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Abstract—One of the most attractive and important field of chaos theory is control of chaos. In this paper, we try to present a simple framework for chaotic motion control using the feedback linearization method. Using this approach, we derive a strategy, which can be easily applied to the other chaotic systems. This task presents two novel results: the desired periodic orbit need not be a solution of the original dynamics and the other is the robustness of response against parameter variations. The illustrated simulations show the ability of these. In addition, by a comparison between a conventional state feedback and our proposed method it is demonstrated that the introduced technique is more efficient.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear deterministic dynamic systems manifesting “chaos” do exist and are not exceptional [1]. It also turned out that the methods describing chaotic behavior occur in many areas of science and technology [2] and sometimes are more suitable for describing non-regular oscillations and uncertainties than the stochastic, probabilistic methods [3].

Until only recently, the field of nonlinear dynamics has remained within the confines of academia, and has found limited practical application to engineering problems. However, this situation is now undergoing a revolution of sorts, given (1) the several paradigm-shifting discoveries that have taken place in the closely related fields of chaos, fractals, and wavelets; (2) the advent of powerful computing tools that make the complex numerical simulation of nonlinear phenomena possible; as well as (3) the ever more pressing need to account for, and deal with nonlinear effects that can no longer be adequately handled by mere linear approaches.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear deterministic dynamic systems manifesting “chaos” do exist and are not exceptional [1]. It also turned out that the methods describing chaotic behavior occur in many areas of science and technology [2] and sometimes are more suitable for describing non-regular oscillations and uncertainties than the stochastic, probabilistic methods [3].

Until only recently, the field of nonlinear dynamics has remained within the confines of academia, and has found limited practical application to engineering problems. However, this situation is now undergoing a revolution of sorts, given (1) the several paradigm-shifting discoveries that have taken place in the closely related fields of chaos, fractals, and wavelets; (2) the advent of powerful computing tools that make the complex numerical simulation of nonlinear phenomena possible; as well as (3) the ever more pressing need to account for, and deal with nonlinear effects that can no longer be adequately handled by mere linear approaches.

Perhaps the most important problem in this context is “control of chaos” which has set up a great challenge [4]. After Yorke and his collaborators’ work [5] this problem has found its position between the other open problems. In this present task, we are going to present a strategy for control of a chaotic motion. Obviously, we can classify the control of chaotic problems in three sets [6]: stabilization, chaositization, and synchronization.
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One of the most well known and potentially useful nonlinear dynamical effects is the bounded, random-like behavior called chaos—in essence, “deterministic noise”[7]. Chaos has been found to occur in a whole myriad of dynamical systems modeling phenomena from astronomy to zoology [14], and in frequency ranges from baseband to optical. This phenomena, and its closely related fractal cousin have been put forth as a new paradigm for understanding and modeling the world around us [2]. This stems from their underlying principle of self-similarity at different scales that matches closely with what is observed in nature.

There are three fundamental characteristics of chaos:

1. an essentially continuous and possibly banded frequency spectrum that resembles random noise;
2. sensitivity to initial conditions, that is, nearby orbits diverge very rapidly;
3. an ergodicity and mixing of the dynamical orbits which in essence implies the wholesale visit of the entire phase space by the chaotic behavior and a loss of information.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In the presence of external perturbation, the non-stationary model:

\[ \dot{x} = F(t, x(t), u) \]  

is a general model for a complex dynamic system [15]. We implicitly assume that the system is full observable and for initial modeling, the parameters assumed are deterministic. The problems of suppressing the chaotic oscillations by reducing them to the regular oscillations [16] or suppressing them completely [7] can be formalized as follows:

Let us consider a free (uncontrolled, \( u(t) = 0 \)) motion \( x_s(t) \) of system (1) with the initial condition \( x_s(0) = x_0 \). Let this motion be T-periodic, that is, \( x_s(t + T) = x_s(t) \) be satisfied for all \( t \geq 0 \). We need to stabilize it, that is, reduce the solutions \( x(t) \) of system (1) to \( x_s(t) \):

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} (x(t) - x_s(t)) = 0 \]  

under the initial state \( x(0) = x_0 \in \Omega \) where \( \Omega \) is the given set of initial conditions.

If the control law would have been choosing that be able to vanish the nonlinearity of the given system, based on Lie algebra[17] and under some weak conditions the system could be transformed into a new linear system.

Based upon Anosov lemma [18] there exist a periodic orbit as close as possible to a chaotic orbit which using a proper control law one can drive that chaotic orbit to that periodic motion [4]. However following the methods introduced in [19] here a new strategy is introduced as follows. Before starting let’s state the important key theorem in chaos study:

Theorem [4]: Any trajectory belonging to a compact minimum invariant set is recurrent. Any compact invariant minimum set is the closure of some recurrent trajectory.

This is called Birkhoff theorem. Upon this theorem, we will be able to establish the following proposed facts. Suppose an arbitrary periodic function \( \eta(t) \) (periodicity on time) that is not necessarily a solution of (1). Our general objective of control is:

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} Q(x(t), t) = 0 \]  

where \( Q \) is a proper cost function. A suitable \( Q \) for converting chaos into an arbitrary periodic motion, e.g. \( \eta(t) \) is:

\[ Q(x, t) = (x - x_s(t))^T \Gamma (x - x_s(t)) \]  

where \( \Gamma \) is a positive definite symmetric matrix [20]. In fact, generally for any control of chaos problems choosing a suitable \( Q \) can be considered [21].

To simplify the analyses we have taken an affine-control scheme for an arbitrary system:

\[ \dot{x} = f_1(t, x) + g_1(x, t)u \]  

\( f_1, g_1 \) are two nonlinear functions that play the main role in chaos generation. Actually we exert the control to this key variable according to \( f_1, g_1 \).

Let the control law be:

\[ u = u_f + u_i \]  

such that the feedback control \( u_f \) is chosen by the conventional feedback linearization methods and feedforward control \( u_i \) can be chosen following [22].

\[ \dot{x} = f_1(t, x) + g_1(t, x)(u_f + u_i) \]
\[ = f_1(t, x) + g_1(t, x)\left(\frac{f_1(t, x)}{g_1(t, x)} + u_i \right) \]
\[ = g_1(t, x)u_i \]  

Indeed, in the linear time-varying case with periodic A-matrix, upon the well-known Floquet theorem [9], the given system can be transformed to a system in which the A-matrix is any constant matrix. Additionally based on the fundamental theorems in the first course of topology and differential geometry [23], which is applied in nonlinear control theory, one can easily see that the transformed complex system into the new linear version of that is gratefully robust against the parameter variations. The simulations show these assertions obviously.
IV. SIMULATION

A good example to simulate this technique is the famous Duffing’s oscillator [9] exhibiting chaos. The Duffing equation that has been simulated here is:

\[ \dot{x}_2 = x_1 - 0.5x_1^3 - 1.68x_2 + a \cos(\omega t) + u \]  

where \( a = 9, \omega = 10 \text{rad} / \text{sec} \). In this example we have:

\[ f(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 - 0.5x_1^3 - 1.68x_2 + a \cos(\omega t) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ g(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

As previously stated we can choose the controller as:

\[ u = (-x_1 + 0.5x_1^3 + 1.68x_2 - a \cos(\bar{\omega} t)) + u_1 \]  

where \( \bar{\omega} \) is the midpoint in the interval of the frequency variation \([\omega_{\text{min}}, \omega_{\text{max}}]\). Also, \( u_1 \) is the feedforward control signal.

Fig. 1 shows the uncontrolled system for two cases \( \omega = 1 \& 10 \text{ rad/sec} \).

Fig. 2 shows the uncontrolled system phase portrait where there exists a parameter variation in the system. It is easy to see the presence of chaos in both cases of frequencies.

Fig. 3 shows simultaneously the controlled system phase portrait in presence of parameter variations. As can be seen the difference is very small and clearly depicts the robustness of control system. Since a quasi-circle in the phase portrait is a periodic motion in the time domain we see that the proposed method is able to drive the chaotic behavior into an arbitrary periodic motion which is not necessarily a solution of the original chaotic system. We can name this methodology a targeting technique which is an important tactic in open-loop control of chaos [24].

V. CONCLUSION

The apparently random phenomenon of chaos has become increasingly observed in the behavior of myriad nonlinear
deterministic systems, that is, those described accurately by partial or ordinary differential equations or difference equations. These observations are being made not only experimentally, but also by computer simulations. Examples abound in a wide gamut of disciplines ranging from solid state physics to cosmology, from electrical engineering to biology. Chaos is found in systems that are forced or unforced (also known as non-autonomous or autonomous, respectively), lossless or dissipative, discrete in time and of any dimension, or continuous in time and of dimension three or higher.

Since “control of chaos” today is an attractive research field, the published papers which have tried to solve this problem partially is so numerous. In this task, we have introduced a new strategy based on feedback linearization methods and employing the essential concepts from differential geometry. The two important advantages of this technique are its robustness against parameter variations and the second is that the desired periodic motion does not need to be a solution of the original dynamic system. These two advantages have been proved by comparison with the conventional state feedback method. As a result, we can state that among other published articles [4] this technique has brought a novel robust chaos control method.
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