

An Enhanced Support Vector Machine-Based Approach for Sentiment Classification of Arabic Tweets of Different Dialects

Gehad S. Kaseb, Mona F. Ahmed

Abstract—Arabic Sentiment Analysis (SA) is one of the most common research fields with many open areas. This paper proposes different pre-processing steps and a modified methodology to improve the accuracy using normal Support Vector Machine (SVM) classification. The paper works on two datasets, Arabic Sentiment Tweets Dataset (ASTD) and Extended Arabic Tweets Sentiment Dataset (Extended-ATSD), which are publicly available for academic use. The results show that the classification accuracy approaches 86%.

Keywords—Arabic, hybrid classification, sentiment analysis, tweets.

I. INTRODUCTION

SA is the study of people's comments, and opinions on a selected object. Sentiment Classification (SC) approaches can be divided into three main categories: lexicon-based, machine learning (ML) and hybrid approaches. This paper uses a hybrid approach which aims to incorporate all ML and lexicon-based methods, so that it can take advantage of the benefits of each approach. SVM classifier is also used as it is considered one of the most effective classifiers in the SA field as indicated in the literature surveyed [1].

The proposed approach targets Arabic dialects. However, there is a lack of resources for Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) and even much worse in dialectal Arabic. Considering the importance of an emoji can significantly improve applications that study, analyze, and summarize electronic communications, rather than continuously removing emojis as a preprocessing step. The application of emoji SA is used to boost the sentiment rating.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II explores SA related works. Section III illustrates the proposed SA methodology. Section IV shows the results and analysis. Section V presents concluding remarks and discusses the future work.

II. RELATED WORK

Some work done in Arabic SA utilized ML methods; others used a lexicon-based approach. Lexicon-based approaches are unsupervised approaches that depend on external lexica to classify sentiments. ML approaches are mainly supervised

approaches that rely on the existence of labeled training documents/phrases using classifiers such as SVM and naïve Bayesian. Hybrid approaches are those that combine lexicon and ML techniques. The interested reader is referred to the survey in [1].

ASTD is a corpus of tweets suggested by [2]. It is composed of 10,006 tweets written in MSA and Egyptian colloquial Arabic. The tweets are classified into 799 positive, 1,684 negative, 832 neutral and 6,691 objective tweets. Different ML approaches were used in this paper. The best recall achieved was 69% using SVM. However, this paper did not mention any pre-processing or cleaning steps. The dataset includes a small number of subjective tweets.

Extended-ATSD: Arabic Tweets Sentiment Dataset is a corpus of tweets proposed by [3] which consists of 7082 tweets written in MSA as well as Egyptian colloquial Arabic. It is composed of 714 positive tweets, 1901 negative tweets, 714 neutral tweets and 3530 objective tweets. The best accuracy achieved was 62.4%.

The SentiStrength software [4] is used to observe and measure the strength of the sentiment expressed within the social media text. It was originally developed for English and later was adapted to different languages including Arabic. Using SentiStrength, the approach proposed by [5] assigned a score to every tweet that indicates the entire sentiment score. They performed a comprehensive analysis of SentiStrength using 11 Arabic datasets consisting of tens of thousands of reviews/comments from different domains and in several dialects. They perform the analysis in terms of positive and negative sentiments. The evaluation results show that overall SentiStrength achieves 62% accuracy, 83.7% precision, 64% recall (positive correct), 68% F1 measure and 55% negative correct.

The authors in [7] presented NileULex, which is an Arabic sentiment lexicon containing approximately 6000 Arabic words and compound phrases. Egyptian or colloquial dialect is about 45% of the lexicon and MSA is about 55%. This lexicon took development period over two years. Whereas the gathering of many of the terms included in the lexicon was done automatically, the particular addition of any term was done manually. One of the vital criteria for adding terms to the lexicon was to disambiguate them as much as possible. The result is a lexicon with a far higher quality than any translated variant or automatically constructed one.

G. S. Kaseb is a postgraduate researcher and M. F. Ahmed is an associate professor in Computer Engineering Cairo University, Giza, Egypt (e-mail: gehad.kaseb@gmail.com, mona_farouk@eng.cu.edu.eg).

III. PROPOSED SA SYSTEM

This section outlines the methodology and resources employed in the proposed work, the used datasets, preprocessing, classification architectures, and the accuracy measurements used to evaluate them. The system components are described in detail in the following sections.

A. Used Datasets

For all the conducted experiments, two datasets are used which are available for academic use; ASTD [2] and Extended-ATSD [3].

ASTD and Extended-ATSD both have four labels: positive, negative, neutral and objective. Due to the highly skewed distribution of the classes, and since our focus is to perform opinion classification rather than subjectivity classification, we excluded the objective and neutral tweets. So, we focused on positive and negative tweets only. Then, the datasets will be preprocessed and cleaned by a series of proposed steps to improve the classification accuracy. Table I shows the used datasets statistics.

TABLE I
ASTD AND EXTENDED-ATSD USED DATASET POLARITIES

	ASTD	Extended-ATSD
Positive	798	714
Negative	1680	1901
Total	2478	2847

B. Preprocessing

In this phase, the data is prepared before being fed to the classifiers either in the training phase or in the testing phase. Preprocessing phase includes those sequential steps:

Step 1: Normalization

- Remove Taskeel: taskeel is collected in this list (Fathatan, Dammatan, Kasratan, Fatha, Damma, Kasra, Shadda, Sukun, Maddah above, Hamza above, Hamza below, Subscript Alef, Inverted Damma, Mark Noon Ghunna, Zwarakay, Vowel Sign Small V above, Vowel Sign Inverted Small V Above, Vowel Sign Dot below, Reversed Damma, Fatha with two dots, Wavy Hamza below, Letter Superscript Alef)
- Remove Tatweel: for example انا will be انا.
- Remove honorific sign (Arabic Sign Sallallahou Alayhe Wa Sallam "صلي الله عليه وسلم", Arabic Sign Alayhe Assallam "عليه السلام", Arabic Sign Rahmatullah Alayhe "رحمه الله", Arabic Sign Radi Allahou Anhu "رضي الله عنه", Arabic Sign Takhallus)
- Remove koranic annotation list (Arabic Small High Tah, Arabic Small High Ligature Alef With Lam With Yeh, Arabic Small High Zain, Arabic Small Fatha, Arabic Small Damma, Arabic Small Kasra, Arabic Small High Ligature Sad With Lam With Alef Maksura, Arabic Small High Ligature Qaf With Lam With Alef Maksura, Arabic Small High Meem Initial Form, Arabic Small High Lam Alef, Arabic Small High Jeem, Arabic Small High Three Dots, Arabic Small High Seen, Arabic End Of Ayah,

Arabic Start Of Rub El Hizb, Arabic Small High Rounded Zero, Arabic Small High Upright Rectangular Zero, Arabic Small High Dotless Head Of Khah, Arabic Small High Meem Isolated Form, Arabic Small Low Seen, Arabic Small High Madda, Arabic Small Waw, Arabic Small Yeh, Arabic Small High Yeh, Arabic Small High Noon, Arabic Place Of Sajdah, Arabic Empty Centre Low Stop, Arabic Empty Centre High Stop, Arabic Rounded High Stop With Filled Centre, Arabic Small Low Meem)

- Normalize the letters which have more than one form such as Alef (replace the Alef with Hamza above "أ", and Alef with Hamza below "إ" and Alef Madda "آ" to Alef "ا"), Haa (replace the Taa Marbuta "ة" with Haa "ه") and Yaa (replace the Dotless Yaa "ى" with Yaa "ي")

Step 2: Emoji Word Converter

Emoticons and emojis are extracted using the "emoji" java library [6], and then they are replaced with their Aliases using a manually-prepared list of emotion-word converter Table II. These words are then used in the emotion word lexicon.

TABLE II
EMOTION-WORD CONVERTER LIST

Emotion-Word	Emotion-Word
:(= وجهيكاء	^_ = وجهسعيد
:"(= وجهيكاء	_- = وجهسعيد
:< = وجهز علان	-^ = وجهسعيد
3:) = وجهشيطان	*_* = وجهسعيد
>-) = وجهشيرير	:-) = وجهسعيد
>:(= وجهغاضب	O:) = وجهملاك
^ ^ = وجهسعيد	: = وجهسعيد
:/ = وجهز علان	:-@ = وجهغاضب
:O = وجهمند هس	((H)) = وجهحضن
:(= وجهحزين	:D = وجهضحك
:-) = وجهحزين	o.o = وجهمرتبك
O.o = وجهمرتبك	:* = وجهقبلة
o.O = وجهمرتبك	:P = وجهمتغاضب
<3 = وجهقلب	ISA = ان شاء الله
LOL = وجهضحك	Imo = برابي
Tyt = اهلا بعدونك	Jak = جزاك الله خيرا

Step 3: Arabic Named Entities Recognition

Named Entities Recognition (NER) becomes an important part of SA not only when the task is to identify an opinion holder but additionally for the task of determining semantic orientation. The reason for this is that the majority of Arabic first names, and to lesser extent family names, are derived from Arabic adjectives that can be easily confused for sentiments. Some Arabic male names that demonstrate this point include: Adel, Nabil, Said, and Hakim. The meanings of these names are: Just, Noble, Happy, and Wise. Examples of female names include: Gamila, Latifa, Sara, and Wafia, whose meanings are: Beautiful, Nice, Happy, and Loyal [8].

The Named Entities are removed after recognizing them by using gazetteer lists. We use ANERGazet [9] which is a collection of three Gazetteers. (i) Location Gazetteer: this dictionary consists of 1,950 continents names, countries, cities, rivers and mountains found in the Arabic version of Wikipedia. (ii) Person Gazetteer: this dictionary consists of

positive-negative annotation value; positive annotation takes value equal 1 and negative annotation takes value

equal -1.

Emoji Sentiment Ranking v1.0

Char	Image [tweemoji]	Unicode codepoint	Occurrences [5...max]	Position [0...1]	Neg [0...1]	Neut [0...1]	Pos [0...1]	Sentiment score [-1...+1]	Sentiment bar (c.i. 95%)	Unicode name	Unicode block
😭		0x1f602	14622	0.805	0.247	0.285	0.468	0.221		FACE WITH TEARS OF JOY	Emoticons
❤️		0x2764	8050	0.747	0.044	0.166	0.790	0.746		HEAVY BLACK HEART	Dingbats
🖤		0x2665	7144	0.754	0.035	0.272	0.693	0.657		BLACK HEART SUIT	Miscellaneous Symbols
😍		0x1f60d	6359	0.765	0.052	0.219	0.729	0.678		SMILING FACE WITH HEART-SHAPED EYES	Emoticons
😭		0x1f62d	5526	0.803	0.436	0.220	0.343	-0.093		LOUDLY CRYING FACE	Emoticons
😘		0x1f618	3648	0.854	0.053	0.193	0.754	0.701		FACE THROWING A KISS	Emoticons
😊		0x1f60a	3186	0.813	0.060	0.237	0.704	0.644		SMILING FACE WITH SMILING EYES	Emoticons

Fig. 4 Emoji lexicon

TABLE III
EMOTION WORD AND POS-NEG VALUE CONVERTER LIST

Word-value	Word-Value
1 وجه سعيد	وجه ملاك
1- وجه حزين	وجه بكاء
1- وجه شيطان	وجه حزن
1- وجه شرير	وجه علان
1- وجه غاضب	وجه ضحك
1- وجه مرتبك	وجه مذهش
1- وجه متغاط	وجه قبله
1 وجه قلب	ضحك

There are Arabic words that do not exist in the lexicons. In this case, these words will be disregarded, which means the sentiment scores are calculated using only the words that exist in the lexicons. The final dataset after adding lexicon features will be represented by six features e.g. (Arabic Tweet, annotated sentiment, 0,0,0,0,0,0). The six features are representing positive and negative scores for ArSenL, NileUnv and Emoticons lexicon, respectively.

D. Classification

From the study, comparison and analysis of the different proposed methodologies for SA, it was observed that SVM yield the best performance [1]. So, SVM with Term frequency-inverse document frequency (TF-IDF) feature vector was used.

E. Evaluation Measures

We report the results of each experiment using accuracy metric to measure the performance. Accuracy reports the ratio of correctly classified tweets to the total number of tweets regardless of their class.

IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

We run the algorithm 100 times using a random seed to shuffle and partition the dataset into training set (80%) and test set (20%). This randomness changes the training and test sets in every iteration, which means that the methodologies are

tested using 100 combinations of the training and test sets. The three values, Max., Avg. and Min. shown in Table IV which represents the maximum, average and minimum accuracy achieved over the 100 iterations.

TABLE IV
ACCURACY RESULTS FOR BOTH DATASETS ASTD AND EXTENDED-ATSD

	ASTD	Extended-ATSD
Max	86.0	85.9
Avg.	79.4	79.1
Min	75.6	72.1

The authors in [20] extracted a subset of the ASTD dataset with the positive and negative tweets only. They reached accuracy: 57.1%, Precision: 38.5% Recall: 55.7% and F1: (45.5%).

The authors in [21] extracted a subset of the ASTD dataset with the positive and negative and neutral tweets. These data are split into a training set (70%), a development set (10%) and a test set (20%). The results show that RNTN achieves the best performance (Accuracy = 58.5% and Average F1 = 53.6%) although it was trained on a dataset that is different from that used for testing.

The authors in [22] extracted a subset of the ASTD dataset with the positive and negative tweets only. The resultant accuracy reaches 75.9% when the model has been trained in a balanced form and 79.07% in an unbalanced form.

The authors in [23] extracted a subset of the ASTD dataset with the positive and negative tweets only. They then combined it with ArTwitter [24], and QCRI [25]. They achieved recall: 76.5%, precision: 83.0%, F-measure 79.62% and macro-accuracy: 80.21%.

V. CONCLUSION

This work presented a model for Arabic SA including the preprocessing steps, the methodology and the used lexicons. The model was trained and tested using two datasets, ASTD and Extended-ATSD. The results show improved accuracy

which achieved 86%. The intended future work is to create a large scale lexicon and to propose a deep learning model to enhance Arabic SA. In addition, it is planned to build Arabic SA software which annotates Arabic tweets online.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gehad S. Kaseb, Mona F. Ahmed. Arabic Sentiment Analysis approaches: An analytical survey. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, Volume 7, Issue 10, October-2016 712 ISSN 2229-5518.
- [2] Mahmoud Nabil, Mohamed Aly and Amir F. Atiya. ASTD: Arabic Sentiment Tweets Dataset. *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2515–2519, Lisbon, Portugal, 17-21 September 2015.
- [3] Kaseb, Gehad S., and Mona F. Ahmed. "Extended-ATSD: Arabic Tweets Sentiment Dataset" *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* 14.14 (2019): 4780-4785.
- [4] M. Thelwall, Heart and soul: sentiment strength detection in the social web with sentistrength, in: *Proceedings of the CyberEmotions*, 2013, pp. 1–14.
- [5] A. Rabab'ah, M. Al-Ayyoub, Y. Jararweh, M. Al-Kabi, Evaluating sentistrength for Arabic sentiment analysis, in: *2016 7th International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology (CSIT)*, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [6] Vdurmont. 2016. The missing emoji library for java. <https://github.com/vdurmont/emoji-java>.
- [7] El-Beltagy, Samhaa R., 2016. NileULex: A Phrase and Word Level Sentiment Lexicon for Egyptian and Modern Standard Arabic. In *proceedings of LREC 2016*, Portorož, Slovenia.
- [8] Open Issues in the Sentiment Analysis of Arabic Social Media: A Case Study, Samhaa R. El-Beltagy
- [9] ANERGazet available at: <http://users.dsic.upv.es/grupos/nle/?file=kop4.php>
- [10] Benajiba, Y., Rosso, P., Bened'ı Ru'ız: ANERsys: An Arabic Named Entity Recognition System Based on Maximum Entropy. In: *Proceeding of CICLing-2007*, Mexico. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 4394, Springer-Verlag
- [11] Arabic Stop Words list available at: https://www.arabeyes.org/%D9%85%D8%B3%D8%AA%D8%A8%D8%B9%D8%AF%D8%A7%D8%AA_%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%81%D9%87%D8%B1%D8%B3%D8%A9
- [12] Arabic Stop Words list available at: https://sites.google.com/site/kevinbouge/stopwords-lists/stopwords_ar.txt?attredirects=0&d=1
- [13] Arabic stop words list available at: <http://www.ranks.nl/stopwords/arabic>
- [14] Arabic country and capital names available at: http://www.nationsonline.org/oneworld/countrynames_arabic.htm
- [15] Gilbert Badaro, Ramy Baly, Hazem Hajj, Nizar Habash, and Wassim El-Hajj. A large scale arabic sentiment lexicon for arabic opinion mining. *ANLP 2014*, page 165,2014.
- [16] Buckwalter to Unicode converter available at: <http://www.comp.leeds.ac.uk/andyr/software/>
- [17] ElSahar, Hady, and Samhaa R. El-Beltagy. "Building large arabic multi-domain resources for sentiment analysis." *International Conference on Intelligent Text Processing and Computational Linguistics*. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [18] Lexicons github website: <https://github.com/hadyelsahar/large-arabicsentiment-analysis-resouces-last>
- [19] Petra Kralj Novak, Jasmina Smailovic, Borut Sluban, ' and Igor Mozetic. 2015. Sentiment of emojis. *PloS one*, 10(12):e0144296.
- [20] A. Rabab'ah, M. Al-Ayyoub, Y. Jararweh, M. Al-Kabi, Evaluating sentistrength for Arabic sentiment analysis, in: *2016 7th International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology (CSIT)*, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [21] Baly, Ramy, et al. "A Characterization Study of Arabic Twitter Data with a Benchmarking for State-of-the-Art Opinion Mining Models." *WANLP 2017 (co-located with EACL 2017)* (2017): 110.
- [22] Dahou, Abdelghani, et al. "Word Embeddings and Convolutional Neural Network for Arabic Sentiment Classification."
- [23] Altowayan, A. Aziz, and Lixin Tao. "Word embeddings for Arabic sentiment analysis." *Big Data (Big Data)*, 2016 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2016.
- [24] N. A. Abdulla, N. A. Ahmed, M. A. Shehab, and M. AlAyyoub, "Arabic sentiment analysis: Lexicon-based and corpus-based," in *Applied Electrical Engineering and Computing Technologies (AEECT)*, 2013 IEEE Jordan Conference on, Dec 2013, pp. 1–6.
- [25] A. Mourad and K. Darwish, "Subjectivity and sentiment analysis of modern standard arabic and arabic microblogs," in *Proceedings of the 4th workshop on computational approaches to subjectivity, sentiment and social media analysis*, 2013, pp. 55–64.