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Abstract—This paper presents a threshold voltage model of pocket implanted sub-100 nm n-MOSFETs incorporating the drain and substrate bias effects using two linear pocket profiles. Two linear equations are used to simulate the pocket profiles along the channel at the surface from the source and drain edges towards the center of the n-MOSFET. Then the effective doping concentration is derived and is used in the threshold voltage equation that is obtained by solving the Poisson’s equation in the depletion region at the surface. Simulated threshold voltages for various gate lengths fit well with the experimental data already published in the literature. The simulated result is compared with the two other pocket profiles used to derive the threshold voltage models of n-MOSFETs. The comparison shows that the linear model has a simple compact form that can be utilized to study and characterize the pocket implanted advanced ULSI devices.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The conventional threshold voltage model is derived for the homogeneous doping concentration [1]. As the channel length of MOSFETs is scaled down to deep-submicrometer or sub-100 nm regime, short-channel effects, such as, steep threshold voltage roll-off, increased off-state leakage current and bulk punch through have been observed [2]. The short channel effects arise as results of two dimensional potential distribution and high electric fields in the channel region. Thus two-dimensional modeling is required for short channel MOS transistors. The two dimensional analytical model of I-V characteristics of short channel MOS transistor has been developed earlier [3]. Lateral channel engineering utilizing halo-pocket implant [4], [5], [6], [7], [8] surrounding drain and source regions is effective in suppressing short channel effects. An extension of the homogeneous model to the non-homogeneous impurity pileup in the vertical direction has been reported previously [2], [4], [9]. However, the reported model cannot be extended further to the pocket implantation, where inhomogeneity along the channel is the main cause for the reverse short channel effect (RSCE) [10]. A strong reverse short channel effect suppresses the short channel effect on threshold voltage of the MOSFET [11]. Threshold voltage model for pocket implanted MOSFETs for circuit simulation does not describe the sub-100 nm case [11]. In this work, we propose a threshold voltage model capable of describing the threshold voltage for the gate length down to 50 nm.

Advanced MOSFETs are non-uniformly doped as a result of complex process flow. Therefore, one of the key factors to model threshold voltage ($V_{th}$) accurately is to model its non-uniform doping profile. Here the lateral 1-D pocket profile across the channel has been transformed to an effective doping concentration expression that can be applied directly to the $V_{th}$ expression incorporating $V_{th}$ shift due to short channel effect to suppress this effect. Besides, drain and substrate bias effects have also been incorporated. Here a short channel threshold voltage equation is used for the case of pocket implanted n-MOSFET where exponential dependence on channel length and a linear dependence on drain and substrate biases is observed [12] for various device and pocket profile parameters. Gaussian profile [13] and hyperbolic cosine profile [14] found in the literature for the threshold voltage model, are compared with the linear model. Simulation results using these two profiles along with the proposed linear profile show that the threshold voltage model is better for the linear profile. Besides, experimental data already published in the literature [5] fits well with our simulated data for various gate lengths. It proves the validity and usefulness of our proposed model.

II. POCKET DOPING PROFILE

The pocket implanted n-MOSFET structure shown in Fig. 1 is considered in this work and assumed co-ordinate system.
is shown at the right side of the structure.

All the device dimensions are measured from the oxide-silicon interface. In the structure, the junction depth ($r_j$) is 25 nm. The oxide thickness ($t_{ox}$) is 2.5 nm, and it is SiO$_2$ with fixed oxide charge density of $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. Uniformly doped p-type Si substrate is used with doping concentration of $N_{sub} = 4.2 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ with pocket implantation both at the source and drain side with peak pocket doping concentration of $1.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ and pocket lengths from 20 to 30 nm, and source or drain doping concentration of $9.0 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$. The model of the conventional bulk n-MOSFET exhibits drastic reduction of the threshold voltage ($V_{th}$) from the long channel value beyond 100 nm. This is known as short channel effect. A group of analytical models, known as ”charge-sharing” models, are found in the literature. But their accuracy is limited [12]. In [12], a model is presented that solves the two-dimensional Poisson equation analytically, and predicts $V_{th}$ accurately as a function of drain bias ($V_{DS}$), substrate bias ($V_{BS}$), channel length ($L$), oxide thickness ($t_{ox}$) and substrate concentration ($N_{sub}$). This model is then transformed to short channel n-MOSFET assuming the step doping profile along the vertical direction of the channel.

To preserve the long channel threshold voltage behavior for the short channel device, pocket implantation, which causes reverse short channel effect (RSCE), is done by adding donor atoms both from the source and drain edges. The peak pocket doping concentration ($N_{pm}$) gradually decreases towards the substrate level concentration ($N_{sub}$) with pocket length ($L_p$) from both the source and drain edges. The basis of the model of the pocket is to assume two linear doping profiles from both the source and drain edges across the channel as shown in Figs. 2-3. The pocket parameters, $N_{pm}$ and $L_p$, play important role in determining the RSCE.

At the source side, the pocket profile is given as:

$$N_s(x) = N_{sub} \frac{x}{L_p} + N_{pm} \left(1 - \frac{1}{L_p} x \right) \quad (1)$$

At the drain side, the pocket profile is given as:

$$N_d(x) = \frac{N_{pm} - N_{sub}}{L_p} \left[ x - (L - L_p) \right] + N_{sub}$$

$$N_d(x) = N_{sub} \left( \frac{L - x}{L_p} - \frac{1}{L_p} x \right) + N_{pm} \left(1 - \frac{L}{L_p} + \frac{1}{L_p} x \right) \quad (2)$$

where $x$ represents the distance across the channel. Since the pile-up profile is due to the direct pocket implant at the source and drain side, it is assumed symmetric at both sides. With these two conceptual pocket profiles, the profiles are integrated mathematically along the channel length and then divided by it to derive an average effective doping concentration as in equation (3).

$$N_{eff} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \left[ N_s(x) + N_d(x) + N_{sub} \right] dx \quad (3)$$

Putting the expressions of $N_s(x)$ and $N_d(x)$ from equations (1) and (2) in equation (3) the effective doping concentration is obtained as in equation (4).

$$N_{eff} = N_{sub} \left(1 - \frac{L_p}{L} \right) + \frac{N_{pm}L_p}{L} \quad (4)$$

When $L_p \ll L$ for long channel device then pocket profile has very little effect on $V_{th}$. But when $L_p$ is comparable with $L$ then pocket profile affects $V_{th}$.

### III. THRESHOLD VOLTAGE MODEL

In [15], the threshold voltage model was obtained by solving the 1-D Poisson equation and then applying Gauss’s law, but that model did not incorporate the effect of substrate and drain biases. The threshold voltage model derived in
[12] incorporated the effects of substrate and drain biases on threshold voltage for vertically non-uniform doping profile. Based on that concept, we derived a threshold voltage model for our proposed pocket doping profile along the channel. This model incorporates the effective doping concentration of our linear pocket profiles given in equation (4) to derive the threshold voltage equations and hence we obtain the $V_{th}$ expression as given in equation (5).

$$V_{th} = V_{th,L} + \gamma_B \left[ \frac{N_{sub}}{N_{eff}} (2\varphi_F) - V_{BS} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \gamma_A \frac{N_{sub}}{N_{eff}} (2\varphi_F)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{6\gamma_B}{d_1} [2(\varphi_{bi} - V_{BS}) + V_{DS}] \exp \left(-\frac{\pi L}{4d_1}\right)$$

(5)

where $V_{th,L}$ is the long channel threshold voltage, the second and the third parts include the threshold voltage due to both the effect of substrate bias and effective doping concentration, the fourth part incorporates the effects of drain and substrate biases as well as the short channel effect.

The long channel threshold voltage, $V_{th,L}$ for the pocket implanted n-MOSFET is given by the equation (6).

$$V_{th,L} = V_{FB} + 2\varphi_F + \gamma_A (2\varphi_F)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(6)

where $V_{FB}$ is the flat band voltage. From simulation it is found as -0.9316 V. $\varphi_F$, $\gamma_A$ and $\gamma_B$ are Fermi potential due to pocket implantation, threshold sensitivity due to back bias for effective doping concentration along the channel and body factor corresponding to bulk doping respectively and are given in equations (7)-(9).

$$\varphi_F = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{N_{eff}}{n_i}$$

(7)

$$\gamma_A = \frac{\sqrt{2q^2 \varepsilon_1 N_{eff}}}{C_{ox}}$$

(8)

$$\gamma_B = \frac{\sqrt{2q^2 \varepsilon_1 N_{sub}}}{C_{ox}}$$

(9)

The depth (where band bending of $2\varphi_F$ occurs) of the pocket doping vertical to the channel ($d_1$) and the built-in potential ($\varphi_{bi}$) at the source or drain to channel junction are given by the equations (10) and (11) respectively.

$$d_1 = \left( \frac{2kT}{qN_{eff}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\varphi_F)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(10)

$$\varphi_{bi} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{N_{sd}N_{eff}}{n_i^2}$$

(11)

where $N_{sd}$ is the source or drain doping concentration and $n_i$ is the intrinsic carrier concentration of Si.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The simulated $V_{th}$ vs. $L$ curve has been drawn for this new model is shown in Figs. 4-5 for different drain and substrate biases. It was shown in [15] that with the increasing pocket lengths and peak pocket concentrations, the peak of this curve increases and the onset of threshold voltage ($V_{th}$) roll-up happens at a longer channel length and also the onset of $V_{th}$ roll-off happens at a shorter channel length. This result exhibits strong RSCE with the increased $L_p$ and $N_{pm}$. If these are increased further by keeping the other parameters constant then $V_{th}$ roll-off starts to vanish exhibiting only reverse short channel effect.

From Fig. 4, it is observed that as the drain bias increases, both RSCE and SCE occur at longer channel length due to the drain induced barrier lowering (DIBL). As channel length becomes shorter DIBL effect is more pronounced. Higher drain bias makes the threshold voltage negative. Since at shorter channel length, electric field is very high and it lowers
the potential barrier that separates it from the adjacent diffused junction. Therefore, due to the presence of high channel doping even at negative gate voltage drain current starts to flow.

From Fig. 5, it is found that as the substrate bias increases in the negative direction the threshold voltage increases. This is due to the increment of depletion charge under the gate. Also, with increasing magnitude of \( V_{BS} \), RSCE occurs at longer channel length \( (L) \), threshold voltage \( (V_{th}) \) roll-off becomes steeper and \( V_{th} - L \) curve crosses the zero-substrate bias curve at shorter channel length. This is because of the linear dependence of \( V_{DS} \) and \( V_{BS} \) on \( V_{th} \) and exponential dependence of \( L \) on \( V_{th} \). As \( V_{BS} \) becomes more negative RSCE starts to diminish.

Figs. 6-7 show the variation of threshold voltage with the drain bias for different substrate biases of \( V_{BS} = 0.0 \) V and \( V_{BS} = -1.0 \) V respectively with channel length as a parameter. It is observed that as the drain bias increases threshold voltage decreases. As the channel length shrinks, this effect becomes more prominent. For longer channel device, lateral electric field is less than the transverse electric field. Thus for low drain bias diffusion current dominates over drift current. Hence threshold voltage does not deviate too much from low drain bias to high drain bias. But for shorter channel device, lateral electric field becomes stronger at low drain bias too. Hence drift current increases at low drain bias thereby larger threshold voltage deviation is observed from low to high drain bias.

Fig. 6. Threshold voltage vs. drain voltage curves for various gate lengths with \( V_{BS} = 0.0 \) V

Fig. 7. Threshold voltage vs. drain voltage curves for various gate lengths with \( V_{BS} = -1.0 \) V

Fig. 8. Threshold voltage vs. substrate voltage curves for various gate lengths with \( V_{DS} = 0.0 \) V

Fig. 9 shows that our model based on linear pocket profile exhibits better result of suppressing short channel effect in comparison with the other models for the pocket profiles based on Gaussian [13] and hyperbolic cosine functions [14]. This can be explained using the Fig. 10 where we show the effective carrier concentration variation with the channel length. Here, we see that the effective carrier concentration increases very smoothly for the linear pocket profile as the channel length shrinks. But in case of hyperbolic cosine function, it does not start to increase until 200 nm. But the SCE starts before 100 nm [15]. Therefore, for hyperbolic cosine model, at first SCE starts and then again RSCE becomes stronger below 100 nm.

On the other hand, in case of Gaussian function, the effective carrier concentration increases more rapidly than that...
in the linear model. Therefore, in Fig. 9, we observe that RSCE is stronger. Thus in this case, threshold voltage increases until 40 nm. But in sub-100 nm regime our purpose is to suppress the SCE only by the RSCE by implanting the pockets. Besides, simulation time taken to calculate threshold voltage by using our model is less than that by using Gaussian function and hyperbolic cosine function models.

In Fig. 11, we tried to fit experimental data from [5] with our simulated data for the device parameters given in [5]. The parameter values are- substrate concentration, for sub = 1.0 × $10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, peak pocket concentration, for $p_{pm}$ = 5.5 × $10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, pocket length along the channel, $L_p$ = 60 × 10$^{-7}$ cm either from source or drain side, oxide thickness, $t_{ox}$ = 6 × 10$^{-7}$ cm, junction depth, $r_j$ = 80 × 10$^{-7}$ cm, substrate bias, $V_{BS}$ = 0.0 V and drain bias, $V_{DS}$ = 0.05 V. Flat band voltage obtained by simulation is $V_{FB} = -0.9$ V. From Fig. 11, it is clear that our simulated data almost agrees well with the experimental data in [5]. In the short channel device, our model shows better results. By changing the process conditions, it is possible to adjust the experimental results with the simulated data. Because, we only adjusted the flat band voltage to fit the experimental data.

V. CONCLUSION

A threshold voltage model for ultra thin oxide and sub-100 nm pocket implanted n-MOSFET has been developed incorporating the substrate and drain bias dependence. The well-known reverse short channel effect has been observed through the proposed model. The model is developed assuming two linear pocket profiles along the channel at the surface of the MOS device from the source and drain edges. The proposed model along with the other two models of threshold voltage has been simulated using the same values for the different parameters and compared for $V_{th}$ vs. $L$ characteristics. Experimental results already published in the literature have also been compared with our simulated results and fit very well. It is found that our model efficiently determines the threshold voltages of scaled n-MOSFETs having channel lengths in sub-100 nm regime and shows better performance than the other two models. Therefore, this model is very useful for circuit simulation.
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