3^3 \begin{Bmatrix} k'_{11} \\ k'_{22} \\ k'_{12} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{23} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \gamma_{13}^0 \\ \gamma_{23}^0 \end{Bmatrix} + \alpha_3^2 \begin{Bmatrix} \gamma_{13}^2 \\ \gamma_{23}^2 \end{Bmatrix} + \alpha_3^3 \begin{Bmatrix} \gamma_{13}^3 \\ \gamma_{23}^3 \end{Bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{11}^0 \\ \epsilon_{22}^0 \\ \epsilon_{12}^0 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \left( \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{u_2}{A_1 A_2} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{u_3}{R_1} \right) \\ \left( \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{u_1}{A_1 A_2} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{u_3}{R_2} \right) \\ \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{u_2}{A_2} \right) + \frac{A_1}{A_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{u_1}{A_1} \right) \right) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} k_{11} \\ k_{22} \\ k_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \left( \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\phi_2}{A_1 A_2} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_2} \right) \\ \left( \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\phi_1}{A_1 A_2} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} \right) \\ \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\phi_2}{A_2} \right) + \frac{A_1}{A_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{\phi_1}{A_1} \right) \right) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} k'_{11} \\ k'_{22} \\ k'_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = C_1 \begin{Bmatrix} \left( -\frac{1}{A_1} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{R_1 \partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{A_1^2 \partial \alpha_1^2} \right) + \frac{\partial A_1}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_2} \frac{1}{R_1} \left( -\frac{u_2}{A_2} + \phi_2 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \right) \right) \\ \left( -\frac{1}{A_2} \left( \frac{\partial u_2}{R_2 \partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{A_2^2 \partial \alpha_2^2} \right) + \frac{\partial A_2}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} \frac{1}{R_2} \left( -\frac{u_1}{A_1} + \phi_1 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \right) \right) \\ \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{u_2}{A_2} \right) + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \alpha_1} \right) + \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \alpha_2} \right) \\ \left( \frac{A_1}{A_2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{u_1}{A_1} \right) + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \alpha_2} \right) + \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \alpha_2} \right) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \gamma_{13}^0 \\ \gamma_{23}^0 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \left( \phi_1 - \frac{u_1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial \alpha_1} \right) \\ \left( \phi_2 - \frac{u_2}{R_2} + \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial \alpha_2} \right) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \gamma_{13}^2 \\ \gamma_{23}^2 \end{Bmatrix} = 3C_1 \begin{Bmatrix} \left( -\frac{u_1}{R_1} + \phi_1 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \right) \\ \left( -\frac{u_2}{R_2} + \phi_2 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \right) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \gamma_{13}^3 \\ \gamma_{23}^3 \end{Bmatrix} = C_1 \begin{Bmatrix} \left( -\frac{u_1}{R_1} + \phi_1 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \right) \\ \left( -\frac{u_2}{R_2} + \phi_2 + \frac{\partial u_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \right) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

Where  $(\epsilon^0, \gamma^0)$  are the membranes strains and  $(k, k', \gamma^2, \gamma^3)$  are the bending strains, known as the curvatures.

### III. FORMULATION

For a thin cylindrical shell, the stress-strain relationship are defined as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Q_{12} & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{66} \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{23} \\ \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

For an isotropic cylindrical shell the reduced stiffness  $Q_{ij}$  ( $i, j=1, 2$  and  $6$ ) are defined as

$$Q_{11} = Q_{22} = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2}, \quad Q_{12} = \frac{\nu E}{1-\nu^2} \quad (22)$$

$$Q_{44} = Q_{55} = Q_{66} = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \quad (23)$$

where  $E$  is the Young's modulus and  $\nu$  is Poisson's ratio. Defining

$$\{A_{ij}, B_{ij}, D_{ij}, E_{ij}, F_{ij}, G_{ij}, H_{ij}\} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{ij} \{1, \alpha_3, \alpha_3^2, \alpha_3^3, \alpha_3^4, \alpha_3^5, \alpha_3^6\} d\alpha_3 \quad (24)$$

where  $Q_{ij}$  are functions of  $z$  for functionally gradient materials. Here  $A_{ij}$  denote the extensional stiffness,  $D_{ij}$  the bending stiffness,  $B_{ij}$  the bending-extensional coupling stiffness and  $E_{ij}, F_{ij}, G_{ij}, H_{ij}$  are the extensional, bending, coupling, and higher-order stiffness.

For a thin cylindrical shell the force and moment results are defined as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} N_{11} \\ N_{22} \\ N_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{Bmatrix} d\alpha_3, \quad \begin{Bmatrix} M_{11} \\ M_{22} \\ M_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{Bmatrix} \alpha_3^2 d\alpha_3 \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} P_{11} \\ P_{22} \\ P_{12} \end{Bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{Bmatrix} \alpha_3^3 d\alpha_3, \quad \begin{Bmatrix} P_{13} \\ P_{23} \end{Bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{23} \end{Bmatrix} \alpha_3^3 d\alpha_3 \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} Q_{13} \\ Q_{23} \end{Bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{23} \end{Bmatrix} d\alpha_3, \quad \begin{Bmatrix} R_{13} \\ R_{23} \end{Bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{23} \end{Bmatrix} \alpha_3^2 d\alpha_3 \quad (27)$$

#### IV. THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR VIBRATION OF A GENERIC SHELL

The equations of motion for vibration of a generic shell can be derived by using Hamilton's principle which is described by

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\Pi - K) dt = 0, \quad \Pi = U - V \quad (28)$$

Where  $K, \Pi, U$  and  $V$  are the total kinetic, potential, strain and loading energies,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are arbitrary time. The kinetic, strain and loading energies of a cylindrical shell can be written as:

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \int \int \int \rho (\dot{U}_1^2 + \dot{U}_2^2 + \dot{U}_3^2) dV \quad (29)$$

$$U = \int \int \int (\sigma_{11} \epsilon_{11} + \sigma_{22} \epsilon_{22} + \sigma_{12} \epsilon_{12} + \sigma_{13} \epsilon_{13} + \sigma_{23} \epsilon_{23}) dV \quad (30)$$

$$V = \int \int (q_1 \delta U_1 + q_2 \delta U_2 + q_3 \delta U_3) A_1 A_2 d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2 \quad (31)$$

The infinitesimal volume is given by

$$dV = A_1 A_2 d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2 d\alpha_3 \quad (32)$$

with the use of Eqs. (11)-(20) and substituting into Eq. (28), we get the equations of motions a generic shell.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial(N_{1r2})}{\partial \alpha_1} + N_{22} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_1} - \frac{\partial(N_{1z} A_1^2)}{\partial \alpha_2} - \frac{Q_{23}}{R_1} A_1 A_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{P_{1r2} C_1}{R_1} \right) + \frac{P_{22} C_1}{R_1} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} - \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{P_{12} C_1 A_1^2}{R_1} \right) \frac{1}{A_1} + \frac{3C_1 R_{13}}{R_1} A_1 A_2 - \frac{C_1 P_{13} A_1 A_2}{R_1^2} = -(\ddot{u}_1 I_1 + \ddot{\phi}_1 I_1 + [-C_1 (\frac{\ddot{u}_1}{R_1} + \\ & \ddot{\phi} + \frac{\ddot{\alpha}_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1}) + \frac{C_1 \ddot{\phi}}{R_1} ] I_3 + \frac{C_1 \ddot{\phi}}{R_1} I_4 - \frac{C_1}{R_1} (\frac{\ddot{u}_1}{R_1} + \ddot{\phi} + \frac{\ddot{\alpha}_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1}) I_6) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial(N_{22} A_1)}{\partial \alpha_2} - N_{11} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial(N_{1z} A_1^2)}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{Q_{23}}{R_2} A_1 A_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{P_{22} C_1 A_1}{R_2} \right) - \frac{P_{1r2} C_1}{R_2} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_2} + \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{P_{12} C_1 A_1^2}{R_2} \right) \frac{1}{A_2} - \frac{3C_1 R_{23}}{R_2} A_1 A_2 + \frac{C_1 P_{23} A_1 A_2}{R_2^2} = (\ddot{u}_2 I_2 + \ddot{\phi}_2 I_2 + \frac{C_1 \ddot{\phi}}{R_2} I_{42} + \\ & [-C_1 (\frac{\ddot{u}_2}{R_2} + \ddot{\phi}_2 + \frac{\ddot{\alpha}_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2}) + \frac{C_1 \ddot{\phi}}{R_2} ] I_3 - \frac{C_1}{R_2} (\frac{\ddot{u}_2}{R_2} + \ddot{\phi}_2 + \frac{\ddot{\alpha}_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2}) I_6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\frac{\partial^2(P_{1r2} C_1 A_1 / A_1)}{\partial \alpha_1^2} + N_{11} \frac{A A_2}{R_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{C_1 P_{11} \partial A_1}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \right) + N_{22} \frac{A A_2}{R_2} \frac{\partial^2(P_{22} C_1 A_1 / A_2)}{\partial \alpha_2^2} + \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{P_{22} C_1 \partial A_2}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \right) - \frac{\partial^2(P_{1r2} C_1)}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \alpha_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{P_{1r2} C_1 \partial A_2^2}{A_2 \partial \alpha_1} \right) - \frac{\partial^2(P_{1r2} C_1)}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \alpha_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{P_{1r2} C_1 \partial A_1^2}{A_1 \partial \alpha_2} \right) - \\ & \frac{\partial(Q_{13} A_1)}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\partial(3C_1 R_{13} A_2)}{\partial \alpha_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{P_{13} C_1 A_2}{R_1} \right) - \frac{\partial(Q_{23} A_1)}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial(3C_1 R_{23} A_1)}{\partial \alpha_2} - \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{C_1 P_{23} A_1}{R_2} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{P_{11} C_1 A_2 \partial A_1}{A_1^2 \partial \alpha_1} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{P_{22} C_1 \frac{A_1 \partial A_2}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2}} \right) = -(\ddot{u}_3 I_5 + \\ & + C_1 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\ddot{u}_1}{A_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{\ddot{u}_2}{A_2} \right) \right] I_3 + C_1 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{A_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{A_2} \right) \right] I_4 - C_1 I_6 \left( -\frac{\partial}{R_2 \partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{\ddot{u}_2}{A_2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \left( \frac{\ddot{\phi}_2}{A_2} \right) + \frac{1}{A_2} \frac{\partial^2 \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \alpha_2^2} - \frac{\partial A_2}{A_1^2 \partial \alpha_2} \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \alpha_2} \right) + \left( -\frac{\partial}{R_1 \partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\ddot{u}_1}{A_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\ddot{\phi}_1}{A_1} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{\partial^2 \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \alpha_1^2} - \frac{\partial A_1}{A_1^2 \partial \alpha_1} \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \alpha_1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial(M_{1r2} A_1)}{\partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\partial(C_1 P_{1r2} A_1)}{\partial \alpha_1} + M_{22} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} - C_1 P_{22} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_1} - \frac{\partial(M_{1z} A_1^2)}{A_1 \partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial(P_{1r2} C_1 A_1^2)}{A_1 \partial \alpha_2} - \\ & - 3C_1 R_{13} A_1 A_2 + A A_2 Q_3 + \frac{C_1 P_{13}}{R_1} A A_2 = [\ddot{u}_1 I_1 + \ddot{\phi}_1 I_1 - C_1 \ddot{u}_3 I_3 + (-2C_1 \ddot{\phi}_1 + C_1 \frac{\ddot{u}_1}{R_1} - \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{C_1}{A_1} \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \alpha_1} I_4 + C_1^2 \left( -\frac{\ddot{u}_1}{R_1} + \ddot{\phi}_1 + \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{A_1 \partial \alpha_1} \right) I_6 \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial(M_{22}A)}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{\partial(C_1A_1P_{22})}{\partial \alpha_2} + M_{11} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha_2} - C_1 P_{11} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha_2} - \frac{\partial(M_{12}A_2^2)}{A_2 \partial \alpha_1} + \frac{\partial(P_{12}C_1A_2^2)}{A_2 \partial \alpha_1} \\ & - 3C_1R_{23}AA_2 + A_1A_2Q_{23} + \frac{C_1P_{23}}{R_2} AA_2 = [\ddot{u}_1 I_1 + \ddot{\phi}_2 I_2 - C_1 \ddot{u}_3 I_3 + (-2C_1 \ddot{\phi}_2 + \\ & + C_1 \frac{\ddot{u}_2}{R_2} - \frac{C_1}{A_2} \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \alpha_2}) I_4 + C_1^2 \left( \frac{\ddot{u}_2}{R_2} + \ddot{\phi}_2 + \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{A_2 \partial \alpha_2} \right) I_6] \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

For Eqs. (33) – (37) are defining as

$$I_i = \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \rho \alpha^i d\alpha \quad (38)$$

#### V. EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR VIBRATION OF CYLINDRICAL SHELL

The curvilinear coordinates and fundamental from parameters for a cylindrical shell are:

$$R_2 = a, \frac{1}{R} = 0, A_2 = a, A_1 = 0, \alpha_3 = \alpha_3, \alpha_2 = \theta, \alpha_1 = x \quad (39)$$

Substituting relationship (39) into Eqs. (33)-(37) the equations of motions for vibration of cylindrical shell with the third-order theory of Reddy are converted to

$$a \frac{\partial N_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_{12}}{\partial \theta} = I_0 \ddot{u}_1 + (I_1 - C_1 I_3) \ddot{\phi}_1 - C_1 I_3 \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial x} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial N_{22}}{\partial \theta} + C_1 \frac{\partial P_{12}}{\partial x} + Q_{23} - 3C_1 R_{23} + C_1 P_{23} = (I_0 + 2\frac{C_1}{a} I_3 + \frac{C_1^2}{a^2} I_6) \ddot{u}_2 + \\ & (I_1 - C_1 I_3 + \frac{C_1}{a} I_4 - \frac{C_1^2}{a^2} I_6) \ddot{\phi}_2 - (\frac{C_1}{a} I_3 - \frac{C_1^2}{a^2} I_6) \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \theta} \quad (41) \\ & - C_1 a \frac{\partial^2 P_{11}}{\partial x^2} + N_{22} - \frac{C_1}{a} \frac{\partial^2 P_{22}}{\partial \theta^2} - 2C_1 \frac{\partial^2 P_{12}}{\partial x \partial \theta} - a \frac{\partial Q_{23}}{\partial x} + 3C_1 a \frac{\partial R_{13}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial Q_{23}}{\partial \theta} \\ & + 3C_1 \frac{\partial R_{23}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{C_1}{a} \frac{\partial P_{23}}{\partial \theta} = -C_1 I_3 \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_1}{\partial x} - \frac{C_1}{a} I_3 \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_2}{\partial \theta} + (-C_1 I_4 + C_1^2 I_6) \frac{\partial \ddot{\phi}_1}{\partial x} + \\ & (\frac{C_1}{a} I_4 + \frac{C_1^2}{a^2} I_6) \frac{\partial \ddot{\phi}_2}{\partial \theta} - \frac{C_1}{a^2} I_6 \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_2}{\partial \theta} + C_1 I_6 \frac{\partial^2 \ddot{u}_3}{\partial x^2} + \frac{C_1^2}{a^2} I_6 \frac{\partial^2 \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \theta^2} - \ddot{u}_3 I_0 \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -a \frac{\partial M_{11}}{\partial x} + C_1 a \frac{\partial P_{11}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial M_{12}}{\partial \theta} + C_1 \frac{\partial P_{12}}{\partial \theta} - 3C_1 R_{23} a + a Q_{23} = -I_1 \ddot{u}_4 + C_1 I_3 \ddot{u}_4 + \\ & (-I_2 + 2C_1 I_4 - C_1^2 I_6) \ddot{\phi}_1 + (C_1 I_4 - C_1^2 I_6) \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial x} \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial M_{22}}{\partial \theta} - C_1 \frac{\partial P_{22}}{\partial \theta} - a \frac{\partial M_{12}}{\partial x} + C_1 a \frac{\partial P_{12}}{\partial x} - 3C_1 R_{23} a + a Q_{23} + C_1 R_{23} = (-I_1 \\ & C_1 I_3 - \frac{C_1}{a} I_4) \ddot{u}_2 + (-I_2 + 2C_1 I_4) \ddot{\phi}_2 - \frac{C_1}{a} I_4 \frac{\partial \ddot{u}_3}{\partial \theta} \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

The displacement fields for a FG cylindrical shell and the displacement fields which satisfy these boundary conditions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \bar{A} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \cos(n\theta) \cos(\omega t) \\ u_2 &= \bar{B} \phi(x) \sin(n\theta) \cos(\omega t) \\ u_3 &= \bar{C} \phi(x) \cos(n\theta) \cos(\omega t) \\ \phi_1 &= \bar{D} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \cos(n\theta) \cos(\omega t) \\ \phi_2 &= \bar{E} \phi(x) \sin(n\theta) \cos(\omega t) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where,  $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{D}$  and  $\bar{E}$  are the constants denoting the amplitudes of the vibrations in the  $x, \theta$  and  $z$  directions,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are the displacement fields for higher order deformation theories for a cylindrical shell,  $\phi(x)$  is the axial function that satisfies the geometric boundary conditions. The axial function  $\phi(x)$  is chosen as the beam function as

$$\phi(x) = \gamma_1 \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda_{hr} x}{L}\right) + \gamma_2 \cos\left(\frac{\lambda_{hr} x}{L}\right) - \zeta_m (\gamma_3 \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda_{hr} x}{L}\right) + \gamma_4 \sin\left(\frac{\lambda_{hr} x}{L}\right)) \quad (46)$$

The geometric boundary conditions for free boundary conditions can be expressed mathematically in terms of  $\phi(x)$  as:

Free boundary condition

$$\phi''(0) = \phi'''(L) = 0 \quad (47)$$

Substituting Eq. (45) into Eqs. (40) - (44) for third order theory we can be expressed

$$\det(C_{ij} - M_{ij} \omega^2) = 0 \quad (48)$$

Expanding this determinant, a polynomial in even powers of  $\omega$  is obtained

$$\beta_0 \omega^{10} + \beta_1 \omega^8 + \beta_2 \omega^6 + \beta_3 \omega^4 + \beta_4 \omega^2 + \beta_5 = 0 \quad (49)$$

where  $\beta_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  are some constants. Eq. (49) is solved five positive and five negative roots are obtained. The five positive roots obtained are the natural angular frequencies of the cylindrical shell based third-order theory. The smallest of the five roots is the natural angular frequency studied in the present study.

#### VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, studies are presented for vibration of FG cylindrical shell. The boundary conditions, free-free (F-F) is considered in the study. Natural frequencies of the FG cylindrical shell for this boundary conditions is computed and plotted in Fig.1. For this boundary conditions the frequency first decreases and then increases as the circumferential wave number  $n$  increases.




Fig. 1 Natural frequencies FG cylindrical shell associated with F-F boundary conditions. ( $m=1$ ,  $h/R=0.002$ ,  $L/R=20$ )

For simplicity, we actually vary the value of power law exponent whenever we need to change the volume fraction. Varying the value of power law exponent  $N$  of the FG cylindrical shell, natural frequencies are computed for simply supported-simply supported boundary conditions. Results are also computed for pure stainless steel and pure nickel shells. All these results are plotted in Fig.2.




Fig. 2 Natural frequencies FG cylindrical shell associated with various power law exponent for F-F boundary condition.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

A study on the free vibration of functionally graded (FG) cylindrical shell composed of stainless steel and nickel has been presented. Material properties are graded in the thickness direction of the shell according to volume fraction power law distribution. The study is carried out using third order shear deformation shell theory. The analysis is carried out using Hamilton's principle. Studies are carried out for cylindrical shells with free-free (F-F) boundary conditions. The study showed that in this boundary conditions the frequency first decreases and then increases as the circumferential wave number  $n$  increases. The minimum frequency occurs in between  $n$  equals 2 and 3 for this boundary conditions. The

results showed that one could easily vary the natural frequency of the FG cylindrical shell by varying the volume fraction.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold, R.N., Warburton, G.B., 1948. Flexural vibrations of the walls of thin cylindrical shells. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A; 197:238-256.
- [2] Ludwig, A., Krieg, R., 1981. An analysis quasi-exact method for calculating eigen vibrations of thin circular shells. J. Sound vibration; 74,155-174.
- [3] Chung, H., 1981. Free vibration analysis of circular cylindrical shells. J. Sound vibration; 74, 331-359.
- [4] Soedel, W., 1980. A new frequency formula for closed circular cylindrical shells for a large variety of boundary conditions. J. Sound vibration; 70,309-317.
- [5] Forsberg, K., 1964. Influence of boundary conditions on modal characteristics of cylindrical shells. AIAA J; 2, 182- 189.
- [6] Lam, K.L., Loy, C.T., 1995. Effects of boundary conditions on frequencies characteristics for a multi-layered cylindrical shell. J. Sound vibration; 188, 363-384.
- [7] Loy, C.T., Lam, K.Y., 1996. Vibration of cylindrical shells with ring support. I. Journal of Impact Engineering; 1996; 35:455.
- [8] Koizumi, M., 1993. The concept of FGM Ceramic Transactions, Functionally Graded Materials.
- [9] Makino A, Araki N, Kitajima H, Ohashi K. Transient temperature response of functionally graded material subjected to partial, stepwise heating. Transactions of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, Part B 1994; 60:4200-6(1994).
- [10] Anon, 1996. FGM components: PM meets the challenge. Metal powder Report. 51:28-32.
- [11] Zhang, X.D., Liu, D.Q., Ge, C.C., 1994. Thermal stress analysis of axial symmetry functionally graded materials under steady temperature field. Journal of Functional Materials; 25:452-5.
- [12] Wetherhold, R.C., Seelman, S., Wang, J.Z., 1996. Use of functionally graded materials to eliminate or control thermal deformation. Composites Science and Technology; 56:1099-104.
- [13] Najafizadeh, M.M., Hedayati, B. Refined Theory for Thermoelastic Stability of Functionally Graded Circular Plates. Journal of thermal stresses; 27:857-880.
- [14] Soedel, W., 1981. Vibration of shells and plates. Marcel Dekker, INC, New York.