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#### Abstract

Quadrilateral Labyrinth Fractals are a type of fractals presented in this paper. They belong to a unique class of fractals on any plane quadrilateral. The previously researched labyrinth fractals on the unit square and triangle inspire this form of fractal. This work describes how to construct a quadrilateral labyrinth fractal and looks at the circumstances in which it can be understood as the attractor of an iterated function system. Furthermore, some of its topological properties and the Hausdorff and box-counting dimensions of the quadrilateral labyrinth fractals are studied.
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## I. Introduction

LABYRINTH fractals are a type of Sierpinski carpet in the plane. Cristea and Steinsky [1], [2] introduced labyrinth fractals on a unit square in a plane. In the same work, they also explored Hausdorff dimensions and a few topological properties. Labyrinth sets that meet tree, exit, and corner parameters are the building blocks for labyrinth fractals. Triangular labyrinth fractals are a related idea that Cristea and Paul Surer [3] introduced, wherein two triangle pattern systems form the foundation for fractal construction. As a result, two fractals are produced. Labyrinth fractals are self-similar dendrites in both square and triangular cases. The ideas around labyrinth fractals on the unit square were expanded upon in several ways, including creating mixed and super-mixed labyrinth fractals [4], [5]. A series of labyrinth patterns are used to create mixed labyrinth fractals. i.e., different patterns are used at various iteration phases. Super-mixed labyrinth fractals employ a finite array of patterns at every iteration step. Labyrinth fractals that are mixed or super-mixed typically aren't self-similar.
Introduced by Cristea and Stiensky, the classical labyrinth fractal on a square has many uses in physics, including the fractal reconstruction of complex images, signals, and radar backgrounds [6], planar nanostructures [7], and the construction of prototypes of ultra-wide-band radar antennas [8]. In telecommunication, fractal labyrinths and genetic algorithms are coupled to synthesise large, resilient antenna arrays and nanoantennas [9].
The labyrinth fractal can be extended to any convex quadrilateral in a plane. Quadrilaterals do not exhibit self-similarity when split into smaller quadrilaterals, in contrast to the creation of labyrinth fractals in squares and triangles. Therefore, self-similarity cannot exist in this class of fractals. As a result, it is fascinating to investigate the labyrinth fractal on a convex quadrilateral, which has the same qualities as the square and triangle cases but lacks similarity at each stage of development.
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The first task in the paper is to build a fractal on a convex quadrilateral in Section II. Instead of similar quadrilaterals, the produced set is a set of similar quadrilaterals with two types of parallelograms at each stage of construction. This section also includes some preliminary results. This study defines labyrinth fractals on convex quadrilaterals and discusses their fundamental characteristics in Section III. The concept aligns precisely with the earlier definitions for triangles and squares, i.e., the quadrilateral labyrinth satisfies the corner, exit, and tree properties. Specific requirements on quadrilaterals are derived in Section IV so that the maps that generate the quadrilateral labyrinth fractal form an iterated function system. The box-counting dimension of quadrilateral labyrinth fractals is covered in Section V. The fundamental definition is used to find the dimension. The Hausdorff dimension of the quadrilateral labyrinth fractals is provided in Section VI. In Section VII, the topological features are discussed. In addition to the topological characteristics of the labyrinth fractal itself, the work addresses the topological qualities of complements of labyrinths on the quadrilaterals, which was not studied in the case of squares and triangles.
When self-similarity is absent, the labyrinth fractal in the convex quadrilateral can be used. In any real-life setting, the basic framework of the research does not have to be square or triangle-shaped. The labyrinth fractal on the quadrilateral can be employed in this scenario because it works with any four-sided convex polygon in a plane.

## II. Construction of Fractal

This section describes the construction of a fractal on any convex quadrilateral on a plane. A few initial construction-related outcomes are also demonstrated. These findings will aid in the proof of different theorems in subsequent sections.

On a plane, let $Q$ be a convex quadrilateral. Using the quadrilateral's smallest diagonal (or any of them if they are equal diagonals), the quadrilateral is divided into two triangles. This diagonal is referred to as the common side for the resulting triangles. For the triangles that result, the common points are the ends of the common side. The quadrilateral is designated as follows: Moving anticlockwise, the vertices of $Q$ are denoted as $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$, and $Q_{4}$, respectively, starting from any of the common points (see Fig. 1). So, $\Delta_{1}=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3}$ and $\Delta_{2}=Q_{3} Q_{4} Q_{1}$ are the triangles that result from the quadrilateral $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$.
If $x \in Q$ then $x \in \Delta_{1}$ or $x \in \Delta_{2}$. Suppose $x \in \Delta_{1}$. Then $x=\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3} Q_{3}$ is the unique representation in $\Delta_{1}$, with $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \geq 0$. So, $x=$ $\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}$ uniquely represents the point $x$ in $Q$. Similarly, suppose $x \in \Delta_{2}$. Then $x=\alpha_{3} Q_{3}+\alpha_{4} Q_{4}+$


Fig. 1 An example of the naming of a quadrilateral
$\alpha_{1} Q_{1}$ is the unique representation in $\Delta_{2}$, with $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}+$ $\alpha_{1}=1$ and $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{1} \geq 0$. So, $x=\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+0 Q_{2}+\alpha_{3} Q_{3}+$ $\alpha_{4} Q_{4}$ gives a unique representation of $x$ in $Q$. The definitions above coincide when $x$ lies on the line $Q_{1} Q_{3}$. Since $\alpha_{i}$ is the coefficient of $Q_{i}$, each $x \in Q$ can be uniquely represented as $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$, where $i=1,2,3,4$, as in the previous representation, with either $\alpha_{2}=0$ or $\alpha_{4}=0$.

Definition 1: Let $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$ and $R=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ be two convex quadrilaterals. Define the map $P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ as $P_{R}(x)=\alpha_{1} R_{1}+\alpha_{2} R_{2}+\alpha_{3} R_{3}+\alpha_{4} R_{4}$, where $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ is the unique representation of $x$ in $Q$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two topological spaces. A homeomorphism from $X$ to $Y$ is a bijection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are continuous.

Proposition 1: Let $R=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ be a convex quadrilateral inside a convex quadrilateral $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$. When $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ is the unique representation of $x$ in $Q$, then the $\operatorname{map} P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ given by $P_{R}(x)=\alpha_{1} R_{1}+\alpha_{2} R_{2}+$ $\alpha_{3} R_{3}+\alpha_{4} R_{4}$ is a homeomorphism between $Q$ and $R$.

Proof: Let $P_{R}(x)=P_{R}(y)$ for $x, y \in Q$ with $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$ as the unique representation of $x$ and $y$ in $Q$ respectively.

Case 1: $x \in \Delta_{1}=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3}$ and $y \in \Delta_{2}=Q_{1} Q_{3} Q_{4}$.
In this case, $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, 0\right)$ and $y=\left(\beta_{1}, 0, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$ in $Q$. So $P_{R}(x)=P_{R}(y)$ in $R$ gives $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, 0\right)=$ $\left(\beta_{1}, 0, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$. Thus $\alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}=0, \alpha_{3}=\beta_{3}, \beta_{4}=0$ and so $x=y$ and lies on the line joining $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{3}$.

Case 2: $x, y \in \Delta_{1}=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3}$ or $x, y \in \Delta_{2}=Q_{3} Q_{4} Q_{1}$
In this case, $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, 0\right)$ and $y=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, 0\right)$ or $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, 0, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ and $y=\left(\beta_{1}, 0, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$ in $Q$. So $P_{R}(x)=P_{R}(y)$ in $R$ gives $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, 0\right)=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, 0\right)$ or $\left(\alpha_{1}, 0, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)=\left(\beta_{1}, 0, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$. In either case $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$ and so $x=y$.

Consequently, $P_{R}$ is injective. Surjectivity derives from the definition of $P_{R}$; hence, $P_{R}$ is a bijection.

Consider a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)=\alpha_{1 n} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2 n} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3 n} Q_{3}+$ $\alpha_{4 n} Q_{4}$ in $Q$ that converges to $x=\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3} Q_{3}+$ $\alpha_{4} Q_{4}$ in $Q$. Then $\left(\alpha_{1 n}, \alpha_{2 n}, \alpha_{3 n}, \alpha_{4 n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ converging to $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ which gives $\left(\alpha_{i n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. Therefore, $\left(\alpha_{1 n} R_{1}+\alpha_{2 n} R_{2}+\alpha_{3 n} R_{3}+\right.$ $\left.\alpha_{4 n} R_{4}\right) \rightarrow \alpha_{1} R_{1}+\alpha_{2} R_{2}+\alpha_{3} R_{3}+\alpha_{4} R_{4}$. As a result, $P_{R}$
is continuous since $P_{R}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow P_{R}(x)$. Similarly, $\left(P_{R}\right)^{-1}$ is likewise continuous. Consequently, $P_{R}$ is a homeomorphism.

For $m \geq 2$, consider the sets
$A_{1}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{4}: k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}=m-1\right.$, $k_{4}=0$ and $\left.k_{2} \neq 0\right\}$
$A_{2}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{4}: k_{1}+k_{3}+k_{4}=m-1\right.$,
$k_{2}=0$ and $\left.k_{4} \neq 0\right\}$
$A_{3}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{4}: k_{1}+k_{3}=m-1\right.$ and $\left.k_{2}=k_{4}=0\right\}$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a function $S_{m}$ on $A$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{m}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4} \text {, where } \\
& R_{1}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+1\right) Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{2}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+\left(k_{2}+1\right) Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{3}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+\left(k_{3}+1\right) Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{4}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+1\right) Q_{1}+\left(k_{2}-1\right) Q_{2}+\left(k_{3}+1\right) Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m} \\
& \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in A_{1} \\
& R_{1}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+1\right) Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{2}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+1\right) Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+\left(k_{3}+1\right) Q_{3}+\left(k_{4}-1\right) Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{3}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+\left(k_{3}+1\right) Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{4}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+\left(k_{4}+1\right) Q_{4}}{m} \\
& \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in A_{2}, \\
& R_{1}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+1\right) Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{2}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+\left(k_{2}+1\right) Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{3}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+\left(k_{3}+1\right) Q_{3}+k_{4} Q_{4}}{m}, \\
& R_{4}=\frac{k_{1} Q_{1}+k_{2} Q_{2}+k_{3} Q_{3}+\left(k_{4}+1\right) Q_{4}}{m} \\
& \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in A_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ are parallelograms in $Q$ for $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in A_{1} \cup A_{2}$. For $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in A_{3}$, $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ are quadrilaterals in $Q$ which are similar to $Q$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{m}=S_{m}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup S_{m}\left(A_{2}\right) \cup S_{m}\left(A_{3}\right)$. The corner quadrilaterals are the members of the set $C=$ $\left\{S_{m}(m-1,0,0,0), \quad S_{m}(0, m-1,0,0), \quad S_{m}(0,0, m-\right.$ $\left.1,0), S_{m}(0,0,0, m-1)\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{m}$. Border quadrilaterals are those elements $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ in $\mathcal{S}_{m}$, which has at most two of the $k_{i}$ 's as nonzero.

Now choose a subset $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{m}$, which is called the set of white quadrilaterals of order 1 and $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\mathcal{S}_{m} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{1}$ is called the set of black quadrilaterals of order 1. For $n \geq 2$, the set of white quadrilaterals of order $n$ is given
by $\mathcal{W}_{n}=\left\{P_{W_{n-1}}\left(W_{1}\right): W_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}, W_{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{n} \subset \mathcal{S}_{m^{n}}$ and the set of black squares of order $n$ is given by $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\mathcal{S}_{m^{n}} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{n}$. For $n \geq 1$, define $L_{n}=\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}} W$. Since each $L_{n}$ is closed and bounded, $L_{n}$ is compact. Thus, $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence of compact sets. The fractal set in $Q$ is defined as $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$.

Proposition 2: Let $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$ be a convex quadrilateral, and $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ be defined as above for any $m \geq 2$. Then
$P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}=P_{S}$ in $Q$, where $S=P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(Q^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $Q^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ for any $n \geq m$.

Proof: Let $Q^{\prime}=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}=T_{1} T_{2} T_{3} T_{4}$. Suppose $T_{i}=\alpha_{1}^{i} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{i} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{i} Q_{3}+\alpha_{4}^{i} Q_{4}$ is the unique representation of $T_{i}$ in $Q$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. Choose $x \in Q$. WLOG suppose that $x \in \Delta_{1}$ and let $x=\beta_{1} Q_{1}+\beta_{2} Q_{2}+$ $\beta_{3} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}$ be the unique representation of $x$ in $Q$.

Case 1: $Q^{\prime \prime}$ in $\Delta_{1}$. In this case, $\alpha_{4}^{i}=0$ for all $i=1,2,3,4$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}(x)= & P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}\left(\beta_{1} Q_{1}+\beta_{2} Q_{2}+\beta_{3} Q_{3}\right) \\
= & P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\beta_{1} T_{1}+\beta_{2} T_{2}+\beta_{3} T_{3}\right) \\
= & P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\beta_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{1} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{1} Q_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +\beta_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{2} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} Q_{3}\right) \\
& \left.+\beta_{3}\left(\alpha_{1}^{3} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{3} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{3} Q_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{1}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{1}^{3}\right) Q_{1}\right. \\
& +\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{2}^{3}\right) Q_{2} \\
& \left.+\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{3}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{3}^{3}\right) Q_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{1}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{1}^{3}\right) R_{1} \\
& +\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{2}^{3}\right) R_{2} \\
& +\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{3}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{3}^{3}\right) R_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above step, we can apply $P_{Q^{\prime}}$ since the sum of coefficients of $Q_{i}^{\prime} s$ are 1, and the coefficient of $Q_{4}$ is zero. The vertices of $S$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}=P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(T_{1}\right) & =P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{1} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{1} Q_{3}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{1} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{1} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{1} R_{3} \\
S_{2}=P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(T_{2}\right) & \left.=P_{Q^{\prime}} \alpha_{1}^{2} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{2} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} Q_{3}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{2} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{2} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} R_{3} \\
S_{3}=P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(T_{3}\right) & =P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\alpha_{1}^{3} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{3} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{3} Q_{3}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{3} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{3} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{3} R_{3} \\
S_{4}=P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(T_{4}\right) & =P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\alpha_{1}^{4} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{4} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{4} Q_{3}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{4} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{4} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{4} R_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{S}(x)= & P_{S}\left(\beta_{1} Q_{1}+\beta_{2} Q_{2}+\beta_{3} Q_{3}\right) \\
= & \beta_{1} S_{1}+\beta_{2} S_{2}+\beta_{3} S_{3} \\
= & \beta_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{1} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{1} R_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{2} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} R_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta_{3}\left(\alpha_{1}^{3} R_{1}+\alpha_{2}^{3} R_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{3} R_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{1}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{1}^{3}\right) R_{1} \\
& +\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{2}^{3}\right) R_{2} \\
& +\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{3}^{1}+\beta_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{3} \alpha_{3}^{3}\right) R_{3} \\
= & P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $Q^{\prime \prime}$ in $\Delta_{2}$. In this case $\alpha_{2}^{i}=0$ for all $i=1,2,3,4$ and $P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}(x)=P_{S}(x)$ follows same as in case 1 .

Case 3: $Q^{\prime \prime}$ along diagonal. Here $\alpha_{2}^{1}=\alpha_{4}^{1}=0$,
$\alpha_{2}^{3}=\alpha_{4}^{3}=0, \alpha_{4}^{2}=0$ and $\alpha_{2}^{4}=0$. In this case also, $P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}(x)=P_{S}(x)$ follows same as in case 1.

Remark 1: In Proposition 2, the position of $Q^{\prime}$ does not matter in the calculation.

Remark 2: Proposition 2 is valid only if $Q^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$.
Let $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$, where $Q_{1}=(0,1), Q_{2}=(0,0)$, $Q_{3}=(1,0)$ and $Q_{4}=(1,1)$ and $Q^{\prime}=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$, where $R_{1}=\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right), R_{2}=(0,0), R_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$ and $R_{4}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}=T_{1} T_{2} T_{3} T_{4}$, where $T_{1}=\left(\frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right), T_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right), T_{3}=$ $\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ and $T_{4}=\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(0,1)+0(0,0)+\frac{1}{4}(1,0)+\frac{1}{4}(1,1) \\
& T_{2}=\frac{1}{4}(0,1)+\frac{1}{2}(0,0)+\frac{1}{4}(1,0)+0(1,1) \\
& T_{3}=\frac{1}{4}(0,1)+0(0,0)+\frac{3}{4}(1,0)+0(1,1) \\
& T_{4}=\frac{1}{4}(0,1)+0(0,0)+\frac{1}{4}(1,0)+\frac{1}{2}(1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)=\frac{1}{4}(0,1)+\frac{1}{4}(0,0)+\frac{1}{2}(1,0)+0(1,1) \in Q$
Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q^{\prime}} \circ P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}(x) & =P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(P_{Q^{\prime \prime}}\left(\frac{1}{4}(0,1)+\frac{1}{4}(0,0)+\frac{1}{2}(1,0)+0(1,1)\right)\right) \\
& =P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)+0\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)\right) \\
& =P_{Q^{\prime}}\left(\frac{5}{16}(0,1)+\frac{1}{8}(0,0)+\frac{1}{2}(1,0)+\frac{1}{16}(1,1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that R.H.S. is not well defined. This is because of $Q^{\prime \prime} \notin$ $\mathcal{S}_{m}$.

## III. Quadrilateral Labyrinth Fractals

In this section, a set of white quadrilaterals called the labyrinth set, is chosen with some conditions. The corresponding fractal generated from this set is said to be a quadrilateral labyrinth fractal. Besides that, some theorems regarding the labyrinth set and labyrinth fractals have also been proved. Some examples of labyrinth sets are also given. This section requires some basic concepts in graph theory, which are included at the beginning of this section.

A graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}))$ consists of a set of vertices $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and a set of edges $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$, where $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ is a subset of
the unordered pairs of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G})$. If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ then the two vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are said to be adjacent. A path is a sequence of pairwise distinct vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}, k \geq 1$ such that for every $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$, the vertices $v_{j}$ and $v_{j+1}$ are adjacent. The vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{k}$ are called the initial and terminal vertices of the path, respectively. If there exists an edge that connects the initial and terminal vertex of a path, then the path is called a cycle (provided that $k>2$ ). A graph is said to be connected if a path exists between any two vertices. A connected graph having no cycle is said to be a tree.
For $n \geq 1$, the graph of $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\right)\right)$ as the set of white quadrilaterals in $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ and the edge set $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\right)\right)$ as the unordered pair of white quadrilaterals in $\mathcal{W}_{n}$, that have a common side. Such a graph is denoted by $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\right)=\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{V}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\right)\right), \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\right)\right)\right)$.
For $n \geq 1$, the graph of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)\right)$ as the set of black quadrilaterals in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ and the edge set $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)\right)$ as the unordered pair of black quadrilaterals in $\mathcal{W}_{n}$, that have a common side or a common vertex. Such a graph is denoted by $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)=$ $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{V}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)\right), \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)\right)\right)$.

Definition 2: Let $m \geq 4$ and $\mathcal{W}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{m}$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is an $m \times m$ - quadrilateral labyrinth set if it satisfies the following properties:

1) Tree Property: $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{1}\right)$ is a tree.
2) Exit Property: There exist exactly one $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, 0,0\right) \in A$ such that $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, 0,0\right) \in \mathcal{W}_{1}$ and $S_{m}\left(0,0, k_{2}, k_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{W}_{1}$ and exactly one $\left(k_{1}, 0,0, k_{4}\right) \in A$ such that $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, 0,0, k_{4}\right) \in W_{1}$ and $S_{m}\left(0, k_{1}, k_{4}, 0\right) \in W_{1}$, where $A$ is given as in (1). In this case, $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, 0,0\right)$ is called the left exit, $S_{m}\left(0,0, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is the right exit, $S_{m}\left(k_{1}, 0,0, k_{4}\right)$ is the top exit and $S_{m}\left(0, k_{1}, k_{4}, 0\right)$ is the bottom exit.
3) Corner Property: If there is a white quadrilateral in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ containing any of the vertex $Q_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ of $Q$, then the white quadrilateral in $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ containing the diagonally opposite vertex of $Q_{i}$ should not be in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. i.e. $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ contains at most one element from each of the sets $\left\{S_{m}(m-1,0,0,0), S_{m}(0,0, m-1,0)\right\}$ and $\left\{S_{m}(0, m-1,0,0), S_{m}(0,0,0, m-1)\right\}$.
Definition 3: If $\mathcal{W}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{m}$ is a quadrilateral labyrinth set in the quadrilateral $Q$, the left side of $Q$ is defined as the side of $Q$ which contains the left exit. The right, bottom and top sides of $Q$ are defined analogously. The vertex of $Q$ at the intersection of the top and left side is called the top-left vertex. The top-right vertex, bottom-left vertex and bottom-right vertex are defined analogously. The corner quadrilateral containing the top-left vertex is called the top-left corner. Analogously, the top-right corner, bottom-left corner and bottom-right corner are defined.
Proposition 3: If $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is a quadrilateral labyrinth set, no corner can be an exit of two adjacent sides.

Proof: Suppose the result is not valid. WLOG Suppose the bottom-left corner is an exit for both the bottom and left sides. Then, by the exit property, the bottom-right corner is the right exit, and the top-left corner is the top exit, so they belong to $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. It contradicts the corner property. Thus, no corner can be an exit of two adjacent sides.

Proposition 4: If $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is an $m \times m$ - quadrilateral labyrinth set, then $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ is an $m^{n} \times m^{n}$ - quadrilateral labyrinth set for all $n \geq 1$.
The proof of the Proposition 4 is the same as the proof in $4 \times 4$ labyrinth fractal in a square; hence, the proof is omitted.

Remark 3: For a quadrilateral labyrinth set $\mathcal{W}_{1}$, it is shown that $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\right)$ is connected. Hence, $L_{n}$ is connected for any $n \geq 1$. Thus, $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact connected sets.
Definition 4: If $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is a quadrilateral labyrinth set, then the limit set $L_{\infty}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{n}} W$ is called the quadrilateral labyrinth fractal.
Figs. 2, 3, and 4 show examples of quadrilateral labyrinth fractals.


Fig. 2 First three stages of two different $4 \times 4$-quadrilateral labyrinth fractals


Fig. 3 First three stages of a $5 \times 5$-quadrilateral labyrinth fractal


Fig. 4 First three stages of a $6 \times 6$-quadrilateral labyrinth fractal

Proposition 5: Let $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ be a quadrilateral labyrinth set in the quadrilateral $Q$. Then for any integer $n \geq 1$,
$\mathcal{W}_{n}=\left\{P_{W_{1}^{1}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{1}^{n}}(Q): W_{1}^{i} \in \mathcal{W}_{1} \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$, where, $P_{W_{1}^{1}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{1}^{n}}=P_{W_{1}^{1}} \circ P_{W_{1}^{2}} \circ \ldots \circ P_{W_{1}^{n}}$.

Proof: The proof is by induction. For $n=1$, it is clear that $\mathcal{W}_{1}=\left\{P_{W_{1}}(Q): W_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}\right\}$. So, the result is true for $n=1$. Suppose the result holds up to $n-1$. i.e.,
$\mathcal{W}_{n-1}=\left\{P_{W_{1}^{1}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{1}^{n-1}}(Q): W_{1}^{i} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}, \forall i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}_{n}=\left\{P_{W_{1}^{1}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{1}^{n}}(Q): W_{1}^{i} \in \mathcal{W}_{1} \forall i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, n\}$. It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{W}_{n}=\mathcal{V}_{n}$, where $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ is given as $\mathcal{W}_{n}=\left\{P_{W_{n-1}}\left(W_{1}\right): W_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}, W_{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}\right\}$.
Choose an element $P_{W_{n-1}}\left(W_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{W}_{n}$. Then $P_{W_{n-1}}\left(W_{1}\right)=P_{W_{n-1}} \circ P_{W_{1}}(Q) \in \mathcal{W}_{n}$. By induction hypothesis $W_{n-1}=P_{W_{1}^{1}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{1}^{n-1}}(Q)$ for $W_{1}^{i} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}, \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Using Proposition 2 recursively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{W_{n-1}}\left(W_{1}\right) & =P_{W_{n-1}} \circ P_{W_{1}}(Q) \\
& =P_{P_{W_{1}^{1}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{1}^{n-1}}(Q)} \circ P_{W_{1}}(Q) \\
& =P_{W_{1}^{1}} \circ P_{W_{1}^{2}} \circ \ldots \circ P_{W_{1}^{n-1}} \circ P_{W_{1}}(Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{W}_{n} \subseteq V_{n}$.
If $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ contains $k$ elements, then clearly the number of elements in $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{n}$ are $k^{n}$. Hence $\mathcal{W}_{n}=\mathcal{V}_{n}$
Proposition 6: For all $n \geq 1, L_{\infty}=\bigcup_{W_{n} \in \mathcal{W}_{n}} P_{W_{n}}\left(L_{\infty}\right)$
Proof: Using continuity of $P_{W_{n}}$ and Proposition 5, it can be easily shown that $P_{W_{n}}\left(L_{\infty}\right)=W_{n} \cap L_{\infty}$, for any $n \geq 1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{W_{n} \in \mathcal{W}_{n}} P_{W_{n}}\left(L_{\infty}\right) & =\bigcup_{W_{n} \in \mathcal{W}_{n}}\left(W_{n} \cap L_{\infty}\right) \\
& =L_{\infty} \cap \bigcup_{W_{n} \in \mathcal{W}_{n}} W_{n} \\
& =L_{\infty} \cap L_{n}=L_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

## IV. Condition for the Contractivity of Maps between Quadrilaterals

This section examines whether the map between two quadrilaterals is a contraction or not. It is found that under certain conditions, the maps between quadrilaterals are contraction, and in such cases, the contraction ratio is also examined. Besides that, these conditions give the class of quadrilateral labyrinth fractal, which can be generated from an iterated function system.
Let $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$ and $R=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ be two convex quadrilaterals with the following properties.

1) $\frac{d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)}{d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)}<1, \frac{d\left(R_{2}, R_{3}\right)}{d\left(Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right)}<1$,
$\frac{d\left(R_{3}, R_{4}\right)}{d\left(Q_{3}, Q_{4}\right)}<1, \frac{d\left(R_{4}, R_{1}\right)}{d\left(Q_{4}, Q_{1}\right)}<1$
2) The smallest diagonal of $Q$ is $Q_{1} Q_{3}$, if and only if the smallest diagonal of $R$ is $R_{1} R_{3}$.
3) $\frac{\text { length of the smallest diagonal of } R}{\text { length of the smallest diagonal of } Q}<1$

The map $P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ given by Definition 1 need not be a contraction for all $Q$ and $R$ even though Q and R satisfy the above three conditions.
Example 1: Consider $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$ and $R=$ $R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ as in Fig. 5.
Note $\frac{d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)}{d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)}=0.884<1, \frac{d\left(R_{2}, R_{3}\right)}{d\left(Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right)}=0.875<1$,
$\frac{d\left(R_{3}, R_{4}\right)}{d\left(Q_{3}, Q_{4}\right)}=0.14<1, \frac{d\left(R_{4}, R_{1}\right)}{d\left(Q_{4}, Q_{1}\right)}=0.14<1$ and
$\frac{d\left(R_{1}, R_{3}\right)}{d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{3}\right)}=0.088<1$. The smallest diagonal of $Q$ is $Q_{1} Q_{3}$, and that of $R$ is $R_{1} R_{3}$. Thus, all the three conditions above are satisfied. But the map $P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ is not contraction.

Consider the point $z=(4,4) \in Q$. Its unique representation is $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$. i.e., $z=(4,4)=\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}+0 Q_{2}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}$. Thus,


Fig. $5 Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$ and $R=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$
$P_{R}(z)=P_{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}+0 Q_{2}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2} R_{1}+0 R_{2}+\frac{1}{2} R_{3}+$ $0 R_{4}=\left(7, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. But, $d\left(P_{R}(z), P_{R}\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)=7.02>d\left(z, Q_{2}\right)=$ 5.657. i.e., $P_{R}$ is not a contraction.

Theorem 1: [10] Let $\Delta=A B C$ and $\delta=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ be triangles in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with vertices $\left\{(0,0),(a, 0),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{(0,0),\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right),\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ respectively. Let $P_{\delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \delta$ be a linear transformation sending $A$ to $A^{\prime}, B$ to $B^{\prime}$ and $C$ to $C^{\prime}$. Then $P_{\delta}$ is a contraction if $\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{(k+m)^{2}+\theta^{2}(l-k)^{2}}+$ $\sqrt{(k-m)^{2}+\theta^{2}(l-k)^{2}}<2$ where, $k=\frac{a^{\prime}}{a}, l=\frac{b_{1}^{\prime}}{b_{1}}, m=$ $\frac{b_{2}^{\prime}}{b_{2}}, \theta=\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}$ and in this case the contraction ratio is $\lambda=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}$
Remark 4: Let $\Delta_{1}$ be a triangle with coordinates
$A_{1}=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), A_{2}=\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right), A_{3}=\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$, and $\Delta_{2}$ be a triangle obtained from $\Delta_{1}$ by translation and rotation such that one vertex of $\Delta_{2}$ is at origin $P_{1}=(0,0)$ and another vertex $P_{2}$ at $(p, 0)$. Let the third vertex of $\Delta_{2}$ is given by $P_{3}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$. Assume $A_{1}$ is mapped to $P_{1}=(0,0)$ and $A_{2}$ is mapped to $P_{2}=(p, 0)$. Since translation and rotation do not change the distance between two points, $d\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=$ $d\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ which gives $p=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}$. Also, $d\left(A_{1}, A_{3}\right)=d\left(P_{1}, P_{3}\right)$ and $d\left(A_{2}, A_{3}\right)=d\left(P_{2}, P_{3}\right)$ implies $\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right)^{2}=r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}$ and $\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right)^{2}=$ $\left(p-r_{1}\right)^{2}+r_{2}^{2}$. Substituting the value of $p$ and simplifying the above equations, the value of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1}= & \frac{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}} \\
& +\frac{\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}} \\
= & \frac{\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3} a_{1}+b_{1}^{2}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{2} b_{3}-b_{3} b_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}} \\
r_{2}= & \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right)^{2}-r_{1}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the coordinates of $\Delta_{2}$ are obtained.
The above remark helps us formulate the conditions on quadrilateral so that the map $P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ is contraction.

Theorem 2: Let $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$ be a quadrilateral with smallest diagonal $Q_{1} Q_{3}, R=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ be another quadrilateral with smallest diagonal $R_{1} R_{3}$, and $P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ be the map between quadrilaterals as in Definition 1. Also, let $Q_{i}=\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ and $R_{i}=\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. Take $\Delta_{1}=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3}, \Delta_{2}=Q_{3} Q_{4} Q_{1}, \delta_{1}=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}$, and $\delta_{2}=R_{3} R_{4} R_{1}$. Then, $P_{R}$ is a contraction if the following
two conditions are satisfied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}= & \sqrt{\left(k_{1}+m_{1}\right)^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}\left(l_{1}-k_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\sqrt{\left(k_{1}-m_{1}\right)^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}\left(l_{1}-k_{1}\right)^{2}}<2 \\
\lambda_{2}= & \sqrt{\left(k_{2}+m_{2}\right)^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\left(l_{2}-k_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\sqrt{\left(k_{2}-m_{2}\right)^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\left(l_{2}-k_{2}\right)^{2}}<2
\end{aligned}
$$

where the values of $k_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}, \theta_{1}, k_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2}$, and $\theta_{2}$ are as given in Appendix ??. The contraction ratio of $P_{R}$ is $\lambda=\max \left\{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\right\}$

Proof: Case 1: $x, y \in \Delta_{1}$ or $x, y \in \Delta_{2}$
Then, $x=\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+\alpha_{2} Q_{2}+\alpha_{3} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}$ with $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$, and $y=\beta_{1} Q_{1}+\beta_{2} Q_{2}+\beta_{3} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}$ with $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}=1$ or $x=\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+0 Q_{2}+\alpha_{3} Q_{3}+\alpha_{4} Q_{4}$ with $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=1$, and $y=\beta_{1} Q_{1}+0 Q_{2}+\beta_{3} Q_{3}+\beta_{4} Q_{4}$ with $\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}=1$. Then $P_{R}(x)=\alpha_{1} R_{1}+\alpha_{2} R_{2}+\alpha_{3} R_{3}+0 R_{4}$ and $P_{R}(y)=\beta_{1} R_{1}+$ $\beta_{2} R_{2}+\beta_{3} R_{3}+0 R_{4}$ or $P_{R}(x)=\alpha_{1} R_{1}+0 R_{2}+\alpha_{3} R_{3}+\alpha_{4} R_{4}$ and $P_{R}(y)=\beta_{1} R_{1}+0 R_{2}+\beta_{3} R_{3}+\beta_{4} R_{4}$. In both cases $P_{R}$ reduces to a linear map from $\Delta_{1}$ to $\delta_{1}$ or $\Delta_{2}$ to $\delta_{2}$. So, by Theorem $1, d\left(P_{R}(x), P_{R}(y)\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} d(x, y) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ for $i=1$ or 2 .
Case 2: $x \in \Delta_{1}, y \in \Delta_{2}$ or $x \in \Delta_{2}, y \in \Delta_{1}$. Then $P_{R}(x) \in$ $\delta_{1}, P_{R}(y) \in \delta_{2}$ or $P_{R}(x) \in \delta_{2}, P_{R}(y) \in \delta_{1}$ respectively. Let $p$ be the point in the smallest diagonal of $Q$ at which the line from $x$ to $y$ intersects with the smallest diagonal.

Suppose $p=\gamma_{1} Q_{1}+0 Q_{2}+\gamma_{3} Q_{3}+0 Q_{4}$, with $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{3}=1$. Then $P_{R}(p)=\gamma_{1} R_{1}+0 R_{2}+\gamma_{3} R_{3}+$ $0 R_{4}$ is a point in the smallest diagonal of $R$. So, $d\left(P_{R}(x), P_{R}(y)\right) \leq d\left(P_{R}(x), P_{R}(p)\right)+d\left(P_{R}(p), P_{R}(y)\right) \leq$ $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} d(x, p)+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} d(p, y)$ or $\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} d(x, p)+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} d(p, y) \leq \lambda(d(x, p)+$ $d(p, y))=\lambda d(x, y)$. Hence $P_{R}: Q \rightarrow R$ is contraction map from $Q$ to $R$ with contraction ratio $\lambda$.
Theorem 3: Let $L_{\infty}$ be a labyrinth fractal generated from the convex quadrilateral $Q$. Also, suppose $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is the set of first stage white quadrilaterals of $L_{\infty}$. If each of the map from the set $\left\{P_{W_{1}}: Q \rightarrow W_{1} ; W_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}\right\}$ satisfies the Theorem 2, then $L_{\infty}$ is an attractor of the iterated function system $\left\{P_{W_{n}}\right.$ : $\left.W_{n} \in \mathcal{W}_{n}\right\}$ for any $n$.

Proof: If each of the map from the set $\left\{P_{W_{1}}: Q \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.W_{1} ; W_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}\right\}$ satisfies the Theorem 2, then each $P_{W_{1}}$ is a contraction. Hence, its restriction to the subset $L_{\infty}$ is again a contraction. It is clear from Proposition 5 that each $P_{W_{n}}$ can be written as the composition of $n$ number of $P_{W_{1}}$ maps, where $W_{1}$ varies in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. Since each $P_{W_{1}}$ is a contraction, the composition map $P_{W_{n}}$ is also a contraction. Hence by Proposition 6, $L_{\infty}$ is an attractor of the iterated function system $\left\{P_{W_{n}}: W_{n} \in \mathcal{W}_{n}\right\}$ for any $n$.

## V. Box-Counting Dimension

This section gives the box-counting dimension of quadrilateral labyrinth fractals. The box-counting dimension is found by finding an upper bound and lower bound of the number of boxes required to cover the fractal at each stage.
Let $L_{\infty}$ be an $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal generated from an $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth set $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ inside a quadrilateral $Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}$.

Suppose number of elements in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is $k$. Let $l=\max \left\{d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right), d\left(Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right), d\left(Q_{3}, Q_{4}\right), d\left(Q_{4}, Q_{1}\right)\right\}$. WLOG, suppose that $l=d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)$. An example of such a quadrilateral is given in Fig. 6.


Fig. 6 (a) A quadrilateral (b) first stage of a $4 \times 4-$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal

Let $N_{n}$ denote the number of squares of side length $\frac{l}{m^{n}}$, which covers the $n^{\text {th }}$ stage, $\mathcal{W}_{n}$, of $L_{\infty}$.
Claim 1: $N_{1} \leq 2 k$
To prove the Claim 1, it is enough to show that each element in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ can be covered by at most two squares of side length $\frac{l}{m}$. Let $R=R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ be an element of $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. Then $R$ can be either in any of the sets $S_{m}\left(A_{1}\right), S_{m}\left(A_{2}\right)$, or $S_{m}\left(A_{3}\right)$.

Case 1: If $R \in S_{m}\left(A_{1}\right)$, then $R$ is a parallelogram with side lengths $d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=d\left(R_{3}, R_{4}\right)=\frac{d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)}{m}$ and $d\left(R_{2}, R_{3}\right)=$ $d\left(R_{4}, R_{1}\right)=\frac{d\left(Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right)}{m}$. Since $d\left(Q_{1}, \stackrel{m}{Q_{2}}\right)=l, d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=$ $d\left(R_{3}, R_{4}\right)=\frac{l}{m}$ and $d\left(R_{2}, R_{3}\right)=d\left(R_{4}, R_{1}\right) \leq \frac{l}{m}$. Now, one square of side length $\frac{l}{m}$ is placed on the side $R_{1} R_{2}$ and another on the side $R_{3} R_{4}$ such that $R$ is covered. Depending on the original quadrilateral $Q$, the two kinds of covering can occur as in Fig. 7.


Fig. 7 Two kinds of covering squares for elements in $S_{m}\left(A_{1}\right)$
Note that these two squares are enough to cover $R$ since $R$ is a parallelogram, and the side $R_{1} R_{2}$ is the side of the largest length, and because of the same reason, it is clear that the covering will never be as in Fig. 8.


Fig. 8 No such coverings
Case 2: If $R \in S_{m}\left(A_{2}\right)$, then $R$ is a parallelogram with side lengths $d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=d\left(R_{3}, R_{4}\right)=\frac{d\left(Q_{3}, Q_{4}\right)}{m}$
and $d\left(R_{2}, R_{3}\right)=d\left(R_{4}, R_{1}\right)=\frac{d\left(Q_{4}, Q_{1}\right)}{m}$. Since $d\left(Q_{3}, Q_{4}\right) \leq l$ and $d\left(Q_{4}, Q_{1}\right) \leq l, d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right) \stackrel{m}{=} d\left(R_{3}, R_{4}\right) \leq \frac{l}{m}$ and $d\left(R_{2}, R_{3}\right)=d\left(R_{4}, R_{1}\right) \leq \frac{l}{m}$. So two squares of side length $\frac{l}{m}$ will cover $R$ as depicted in Fig. 9 .
Case 3: If $R \in S_{m}\left(A_{3}\right)$, then $R$ is similar to $Q$ with similarity ratio $\frac{1}{m}$. Since $d\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)=l, d\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=\frac{l}{m}$ and $R_{1} R_{2}$ is the side of maximum length of $R$. So, the angle at $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ cannot be obtuse. Otherwise, the length of $R_{3} R_{4}$ will be greater than $R_{1} R_{2}$. Suppose the angle at $R_{1}$ is not obtuse. Then one square of side length $\frac{l}{m}$ is placed on the side $R_{1} R_{2}$ and the second square is placed with one vertex at $R_{2}$ as shown in Fig. 10 so that these two squares cover the quadrilateral $R$.
Hence, each quadrilateral in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is covered by at most two squares of side length $\frac{l}{m}$. So, $N_{1} \leq 2 k$.
Note that the $n^{t h}{ }^{m}$ stage $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ of $L_{\infty}$ is an $m^{n} \times$ $m^{n}$-quadrilateral labyrinth set and $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ contains $k^{n}$ elements, when $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ contains $k$ elements. Hence $N_{n} \leq 2 k^{n}$ and so $\log N_{n} \leq \log 2 k^{n}$. For sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\log N_{n}}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\log \left(2 k^{n}\right)}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \\
\Longrightarrow & \frac{\log N_{n}}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\log \left(2 k^{n}\right)}{\log m^{n}-\log l} \\
\Longrightarrow & \frac{\log N_{n}}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\log (2)+\log \left(k^{n}\right)}{\log m^{n}-\log l} \\
\Longrightarrow & \frac{\log N_{n}}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\log 2}{n \log m-\log l}+\frac{\log (k)}{\log m-\frac{\log l}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_{n}}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\log (k)}{\log m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the box-counting dimension of an $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal $L_{\infty}$ is bounded above by $\frac{\log k}{\log m}$, where $k$ is the number of elements in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$.

Now, choose a positive number $l^{\prime}$ such that there exists a square of length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m}$ inside each element of $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. Consider the covering of $n^{\text {th }}$ stage of $L_{\infty}$ by squares of length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m^{n}}$. Let $N_{n}^{\prime}$ be the number of squares of length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m^{n}}$ used to cover the $n^{t h}$ stage. Then,

## Claim: $k^{n} \leq N_{n}^{\prime}$

For $n=1, k \leq N_{1}^{\prime}$ is obvious. For $n>1$, it is enough to prove that corresponding to each $W \in \mathcal{W}_{n}$, there exists a square of length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m^{n}}$, which is completely inside $W$. Note that, each element in $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ is similar to any one of the element in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ with similarity ratio $\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}$ and a square of side length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m^{n}}$ is similar to a square of side length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m}$ with similarity ratio $\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}$. So, clearly, each element in $\mathcal{W}_{n}^{m}$ contains a square of side length $\frac{l^{\prime}}{m^{n}}$. Hence $k^{n} \leq N_{n}^{\prime}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log \left(k^{n}\right)}{-\log \left(l^{\prime} / m^{n}\right)} & \leq \frac{\log N_{n}^{\prime}}{-\log \left(l^{\prime} / m^{n}\right)} \\
\Longrightarrow \frac{\log \left(k^{n}\right)}{\log \left(m^{n}\right)-\log \left(l^{\prime}\right)} & \leq \frac{\log N_{n}^{\prime}}{-\log \left(l^{\prime} / m^{n}\right)} \\
\Longrightarrow \frac{\log k}{\log m-\frac{\log \left(l^{\prime}\right)}{n}} & \leq \frac{\log N_{n}^{\prime}}{-\log \left(l^{\prime} / m^{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_{n}}{-\log \left(l / m^{n}\right)} \geq \frac{\log (k)}{\log m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the box-counting dimension of an $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal, $L_{\infty}$ is bounded below by $\frac{\log k}{\log m}$, where $k$ is the number of elements in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. By (2) and (3), it is clear that the box-counting dimension of an $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal is $\frac{\log k}{\log m}$ where $k$ is the number of elements in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$.

## VI. Hausdorff Dimension

This section deals with the Hausdorff dimension of the quadrilateral labyrinth fractals. The monotonicity property is used to find the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension. It is seen that the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension and the box-counting dimension are equal, and it ensures that the box-counting and Hausdorff dimensions of the quadrilateral labyrinth fractal are equal.

Let $Q$ be a convex quadrilateral and $L_{\infty}$ be the labyrinth fractal generated from a labyrinth set $\mathcal{W}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{m}=\mathcal{S}_{m}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup$ $\mathcal{S}_{m}\left(A_{2}\right) \cup \mathcal{S}_{m}\left(A_{3}\right)$ where $\mathcal{S}_{m}, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ are all defined as in Section II. Choose $W_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}$ such that $W_{1} \in$ $S_{m}\left(A_{1}\right) \bigcup S_{m}\left(A_{2}\right)$ (i.e, $W_{1}$ is a parallelogram). Then $W_{1} \cap$ $L_{\infty} \subseteq L_{\infty}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(W_{1} \cap L_{\infty}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(L_{\infty}\right)$. Also, note that $W_{1} \cap L_{\infty}$ can be seen as a labyrinth fractal constructed on the parallelogram $W_{1}$, with its initial labyrinth set containing the same number of white quadrilaterals as that of $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ and the position of these white quadrilaterals are same as the position of $W_{1} s$ in Q . That means the Hausdorff dimension of a labyrinth fractal in a parallelogram forms a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of a quadrilateral labyrinth fractal. So, it is enough to concentrate on the Hausdorff dimension of a labyrinth fractal in a parallelogram. For simplicity, let $R$ denote the parallelogram.
Definition 5: A geometric graph directed construction in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ consists of

1) A finite sequence of non-overlapping, compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, say, $W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{k}$ such that each $W_{i}$ has a nonempty interior.
2) A directed graph $G$ with vertex set consisting of the integers $1,2, \ldots, k$ and similarity maps $T_{i, j}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $(i, j) \in G$, with similarity ratios $t_{i, j}$ such that
a) for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, there is some $j$ such that $(i, j) \in G$.
b) for each $i,\left\{T_{i, j}\left(W_{j}\right):(i, j) \in G\right\}$ is a non-overlapping family and $W_{i} \supset \cup\left\{T_{i, j}\left(W_{j}\right)\right.$ : $(i, j) \in G\}$.
c) if the path component of $G$ rooted at the vertex $i_{1}$ is a cycle $\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots i_{q}, i_{q+1}=i_{1}\right]$, then $\Pi_{k=1}^{q} t_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}<1$
$\left\{W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{k}\right\}$ is taken as the white parallelograms in the first stage of labyrinth fractal constructed on $R$, where $k$ is the number of white quadrilaterals of the first stage. Consider the directed graph $G$ as a graph with vertex set $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and edge set $\{(1,1),(1,2), \ldots,(1, k),(2,1),(2,2), \ldots,(2, k), \ldots,(k, 1)$, $(k, 2), \ldots,(k, k)\}$. Corresponding to each $(i, j)$, where, $i, j=$


Fig. 9 Two kinds of covering squares for elements in $S_{m}\left(A_{2}\right)$


Fig. 10 Two kinds of covering squares for elements in $S_{m}\left(A_{3}\right)$
$1,2, . ., k$, take, $T_{i, j}(x)=P_{W_{i}}(x)$ for all $j$, and so $t_{i, j}=\frac{1}{m}$ for all $i$ and $j$.
Theorem 4: [11] For each geometric construction, there exists a unique vector of compact sets, $\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots K_{k}\right) \in$ $\Pi_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{K}\left(W_{i}\right)$ such that for each $i, W_{i}=\bigcup\left\{T_{i, j}\left(W_{j}\right)\right.$ : $(i, j) \in G\}$, where $\mathcal{K}\left(W_{i}\right)$ denotes the space of compact subsets of $W_{i}$. The construction object of this geometric construction is defined as $K=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{i}$.
Definition 6: The weighted incidence matrix or construction matrix $A=A_{G}$ associated with a graph directed construction is the $k \times k$ matrix defined by
$A=\left[t_{i, j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$, where we make the convention that $t_{i, j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \bar{G}$. For each $\beta \geq 0$, let $A_{\beta}=A_{G, \beta}$ be the $k \times k$ matrix given by $a_{\beta ; i, j}=t_{i, j}^{\beta}$. $\Phi(\beta)$ denotes the spectral radius of $A_{\beta}$.

Mauldin and Williams introduced the graph-directed construction and examined the Hausdorff dimension of the construction object obtained from this construction [11].
Theorem 5: [11] For each graph-directed construction such that $G$ itself is strongly connected, the Hausdorff dimension of $K$, the construction object, is $\alpha$, where $\Phi(\alpha)=1$.
The labyrinth fractal in the parallelogram can be seen as the construction object of the graph-directed construction with the weighted incidence matrix, $A=\left[\frac{1}{m}\right]_{k \times k}$. For any $\beta>0, A_{\beta}$ is a matrix having all entries equal. So, it is easy to see that $\Phi(\beta)$ of $A_{\beta}$ is the sum of row entries. So, it is enough to find the value of $\beta$ for which this sum of row elements equals one, i.e., the Hausdorff dimension $\beta$ is such that $\Sigma_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\beta}=1 \Longrightarrow$ $\beta=\frac{\log k}{\log m}$. So, for any $m \times m$ labyrinth fractal generated on a parallelogram, Hausdorff dimension is equal to $\frac{\log k}{\log m}$, where $k$ is the number of white parallelograms of stage 1 . Since the box-counting dimension of $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal is obtained as $\frac{\log k}{\log m}$, it can be seen that the Hausdorff dimension of $m \times m$ quadrilateral labyrinth fractal is $\frac{\log k}{\log m}$, where $k$ is the number of white quadrilaterals of stage 1 .

## VII. Topological Properties

This section deals with the topological properties of the labyrinth set and labyrinth fractal. The section also studies different connectedness properties in $Q \backslash L_{n}$ and $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$. It can be seen that many of the results in $m \times m$ labyrinth fractal in a square are satisfied for a quadrilateral labyrinth fractal, too. So we state the Propositions 7 and 8 and Theorem 6 without proofs.
Proposition 7: If $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{S}_{m}$ is a set of white quadrilaterals such that the associated graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{W})$ is a tree. From every black quadrilateral in $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{S}_{m} \backslash \mathcal{W}$, there is a path in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B})$ to a border quadrilateral.

Definition 7: Let $X$ be a topological space and $x_{0}, x_{1} \in X$. Then an arc in $X$ from $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$ is a continuous function $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(0)=x_{0}$ and $\gamma(1)=x_{1}$.
Definition 8: A dendrite is a locally connected continuum that contains no simple closed curve, and a continuum is a nonempty compact connected Hausdorff space.
Proposition 8: If $x$ is a point in $Q \backslash L_{n}$, then there is an $\operatorname{arc} a \subseteq Q \backslash L_{n+1}$ between $x$ and a point in the boundary of $Q$.

Corollary 1: If $x$ is a point in $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$, then there is an arc $a \subseteq Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ between $x$ and a point in the boundary of $Q$.
Theorem 6: $L_{\infty}$ is a dendrite.
Theorem 7: The interior of $L_{\infty}$ is empty.
Proof: Suppose not. Then there exist a point $x \in \operatorname{Int}\left(L_{\infty}\right)$ and an $r>0$ such that ball with center $x$ and radius $r$, say $B(x, r)$, is contained in $L_{\infty}$. Choose an $\epsilon$ such that $o<\epsilon<r$ and $\overline{B(x, \epsilon)} \subseteq B(x, r)$. Then clearly, the circle with centre $x$ and radius $\epsilon$ is a simple closed curve contained in $L_{\infty}$. It is a contradiction.

Corollary 2: $L_{\infty}$ is a first category subset in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Proof: Since $L_{\infty}$ is closed, the interior of closure of $L_{\infty}$ is the same as the interior of $L_{\infty}$ and it is empty. Thus $L_{\infty}$ is nowhere dense subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Consider closed intervals in $\mathbb{R}$ with rational endpoints and
then consider a strip on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ corresponding to each of these intervals. i.e, if $\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$ is a interval with $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$, consider the strip $\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right] \times y$-axis. Collection of all such strips is, say, $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$, is countable. Let $B_{i}=A_{i} \cap L_{\infty}$. Then $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$ is countable and $L_{\infty}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{i}$ and each $B_{i}$ is nowhere dense since they are subsets of nowhere dense set $L_{\infty}$. Hence, $L_{\infty}$ is the first category subset in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Remark 5: Let $L_{\infty}$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with induced Topology. Then $L_{\infty}$ is compact and Hausdorff, hence a Baire's space. Since every Baire space is of the second category, $L_{\infty}$ is the second category subspace [12].

Theorem 8: $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is not path connected.
Proof: Choose an exit $W_{L}$ of $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ such that $W_{L}$ is not a corner quadrilateral. Such an exit always exists by Proposition 3 and corner property. WLOG suppose that $W_{L}$ is the left exit and let $P$ be a path from $W_{L}$ to $W_{R}$, where $W_{R}$ is the right exit of $\mathcal{W}_{1}$, which is not a corner quadrilateral. Let $a=L_{\infty} \cap P$. Then $a$ is a path from left to right exit in $L_{\infty}$. Since both the bottom-left and bottom-right corners cannot be white in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$, choose the black quadrilateral, say $B$, from these corners. Similarly, choose a black quadrilateral, say $B^{\prime}$, from the top-left and top-right corners of $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. Let $x \in B$ and $y \in B^{\prime}$. Now, if a path exists from $x$ to $y$, it should intersect with $a$, which is impossible since $a \subseteq L_{\infty}$. So there does not exist a path from $x$ to $y$ in $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$, so $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is not path connected.

Corollary 3: $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is not connected.
Proof: Claim: $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is locally path connected.
Let $x \in Q \backslash L_{\infty}$, and $U$ is an open set containing $x$. For sufficiently small $r$, there exists an open ball containing $x$ and contained in $U$ in subspace topology, and it will be path connected. Hence, $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is locally path connected. Since every locally path-connected space is connected if and only if the space is path-connected, $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is not connected by Theorem 8.

Corollary 4: $Q \backslash L_{n}$ is not path connected and not connected for any $n \geq 1$.
Theorem 9: If $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is an $m \times m$ - quadrilateral labyrinth set in $Q, m \geq 4$, such that $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ contains no border quadrilateral except the exits, and $L_{\infty}$ is the quadrilateral labyrinth fractal generated from $\mathcal{W}_{1}$, then the number of connected components of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is 4 .

Proof: Claim 1: $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ cannot have a corner quadrilateral.
Suppose a corner quadrilateral exists, say $W_{0}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{1}$. Let $W_{0}$ be the top-left corner. Then, it should be either left-exit or top-exit. WLOG suppose $W_{0}$ is the top-exit. Since $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is connected, there exists a border quadrilateral, say $W_{1}$, which is a neighbour of $W_{0}$. Since $W_{1}$ is a border quadrilateral, it should be an exit. Also, $W_{1}$ cannot be a top exit, so $W_{1}$ is a left exit. Since the top-left corner is the top exit, the bottom-left corner should be the bottom exit. Same argument as above, a left exit exists, say $W_{2}$, a neighbour of the bottom left corner. Since the left exit is unique, the only possibility is $W_{1}=W_{2}$. But this case holds only if $m=3$. So $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ contains no corner quadrilateral. Hence each vertex of $Q$ is in $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$.

Claim 2: A connected component of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ does not contain more than one vertex of $Q$.

Suppose not. Let $K$ be a connected component of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ which contains two corner vertices. WLOG assume the top-left vertex and top-right vertex are contained in $K$. Since $Q \backslash$ $L_{\infty}$ is locally path connected, the path connected components and connected components of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ coincide. Thus, $K$ is a path-connected component containing both the top-left vertex and the top-right vertex, and a path exists in $K$ between these two vertices. But this path will intersect with the path from top exit to bottom exit as shown in Theorem 6, and it is not possible. Hence, a connected component of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ contains at most one vertex of $Q$.
Claim 3: Each connected component of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ contains at least one vertex.
From Corollary 1, it is clear that there exists a path from any point of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ to one of the boundary points of $Q$. Also, from any border point in $Q \backslash L_{n}$, a path exists to one of the vertices of $Q$; otherwise, it will contradict the theorem hypothesis. Hence, each component of $Q \backslash L_{n}$ contains at least one vertex of $Q$. Thus, corresponding to each vertex is a unique connected component in $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$, so the number of related components of $Q \backslash L_{\infty}$ is 4 .

## VIII. Conclusion

In this paper, labyrinth fractals on a convex quadrilateral are introduced. Labyrinth fractals are defined in the same manner as in a square or a triangle on any convex quadrilateral. However, the labyrinth fractal is not self-similar as the construction of smaller quadrilaterals lacks self-similarity property.
The Hausdorff dimension and the box-counting dimension of the constructed quadrilateral labyrinth fractals are obtained, and it is observed that both dimensions coincide. The topological properties of the quadrilateral labyrinth fractal and its complement on the quadrilateral are also studied in this paper.
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## Appendix

$k_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}}$
$m_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\left(c_{1}-c_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{1}-d_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)^{2}\right)-\left(c_{1}^{2}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{2} c_{3}-c_{3} c_{1}+d_{1}^{2}-d_{1} d_{2}+d_{2} d_{3}-d_{3} d_{1}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\left(\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}\right)-\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3} a_{1}+b_{1}^{2}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{2} b_{3}-b_{3} b_{1}\right)^{2}}}$
$l_{1}=\frac{\left(c_{1}^{2}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{2} c_{3}-c_{3} c_{1}+d_{1}^{2}-d_{1} d_{2}+d_{2} d_{3}-d_{3} d_{1}\right) \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}}}{\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3} a_{1}+b_{1}^{2}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{2} b_{3}-b_{3} b_{1}\right) \sqrt{\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)^{2}}}$
$\theta_{1}=\frac{\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3} a_{1}+b_{1}^{2}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{2} b_{3}-b_{3} b_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}\right)-\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3} a_{1}+b_{1}^{2}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{2} b_{3}-b_{3} b_{1}\right)^{2}}}$
$k_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{3}-d_{4}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{4}\right)^{2}}}$
$m_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\left(c_{3}-c_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{3}-d_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{3}-d_{4}\right)^{2}\right)-\left(c_{3}^{2}-c_{3} c_{4}+c_{4} c_{1}-c_{1} c_{3}+d_{3}^{2}-d_{3} d_{4}+d_{4} d_{1}-d_{1} d_{3}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{4}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{3}-d_{4}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\left(\left(a_{3}-a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{4}\right)^{2}\right)-\left(a_{3}^{2}-a_{3} a_{4}+a_{4} a_{1}-a_{1} a_{3}+b_{3}^{2}-b_{3} b_{4}+b_{4} b_{1}-b_{1} b_{3}\right)^{2}}}$
$l_{2}=\frac{\left(c_{3}^{2}-c_{3} c_{4}+c_{4} c_{1}-c_{1} c_{3}+d_{3}^{2}-d_{3} d_{4}+d_{4} d_{1}-d_{1} d_{3}\right) \sqrt{\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{4}\right)^{2}}}{\left(a_{3}^{2}-a_{3} a_{4}+a_{4} a_{1}-a_{1} a_{3}+b_{3}^{2}-b_{3} b_{4}+b_{4} b_{1}-b_{1} b_{3}\right) \sqrt{\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{3}-d_{4}\right)^{2}}}$
$\theta_{2}=\frac{\left(a_{3}^{2}-a_{3} a_{4}+a_{4} a_{1}-a_{1} a_{3}+b_{3}^{2}-b_{3} b_{4}+b_{4} b_{1}-b_{1} b_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\left(a_{3}-a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}-b_{4}\right)^{2}\right)-\left(a_{3}^{2}-a_{3} a_{4}+a_{4} a_{1}-a_{1} a_{3}+b_{3}^{2}-b_{3} b_{4}+b_{4} b_{1}-b_{1} b_{3}\right)^{2}}}$.
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