Irrigation Water Quality Evaluation Based on Multivariate Statistical Analysis: A Case Study of Jiaokou Irrigation District

Panpan Xu, Qiying Zhang, Hui Qian

Abstract—Groundwater is main source of water supply in the Guanzhong Basin, China. To investigate the quality of groundwater for agricultural purposes in Jiaokou Irrigation District located in the east of the Guanzhong Basin, 141 groundwater samples were collected for analysis of major ions (K^+, Na^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, SO_4^{2-}, Cl^-, HCO_3^-, and CO_3^{2-}), pH, and total dissolved solids (TDS). Sodium percentage (Na%), residual sodium carbonate (RSC), magnesium hazard (MH), and potential salinity (PS) were applied for irrigation water quality assessment. In addition, multivariate statistical techniques were used to identify the underlying hydrogeochemical processes. Results show that the content of TDS mainly depends on Cl^-, Na^+, Mg^{2+}, and SO_4^{2-}, and the HCO_3^- content is generally high except for the eastern sand area. These are responsible for complex hydrogeochemical processes, such as dissolution of carbonate minerals (dolomite and calcite), gypsum, halite, and silicate minerals, the cation exchange, as well as evaporation and concentration. The average evaluation levels of Na%, RSC, MH, and PS for irrigation water quality are doubtful, good, unsuitable, and injurious to unsatisfactory, respectively. Therefore, it is necessary for decision makers to comprehensively consider the indicators and thus reasonably evaluate the irrigation water quality.

Keywords—Irrigation water quality, multivariate statistical analysis, groundwater, hydrogeochemical process.

I. INTRODUCTION

GROUNDWATER is the most precious resource for various purposes in support of domestic, agricultural, and industrial development all over the globe [1], [2]. The Guanzhong Basin has fertile soil with a long history of irrigation, so the quality demand for irrigation water is high. However, it is located in a semi-arid area lacking surface water resources, so the security of agricultural irrigation water strongly depends on groundwater resources [2]. For proper operation of groundwater for agricultural irrigation, it is necessary to recognize the quality of available groundwater for ensuring a reliable supply [3], [4].

In order to evaluate irrigation water quality, many indices have been put forward and widely used in groundwater, such as Na, sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), RSC, MH, permeability index (PI), Kelley's ratio (KR), and PS [2]-[5]. Multivariate statistical techniques, such as Pearson's correlation analysis, cluster analysis (CA), and principal components analysis (PCA) are effective tools for assessing groundwater quality, and interpreting the hydrogeochemical processes [6]-[9]. Jiaokou Irrigation District is a typical irrigation area in Guanzhong Basin; therefore, to assess the water quality for irrigation purpose and to disclose the underlying hydrogeochemical processes, this study investigated the groundwater of Jiaokou Irrigation District using the multivariate statistical methods. The findings of this study can provide reasonable guidance for the groundwater irrigation in this area.

II. STUDY AREA

The Jiaokou Irrigation District (34°30′7″–34°52′37″N, 109°12′40″–110°10′1″E), surrounded by Shichuan River in the west, Luo River in the east, and Wei River in the south, is located in the east of the Guanzhong Basin, Shaanxi province, China. The topography of Jiaokou Irrigation District is divided into floodplain, first terrace, and second terrace of the Wei River, loess plateau, and sand belt (Fig. 1). The climate is classified as warm temperate and semi-arid monsoon. Mean annual temperature and precipitation are 13.4 °C and 548.5 mm, respectively [10]. The groundwater flows from northwest to southeast in this area. The land use throughout majority of this area is for agricultural purpose [10], [11].

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Sampling and Analysis

Totally, 141 groundwater samples were collected in the Jiaokou Irrigation District. The sampling sites are presented in Fig. 1. The pH and TDS of groundwater samples were measured using portable devices in the field. The SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- were tested by ion chromatography, and HCO_3^- was tested by alkalinity titration. Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} were analysed by the EDTA titrimetric method. The concentrations of K^+ and Na^+ were determined by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry. To ensure accuracy in the analysis, the charge balance error (CBE) for the water samples should be controlled within the acceptable range of ± 5% [2], [4], [10], [12], [13].

$$CBE = \frac{\sum cations - \sum anions}{\sum cations + \sum anions} \times 100\% \qquad (1)$$

where, all cations and anions were expressed in meq/L.

Panpan Xu*, Qiying Zhang, and Hui Qian are with the School of Water and Environment, Chang'an University, Xi'an 710054, Shaanxi, China; and with the Key Laboratory of Subsurface Hydrology and Ecological Effects in Arid Region of the Ministry of Education, Chang'an University, Xi'an 710054, Shaanxi, China (*Corresponding Author, e-mail: panpanxu@chd.edu.cn).

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Environmental and Ecological Engineering
Vol:14, No:12, 2020

Fig. 1 Map showing the location of the study area and the distribution of sampling sites

B. Indicators for Irrigation Water Quality

Four indicators, Na, RSC, MH, and PS were selected to evaluate the groundwater for irrigation purposes. They were calculated using (2)-(5) [2].

$$Na\% = \frac{\left(Na^+ + K^+\right) \times 100}{Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + Na^+ + K^+} \qquad (2)$$

$$RSC = \left(CO_3^{2-} + HCO_3^-\right) - \left(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}\right) \qquad (3)$$

$$MH = \frac{Mg^{2+}}{Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}} \times 100 \qquad (4)$$

$$PS = Cl^- + \frac{1}{2} SO_4^{2-} \qquad (5)$$

where, all ionic concentrations are expressed in meq/L.

C. Multivariate Statistical Analysis

In this study, the Pearson's correlation analysis was used to find potential relationships among the irrigation quality indices and hydrochemical parameters of groundwater samples. Coefficient values of 0.7 and 0.3 are the threshold for strong, medium, and weak correlation [10], [14]. The hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was conducted by using a metric of Euclidean distance to classify the irrigation quality indices and hydrochemical parameters and to identify their pattern of associations. These analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (SPSS. 20).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Groundwater Hydrochemical Parameters

The geographical spatial distribution of all the groundwater parameters was obtained using a geographic information system (GIS) interpolation technique, and shown in Fig. 2. The distribution of TDS is similar to that of Cl^-, Na^+, Mg^{2+}, and SO_4^{2-}, indicating that these ions mainly determine the TDS. The contents of these components are high in the center of this area, with the low groundwater level, suggesting that the evaporation concentration plays an important role [10]. In addition, probably due to the good permeability and strong water circulation of sand, the TDS content of the groundwater in the sand belt is low (Fig. 2). The other ions also have low contents in the sandy areas. Except for the sand area in the east, the HCO_3^- content is generally high in the whole region, which may be related to widespread carbonate minerals such as calcite and dolomite.

B. Irrigation Water Quality

Too high Na% will destroy soil structure and reduce irrigation effect [2]. From Table I, Na% ranges from 13.04% to 88.09%, with a mean of 60.63%, indicating that the average level of irrigation water quality is doubtful. The water with high RSC easily leads to the deposition of sodium carbonate and makes the soil barren [15]. Table I shows that RSC has a range between -33.47 meq/L and 20.23 meq/L (mean of -1.62 meq/L), indicating that the average level of irrigation water quality is good. More Mg^{2+} in water would result in the alkaline soil, which can reduce the permeability of soil and thus adversely affect crop yields [16]. MH has a range of 31.01-91.34%, with a mean of 74.45%. This indicates overall irrigation water quality level is unsuitable. In addition, PS ranges between 0.19 and 93.63 meq/L (mean = 17.20 meq/L), suggesting that the average irrigation level of groundwater is injurious to unsatisfactory.

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Environmental and Ecological Engineering
Vol:14, No:12, 2020

Fig. 2 Maps of the spatial distribution of hydrochemical parameters in the study area

C. Multivariate Statistical Analyses

From Table II, it is seen that Na% has a moderate relationship with HCO_3^- ($R = 0.61$) and Na^+ ($R = 0.54$). RSC has a strong negative relationship with Ca^{2+} ($R = -0.74$) and Mg^{2+} ($R = -0.81$). These results can be explained by exchange and adsorption which consumes the Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} provided by the carbonate dissolution, thus producing HCO_3^- and Na^+. MH has a medium positive correlation with HCO_3^- ($R = 0.60$)

and Na^+ ($R = 0.50$), which also indicates the existence of cation exchange, especially the substitution of Ca^{2+} for Na^+. PS has a strong positive relationship with Cl^- ($R = 0.99$), and SO_4^{2-} ($R = 0.96$) also has a strong correlation with TDS ($R = 0.95$), Na^+ ($R = 0.93$), and Mg^{2+} ($R = 0.78$), indicating that TDS mainly depends on Na^+, Mg^{2+}, Cl^-, and SO_4^{2-} (Table II). These also reflect that the main hydrogeochemical processes may be dissolution of silicate minerals (K-, Na-, and Ca-

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Environmental and Ecological Engineering
Vol:14, No:12, 2020

feldspar), carbonate minerals (dolomite and calcite), gypsum, and halite, the cation exchange, as well as evaporation and concentration.

Based on the cut-off at the smallest distance (12.5) [17], the irrigation quality indices and hydrochemical parameters of groundwater samples can be divided into two main clusters in the dendrogram (Fig. 3). In cluster 1, it can be found that PS, Na^+, Mg^{2+}, Cl^-, and SO_4^{2-} are first grouped together, which verifies the conclusion of the correlation analysis, namely, the TDS is greatly influenced by the dissolution of gypsum and halite, as well as evaporation and concentration. Meanwhile, the RSC, Ca^{2+}, and K^+ are also first grouped together, suggesting the dissolution of carbonate and cation exchange. In cluster 2, it includes HCO_3^-, CO_3^{2-}, Na%, and MH, which also indicates the occurrence of carbonate dissolution and exchange and adsorption. The carbonate dissolution provides the HCO_3^-, CO_3^{2-}, Ca^{2+}, and Mg^{2+}, while Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} are consumed by cation exchange along with producing K^+ and Na^+, as well as promoting the dissolution of carbonate minerals such as calcite and dolomite.

TABLE I
THE CLASSIFICATIONS OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY FOR IRRIGATION IN JIAOKOU IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Indicator	Unit	Range	Water quality	Maximum	Minimum	Mean
Na%	%	<20	Excellent	88.09	13.04	60.63
		20-40	Good			
		40-60	Permissible			
		60-80	Doubtful			
		>80	Unsuitable			
RSC	meq/L	<1.25	Good	20.23	-33.47	-1.62
		1.25-2.50	Doubtful			
		>2.50	Unsuitable			
MH	%	<50	Suitable	91.34	31.01	74.45
		>50	Unsuitable			
PS	meq/L	<3.0	Excellent to good	93.63	0.19	17.20
		3.0-5.0	Good to injurious			
		>5.0	Injurious to unsatisfactory			

TABLE II
PEARSON'S CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS OF IRRIGATION QUALITY INDICES AND HYDROCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN JIAOKOU IRRIGATION DISTRICT

R	Na%	RSC	MH	PS	K^+	Na^+	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	CO_3^{2-}	HCO_3^-	Cl^-	SO_4^{2-}
Na%	1											
RSC	0.42**	1										
MH	0.64**	0.09	1									
PS	0.30**	-0.62**	0.35**	1								
K^+	-0.04	-0.31**	-0.14	0.22**	1							
Na^+	0.54**	-0.33**	0.50**	0.93**	0.12	1						
Ca^{2+}	-0.42**	-0.74**	-0.44**	0.38**	0.57**	0.14	1					
Mg^{2+}	-0.02	-0.81**	0.41**	0.78**	0.22**	0.64**	0.49**	1				
CO_3^{2-}	0.43**	0.25**	0.37**	0.13	0.11	0.29**	-0.07	0.13	1			
HCO_3^-	0.61**	0.31**	0.60**	0.21*	0.07	0.45**	-0.15	0.26**	0.59**	1		
Cl^-	0.27**	-0.63**	0.35**	0.99**	0.25**	0.89**	0.40**	0.79**	0.13	0.22**	1	
SO_4^{2-}	0.30**	-0.57**	0.33**	0.96**	0.16	0.92**	0.33**	-0.72**	0.13	0.18*	0.90**	1

Notes: R is the Pearson's correlation coefficient; ** Significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); * Significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).


Fig. 3 Dendrogram of the clusters for irrigation quality indices and hydrochemical parameters of groundwater samples

V. CONCLUSIONS

To reveal the water quality status for irrigation purpose, to explore the relationship between irrigation indicators and hydrogeochemical parameters of groundwater and to disclose the underlying hydrogeochemical processes, this study provides an investigation of groundwater of Jiaokou Irrigation District, using the multivariate statistical analysis methods. The geographical spatial distribution of TDS is similar to that Cl^-, Na^+, Mg^{2+}, and SO_4^{2-}, indicating the content of TDS mainly depends on these ions. The HCO_3^- content is generally high except for the eastern sand area, which may be related to widespread carbonate minerals. Based on the Na%, RSC, MH, and PS, the average irrigation level is doubtful, good, unsuitable, and injurious to unsatisfactory, respectively. The evaluation results are not completely consistent, mainly due to the influence of complex hydrogeochemical processes, including the dissolution of carbonate minerals (dolomite and calcite), gypsum, halite, and silicate minerals, the cation exchange, as well as evaporation and concentration. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the indexes

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Environmental and Ecological Engineering
Vol:14, No:12, 2020

comprehensively to evaluate the irrigation water quality reasonably.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Adimalla, and H. Qian, "Introductory Editorial Special Issue: "Groundwater quality and contamination and the application of GIS"," Environ. Earth Sci., 2020, vol. 79: 420.

[2] P.P. Xu, W.W. Feng, H. Qian, and Q.Y. Zhang, "Hydrogeochemical Characterization and Irrigation Quality Assessment of Shallow Groundwater in the Central-Western Guanzhong Basin, China," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2019, vol. 16, 1492.

[3] P. Tahmasebi, M.H. Mahmudy-Gharaie, F. Ghassemzadeh, and A.K. Karouyeh, "Assessment of groundwater suitability for irrigation in a gold mine surrounding area, NE Iran," Environ. Earth Sci., 2018, vol. 77: 766.

[4] J. Chen, Q. Huang, Y. Lin, Y. Fang, H. Qian, R. Liu, and H. Ma, "Hydrogeochemical Characteristics and Quality Assessment of Groundwater in an Irrigated Region, Northwest China," Water, 2019, vol. 11: 96.

[5] S.K. Khanoranga, "An assessment of groundwater quality for irrigation and drinking purposes around brick kilns in three districts of Balochistan province, Pakistan, through water quality index and multivariate statistical approaches," J. Geochem. Explor., 2018, vol. 11: 007.

[6] X. D. Zhang, H. Qian, J. Chen, and L. Qiao, "Assessment of groundwater chemistry and status in a heavily used semi-arid region with multivariate statistical analysis," Water, 2014, vol. 6(8), pp. 2212-2232.

[7] P.P. Xu, M.N. Li, H. Qian, Q.Y. Zhang, F.X. Liu, and K. Hou, "Hydrochemistry and geothermometry of geothermal water in the central Guanzhong Basin, China: a case study in Xi'an," Environ. Earth Sci., 2019, vol. 78: 87.

[8] J. H. Wu, P. Y. Li, H. Qian, Z. Duan, and X.D. Zhang, " Using correlation and multivariate statistical analysis to identify hydrogeochemical processes affecting the major ion chemistry of waters: a case study in Laoheba phosphorite mine in Sichuan, China," Arab J Geosci., 2014, vol. 7, pp. 3973-3982.

[9] P.P. Xu, Q.Y. Zhang, H. Qian, M.N. Li, and K. Hou, "Characterization of geothermal water in the piedmont region of Qinling Mountains and Lantian-Bahe Group in Guanzhong Basin, China," Environ. Earth Sci. 2019, vol. 78: 442.

[10] Q.Y. Zhang, P.P. Xu, H. Qian, and F.X. Yang, "Hydrogeochemistry and fluoride contamination in Jiaokou Irrigation District, Central China: Assessment based on multivariate statistical approach and human health risk," Sci. Total Environ., 2020, vol. 741: 140460.

[11] X. Yi, and P. Li, "Safely buried depth for protection of groundwater from pollution of Cr and As at Jiaokou irrigation area in Shaanxi Province," Journal of Agro-Environment Science, 2005, vol. 24(2), pp. 333-336.

[12] Q.Y. Zhang, P.P. Xu, and H. Qian, "Assessmentofgroundwater qualityand human health risk (HHR) evaluation of nitrate in the Central-Western Guanzhong Basin, China," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2019, vol. 16 (21): 16.

[13] Q.Y. Zhang, P.P. Xu, and H. Qian, "Groundwater Quality Assessment Using Improved Water Quality Index (WQI) and Human Health Risk (HHR) Evaluation in a Semi arid Region of Northwest China," Expo Health, 2020, vol. 12, pp. 487-500.

[14] C.P. Emenike, I.T. Tenebe, and P. Jarvis, "Fluoride contamination in groundwater sources in southwestern Nigeria: assessment using multivariate statistical approach and human health risk," Ecotox. Environ. Safe., 2018, vol. 156, pp. 391-402.

[15] P. Li, Y. Zhang, N. Yang, L. Jing, and P. Yu, "Major ion chemistry and quality assessment of groundwater in and around a mountainous tourist town of China," Expo. Health, 2016, vol. 8, pp. 239-252.

[16] D.M. Joshi, A. Kumar, and N. Agrawal, "Assessment of the irrigation water quality of river Ganga in Haridwar district," Rasayan J Chem. 2009, vol. 2(2), pp. 285-292.

[17] R.W. Bosquilia, C.M. Neale, S.N. Duarte, S.J. Longhi, S.F.d.B. Ferraz, and F.E. Muller-Karger, "Evaluation of evapotranspiration variations according to soil type using multivariate statistical analysis," Geoderma, 2019, vol. 355: 113906.