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#### Abstract

The experimental thermal performance of two heat exchangers in closed-wet cooling tower (CWCT) was investigated in this study. The test sections are heat exchangers which have multi path that is used as the entrance of cooling water and are consisting of bare-type copper tubes between 15.88 mm and 19.05 mm . The process fluids are the cooling water that flows from top part of heat exchanger to bottom side in the inner side of tube, and spray water that flows gravitational direction in the outer side of it. Air contacts its outer side of that as it counterflows. Heat and mass transfer coefficients and cooling capacity were calculated with variations of process fluids, multi path and different diameter tubes to figure out the performance of characteristics of CWCT.

The main results were summarized as follows: The results show this experiment is reliable with values of heat and mass transfer coefficients comparing to values of correlations. Heat and mass transfer coefficients and cooling capacity of two paths are higher than these with one path using 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes. Cooling capacity per unit volume with 15.88 mm tube using one and two paths are higher than 19.05 mm tube due to increase of surface area per unit volume.


Keywords-Closed-Wet Cooling Tower, Cooling Capacity, Heat and Mass Transfer Coefficients.

## I. Introduction

IN recent days, a cooling tower is one of the efficient device that rejects generated heat from all most of industrial processes and air-conditioning \& refrigeration systems. A cooling tower is frequently used to reject heat from those processes without consuming excessive quantities of water or thermally polluting a body of surface water. In general, a closed-wet cooling tower (CWCT) which maintain an indirect contact between the fluid and the atmosphere are used increasingly due to no cooling water pollution.

The first basic theory of cooling tower was proposed by Walker [1]. Some correlations of mass transfer coefficient between air and spray water interface and heat transfer coefficient between tube external surface and spray water film was presented by several authors [2-5]. Correlations of heat and mass transfer which was tested with tube banks consisting of
19.05 mm outside diameter in CWCT were proposed by Parker and Treybal[2]. The heat and mass transfer correlations of

CWCT having 12 mm to 40 mm outside diameter were presented by Mizushina[3]. The heat and mass transfer correlations of CWCT having both smooth and finned tubes with 16 mm outside diameter were suggested by Nitsu[4]. Correlations for heat and mass transfer coefficients were obtained experimentally for a small-size indirect cooling tower having the tube bundle which has 228 staggered tubes of 10 mm outside diameter by Jorge Facao[5]. The cooling capacity and pressure drops as a function of air velocity and wet-bulb temperature of hybrid closed wet cooling tower were presented by M.M.Sarker[6]

A cooling water pump consumes 60 to 70 percent of total power consumption in CWCT. It is used to design to decrease the quantity of cooling water in order to reduce cooling water pump power. It is efficient to curtail an expenditure of tubes with reducing the quantity of cooling water. However, it is possible to lower cooling capacity with adapting this method to typical CWCT with one path because the velocity of process fluid in the tubes decreases. To increase velocity of process fluid in a tube is to block tube bundles to be multi path. Fig. 1 shows the concept of multi path. In the relevant literature, no results have been reported so far involving the CWCT with multi path.

In this paper, the objective of this experiment is to obtain basic data from experimental study on small-sized CWCT with multi path and a variation of diameter of tubes in a heat exchanger to analyze the performance of characteristics for designing a large-sized CWCT.


Fig. 1 Concept of Multi Path

## II. Experimental Apparatus and Method

The schematic diagram and photograph of experimental apparatus used in this study is showed in Fig. 2. The prototype of CWCT is used where the heat exchanger is located at the upper part and fans are installed at the lower part of the water tank. The plain circular tubes are used both a diameter of 15.88 mm with ten rows and ten columns in the dimensional tower of $0.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.318 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.336 \mathrm{~m}$ and a diameter of 19.05 mm with eight rows and twelve columns in the dimensional tower of 0.6 m $\times 0.304 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.525 \mathrm{~m}$ and in a staggered arrangement. The cooling water is supplied by pipes which are connected to the distribution head through horizontal cooling tubes. The spray water is constantly distributed at the upper part of the heat exchanger and circulates in CWCT by pump. The water tank section consists of a spray water and ambient air forcing fans. Ambient air was controlled to designated state by an air-heater and humidifier while passing through the air duct. Therefore,


Fig. 2 Schematic diagram and photograph of the experimental apparatus

TABLE I

| Specification Of HEAT EXCHANGER AND EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Tube diameter | 15.88 | 19.05 | $[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| Transversal pitch | 31.8 | 38.1 | $[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| Row pitch | 39 | 46 | $[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| Dimension | W0.6•L0.318•H0.336 | W0.6•L0.304•H0.525 | $[\mathrm{m}]$ |
| Cooling water | Flow rate | $600-3120$ | $[\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}]$ |
|  | Inlet temperature | $32-50$ | $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| Spray water | Flow rate | $720-2160$ | $[\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}]$ |
| Air | Velocity | $1.0-3.5$ | $[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]$ |
|  | Inlet wet-bulb temperature | $22-29$ | $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |

wet-bulb temperature can be controlled with adjusting dry-bulb temperature and relative humidity.

Cooling water flows in the inside of the tubes in CWCT. The cooling water after coming out through the outlet of the heat exchanger is sent to the constant temperature tank. The cooling water gains heat and gets stabilized to a certain temperature while passing though the constant temperature tank. Then, it recirculates in CWCT.
The experiment was conducted with variations of the cooling water flow rate, cooling water inlet temperature, spray water flow rate, wet-bulb temperature and inlet air velocity.

Table I shows the experimental conditions and the tower geometry.

## III. Data Reduction

Heat transfers from a hot process fluid inside tubes to spray water and to air through a water film. Heat transfer is in latent and sensible forms when heat transfers from spray water to air. The rate of heat lost by cooling water is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{w}=m_{w}{ }^{c} P w d t_{w}=U_{o}\left(t_{w}-t_{s}\right) d A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate of heat gain by air is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}=m_{a} d i_{a}=k\left(i^{\prime}-i_{a}\right) d A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass transfer coefficient can be obtained using mass balance

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}\left(x_{a, 2}-x_{a, 1}\right)=k A d x_{L M} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d x_{\mathrm{LM}}$ is the logarithmic mean humidity difference, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{L M}=\frac{x_{a, 2}-x_{a, 1}}{\ln \frac{x^{\prime} 3-x_{a, 1}}{x^{\prime} 3-x_{a, 2}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U_{0}$ is the overall heat transfer coefficient based on the outer area of the tube. To calculate $U_{\mathrm{o}}$, the following equation was used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{U_{O}}=\frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\frac{D_{O}}{D_{i}}\right)+\frac{D_{O}}{2 \lambda} \ln \left(\frac{D_{O}}{D_{i}}\right)+\frac{1}{h_{O}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 3 shows the heat balance between cooling water and air side of the experimental apparatus. Only the valid data which were selected from the stabilized state was used for the analysis. To calculate the heat balance, equations (1) and (2) are used. The heat balance data have fallen within $\pm 20 \%$ were used. The heat balance of the apparatus could be claimed to be satisfactory.

Fig. 4 shows the mass transfer coefficients $k$ as a function of air velocity in CWCT using 15.88 mm tube. The mass transfer coefficients $k$ are compared to the values of the correlations


Fig. 3 Heat balance between cooling water and air side
by Parker and Treybal[1], Mizushina[2]and Nitsu[3]. It is shown that mass transfer coefficients in CWCT using one path are similar to the correlation of Mizushina[2]. It is observed that mass transfer coefficients $k$ which are calculated in CWCT having one path and two paths increase with the increase of the air velocity. This is mainly because the measured temperature of spray water in the surface of tubes in the outlet of CWCT using two path are higher than the other and then it caused to increase the absolute humidity at the outlet of CWCT using two paths. Mass transfer coefficients $k$ using two paths


Fig. 4 Mass transfer coefficient $k$ as a function of air velocity in 15.88 mm tube


Fig. 5 Heat transfer coefficient $h_{o}$ as a function of spray water flow rate in 15.88 mm tube
are approximately $18 \%$ higher than those having one path at standard experimental condition, air velocity is $3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
Fig. 5 shows heat transfer coefficients $h_{o}$ as a function of spray water flow rate which are calculated in CWCT using one and two paths, and these values are similar to the
correlation by Nitsu[3]. It indicates that heat transfer coefficients $h_{o}$ are increasing as increasing spray water. Heat transfer coefficients $h_{o}$ in CWCT using two paths are higher than those using one path. Heat transfer coefficients $h_{o}$ using two paths are approximately $17 \%$ higher than those having one path at standard experimental condition, spray water flow rate is $18 \ell / \mathrm{min}$.

Fig. 6 shows the mass transfer coefficients $k$ as a function of air velocity in CWCT using 19.05 mm tubes. In case of the CWCT using one path, mass transfer coefficients $k$ are similar to the correlation of Mizushina[2]. This means that there is a high reliability of the experimental apparatus. It is observed that mass transfer coefficients which are calculated in CWCT having one path and two paths increased with the increase of the air velocity. Mass transfer coefficients having two paths are approximately $43 \%$ and $17 \%$ higher than those having one path when air velocity are $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $3.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ respectively.

Fig. 7 shows heat transfer coefficient $h_{o}$ as a function of spray water flow rate in CWCT using 19.05 mm tubes. Heat transfer coefficients which are calculated in CWCT using one path are similar to correlation by Nitsu[3]. In addition, heat


Fig. 6 Mass transfer coefficient $k$ as a function of air velocity in 19.05 mm tube


Fig. 7 Heat transfer coefficient $h_{o}$ as a function of spray water flow rate in 19.05 mm tube
transfer coefficients using two paths are similar to the correlation by Parker and Treybal[1]. It indicates that heat transfer coefficients are increasing as increasing spray water flow rate. Heat transfer coefficients in CWCT using two paths are higher than those using one path in this figure.

Fig. 8 shows mass transfer coefficients $k$ per unit volume as a function of air velocity in CWCT using 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes with one path and two paths. Mass transfer coefficients $k$ per unit volume in CWCT using 19.05 mm tube are higher than those using 15.88 mm tube. This is because the height of heat exchanger of using 19.05 mm tube are longer than its of using 15.88 mm tubes so, higher absolute humidity at the outlet of CWCT using 19.05 mm tube was generated comparing to CWCT using 15.88 mm tube.

Fig. 9 shows heat transfer coefficient $h_{o}$ per unit volume as a function of spray water flow rate in CWCT using 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes with one path and two paths. In this figure, heat transfer coefficients per unit volume which are calculated in CWCT with 15.88 mm and 19.05 mm tubes increase with the increase of the spray water flow rate. In contrast to the trend of mass transfer coefficient, heat transfer coefficients $h_{o}$ per unit volume in CWCT using 15.88 mm tube are higher than those using 19.05 mm tube.
Fig. 10 shows cooling capacity per unit (heat exchanger) volume as a function of wet-bulb temperature. Two heat


Fig. 8 Mass transfer coefficient $k$ per unit volume as a function of air velocity in 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes


Fig. 9 Heat transfer coefficient $h_{o}$ per unit volume as a function of spray water flow rate in 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes
exchangers do not have same volumetric size so, it is expressed that cooling capacity which was divided by the volume of each heat exchanger in this figure. It is observed that cooling capacity per unit volume in CWCT decrease with the increase of the wet-bulb temperature. This is mainly because the temperature difference between inlet cooling water and air is getting so decreasing that the evaporation of spray water and range evaporation of spray water and range decreases when wet-bulb temperature at the inlet of CWCT increases. Cooling capacity per unit volume in CWCT using 15.88 mm tubes with two paths have highest values in CWCT among four variations. Cooling capacity per unit volume with 15.88 mm tube using two paths are approximately $27.5 \%$ and $41.01 \%$ higher than those having 19.05 mm tube using two paths when inlet wet-bulb temperature of CWCT are $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively.

Fig. 11 shows cooling capacity per unit volume as a function of inlet cooling water temperature. Cooling capacity per unit volume in CWCT using 15.88 mm tubes with two paths also have highest values the same as Fig. 10. This is because this result is shown that due to increase of surface area per unit volume using 15.88 mm tubes even though mass transfer coefficient $k$ and heat transfer coefficients $h_{o}$ per unit volume in CWCT using 15.88 mm tubes with one and two paths have lower or similar to values of CWCT using 19.05 mm tubes. Cooling capacity per unit volume with 15.88 mm tube using two


Fig. 10 Cooling capacity per unit volume as a function of wet-bulb temperature in 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes


Fig. 11 Cooling capacity per unit volume as a function of cooling water temperature in 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes


Fig. 12 Cooling capacity per unit volume as a function of cooling water flow rate in 15.88 and 19.05 mm tubes
paths are approximately $10.5 \%$ and $43.06 \%$ higher than those having 19.05 mm tube using two paths when inlet cooling water temperature are $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively.

Fig. 12 shows cooling capacity per unit volume as a function of inlet cooling water flow rate. It is observed that cooling capacity in CWCT are getting increasing and the final point of cooling capacity have lower values comparing to previous points in common with the increase of cooling water flow rate. Cooling capacity per unit volume in CWCT using 15.88 mm tubes with two paths also have highest values the same as previous two figures.

## V. CONClUSION

The performance characteristics of the closed-wet cooling tower with multi path and different tubes have been investigated experimentally having a rated capacity of 2 RT. Mass transfer coefficients for variable air velocity of CWCT having two paths are respectively about $18 \%$ and $26 \%$ higher than those having one path using 15.88 mm and 19.05 mm using one and two paths with 15.88 mm tube are $3.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 4.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ tubes at the standard condition. Heat and mass transfer
coefficients of CWCT using one path was found to conform respectively and range of CWCT using two paths is higher approximately $20 \%$ than range in CWCT using one path. In addition, ranges of the CWCT using one and two paths with well to the already reported results for almost all cases considered. At the standard design condition, ranges of CWCT 19.05 mm tubes are $4.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively and range of CWCT using two paths is higher approximately $21 \%$ than range in CWCT using one path. The cooling capacity per unit volume of the CWCT using 15.88 mm tubes with two paths has the highest values. Hence, we can claim that it is efficient way to use smaller diameter tube in heat exchanger in order to increase surface area per unit volume. The result obtained from this study is supposed to provide basic relevant data which could be referred for the optimum design of the CWCT with multi path.
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