Observatory of Sustainability of the Algarve Region for Tourism: Proposal for Environmental and Sociocultural Indicators

Miguel José Oliveira, Fátima Farinha, Elisa M. J. da Silva, Rui Lança, Manuel Duarte Pinheiro, Cátia Miguel

Abstract-The Observatory of Sustainability of the Algarve Region for Tourism (OBSERVE) will be a valuable tool to assess the sustainability of this region. The OBSERVE tool is designed to provide data and maintain an up-to-date, consistent set of indicators defined to describe the region on the environmental, sociocultural, economic and institutional domains. This ongoing two-year project has the active participation of the Algarve's stakeholders, since they were consulted and asked to participate in the discussion for the indicators proposal. The environmental and sociocultural indicators chosen must indicate the characteristics of the region and should be in alignment with other global systems used to monitor the paper presents a review of sustainability sustainability. This indicators systems that support the first proposal for the environmental and sociocultural indicators. Others constraints are discussed, namely the existing data and the data available in digital platforms in a format suitable for automatic importation to the platform of OBSERVE. It is intended that OBSERVE will be a valuable tool to assess the sustainability of the region of Algarve.

Keywords—Sustainability, observatory, environmental indicators, sociocultural indicators, development, tourism, Algarve.

I. INTRODUCTION

TOURISM has important impacts on society, the economy and environment. It also has a great potential to make progress across the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) [1].

Tourism destinations should promote their activities in a context of sustainable development. And this is a challenge to be taken into account in all activities [2].

In Portugal, tourism receipts achieved, in 2018, a value of 8.2% of per capita GDP and 328,500 employees. The Algarve is the most significant touristic region in Portugal and is responsible by a share of the overnights of 34%. The Algarve region covers 6% of Portugal's total area with an approximated 5000 km², being bathed by the Atlantic Ocean on West and South. The proximity of the Mediterranean Sea greatly influences the Algarve climate, considered as moderate. Due to these characteristics, the Algarve region is a well-known touristic destination, with good perspectives of growth. However, tourism has a major impact on the natural and built environment, as well as on the culture and wellbeing

Miguel José Oliveira is with the University of Algarve, Portugal (corresponding author, phone: 00351 289800124; e-mail: mjolivei@ ualg.pt). Fátima Farinha, Elisa M. J. da Silva and Rui Lança are with the University of population. Consequently, the sustainable development of the region is a crucial aspect for the future [3].

To ensure the sustainability of a region, there is a need for policies and plans, with the direct participation of stakeholders, involving, public administration, service providers, local communities, local citizens and tourists. Its evaluation must be continuously assessed with a basis on indicators [4].

This paper presents a brief description of the OBSERVE project (Section II), a literature review of sustainable indicators frameworks applied to tourism (Section III), the OBSERVE indicators proposal (Section IV) and the conclusions (Section V). The complementary information for environmental and sociocultural indicators is presented in Appendix.

II. OBSERVE PROJECT

OBSERVE [5], is an instrument to monitor and evaluate the sustainability levels of the region of Algarve for tourism. Its main goal is to provide environmental, economic, social-cultural and institutional indicators to support the decision-making process for the sustainable growth of the region.

This project has nine operational goals, directly indexed to the activities presented in the work plan:

- 1. To make more efficient and easy the process of systematizing and exchanging data on sustainable development and its implications on tourism.
- 2. To provide a broad base of sustainable development indicators relevant to the region, including environmental, economic, socio-cultural and institutional.
- 3. To support and observe sustainable development strategies of the region and the tourist activity.
- 4. To provide a decision support instrument.
- 5. To communicate technical information in an objective and reliable way.
- 6. To improve communication between stakeholders.
- 7. To evaluate the integration of sustainability in the different sectors of the tourism activity.
- 8. To involve actively all stakeholders in the assessment and reporting of sustainability.
- 9. To create a channel for the dissemination of information related to sustainability and tourism activity, aiming for the destination promotion (region of Algarve).

The OBSERVE platform is being designed to be dynamic, interactive and to be able to self-update data. It will have modules designed to interact with social platforms, like

of Algarve, Portugal. Manuel Duarte Pinheiro and Cátia Miguel authors, are with IST,

Manuel Duarte Pinneiro and Catia Miguel authors, are with IS1, University of Lisbon, Portugal.

Facebook and Twitter; to manage and send periodic newsletters to the stakeholders; to validate data and detect system faults; to link to data sources so that indicators can be automatically self-updated.

To develop this project, the University of Algarve has a research team that incorporates human resources with high competence in several areas of knowledge and with consolidated experience in the areas of intervention. This twoyear project seeks the widest possible participation from central and local administrations, universities and research centers, as well as, enterprises, associations, and tourists and citizens, aiming to add value for the stakeholders and for the region.

OBSERVE is intended to be an instrument of major importance for the future, as it reflects the reality of the region and supports the decision-making process, in order to improve environmental quality, social equity, economic efficiency and increase the public awareness and citizen participation.

III. SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS

Sustainability indicators are the new-born tool among all the others tools used to measure sustainability [6], [7]. Until now, there is no consensus among researchers regarding a universal list of indicators, which could be capable of revealing the sustainability level of the various touristic destinations [8], [9].

The number of indicators to assess the sustainability of tourism still remains imprecise [10]. For the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) [4], 12 to 24 indicators are accepted to be optimal, while Sors [11] argues that 20 to 50 indicators are quite enough.

In Europe, in 2013, the European Commission launched ETIS [12]. This system was created for monitoring and measuring the sustainable tourism performance of destinations is an important management tool. It is based on 27 main indicators and 40 possible extra indicators.

Indicators are used as performance markers, which tourists, residents, governmental agencies, tourism operators and stakeholders in general, use to deal with the pressure impose to the systems and to manage the sustainable development of the touristic regions.

According to UNWTO [4], indicators should be selected based upon relevance and feasibility, according to sustainability development targets, pointed out by national and international organizations.

A relevant system for the region of Algarve was SIDS Algarve (System of Indicators of Sustainable Development) [13]. The SIDS project stopped to update information in 2007, and, nowadays, is not an operational system. Unfortunately, it depended on information collected by different entities, which does not ensure the feeding of data continuity.

In Portugal, there are different entities, such as those listed below, that regularly collect data, and in some cases provide indicators:

- "Instituto Nacional de Estatística" (INE National Statistical Institute of Portugal) [14].
- "Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente" (APA Portuguese Environmental Agency) [15].
- "Comissão de Coordenação e Desenvolvimento Regional do Algarve" (CCDR-Alg - Algarve Commission of Coordination and Regional Development) [16].
- "Aeroportos de Portugal" (ANA Airport Authority of Portugal) [17].
- "Infraestruturas de Portugal" (IP Infrastructures of Portugal) [18].

"Entidade Reguladora de Águas e Resíduos" (ERSAR -Water and Waste Services Regulation Authority) [19].

"Instituto de Conservação da Natureza e Florestas" (ICNF -Institute for Nature Conservation and Forests) [20].

A. Indicators Proposal

As previously mentioned, the OBSERVE project seeks to provide indicators in four domains. However, in this paper, only the environmental and sociocultural indicators are explained.

The indicators are used to assess the effects of tourism on the sustainable development of the region of Algarve.

The indicators content and the methodology for data collection are extremely relevant for the management and regulation of the touristic activities.

The indicators adopted in OBSERVE were obtained from currently available data, preferably with a correspondence to national and international standard indicators, to which, it is possible to compare and analyze the results.

A detailed review of international and Portuguese framework indicators was initially performed, including, Algarve's Sustainable Development Indicators (SIDS Algarve) [4], UNWTO [5], European Tourism Indicator System (ETIS) [6], Models of Integrated Tourism Indicator System (ETIS) [6], Models of Integrated Tourism in the Mediterranean Plus (MITOMED +) [7], Portugal Tourism Travel BI [8], Croatian Sustainable Tourism Observatory (CROSTO) [9] and the Portuguese National Statistical Institute (INE) [10].

B. Environmental and Sociocultural Indicators

The initial environmental and sociocultural indicators, proposed for OBSERVE are presented, respectively, in Tables I and II. They resulted from the analysis of the information presented in another framework (Appendix I).

IV. DISCUSSION

This first approach will suffer a reduction after the discussion with the most relevant stakeholders of the Algarve region. Nevertheless, some of the following recommendations shall be taken into account.

- T A	DI	· F	т
14	ы	•	
1 4 3			

ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS (INITIAL OBSERVE PROPOSAL)

a 1 5 ·		Environmental indicators (initial Objert veltroposal)	
Sub-Domain	No.	Indicator	Data Availability
Climata and	A01	Average air temperature	*
	A03	Temperature extremes	*
climate change	A02	Average precipitation (max and min)	*
	A04	N° Beaches and marinas with blue flag	*
	A12	Municipal expenses in Environment per 1000 inhabitants	*
	A12	Constine systems	**
	A07	Coastine evolution	
Environment	A06	N ^o Bathing waters and quality classes	*
management	A10	% Establishment with certifications	***
management	A05	Bathing season duration	*
	A11	Environment expenses	*
	A08	Coastal management measures	**
	A00	% Establishments providing environmental training to employees	***
Center	A09	76 Establishinents proving environmental training to employees	***
Carbon	AIS	Carbon to tprint	
management	A14	GEE emissions	**
	A20	N° Embarked and Disembarked Passengers (Faro Airport)	*
	A26	Daily traffic on A22 and EN125	**
	A16	Cycle routes or Cycle Infrastructure	**
	A25	N° and location of charging stations for electric vehicles	**
	120	N ⁰ Descengers kilometer corried by enterprises exploring island transportation	*
	A21	A Tassengers-knoneer carned by elements exploring infand transportation	*
Mobility	AZI	N ⁻ Passengers boarded at airports	*
	A19	N ^o Rail passengers disembarked per inhabitant	*
	A18	N° Number of passengers per month of rail transport	**
	A23	Nº Passenger movement per port	*
	A24	Movement of goods (t) in ports	*
	A15	% Tourists using different means of transport	**
	A17	Estimation of the monthly number of years in autoing routes	**
	A1/	Estimation of the monthly mander of a sets in evening forces	*
	A28	Electricity consumption per inhabitant	*
	A30	Q% Gross Electricity Production	*
	A27	Direct energy consumption	**
г	A29	Power consumption (kWh)	*
Energy	A34	Emissions (direct energy)	**
management	Δ33	% Use of energy efficiency measures	***
	A 2 1	06 Establishmatic with low consumption systems	***
	A31	% Establishments with low consumption systems	***
	A32	% Establishments with energy reduction objectives	***
	A35	Emissions (electricity consumption)	**
	A39	% Safe water	*
	A47	Quality indicators of the wastewater sanitation service	*
	A40	Water consumption per inhabitant	*
	A36	% Establishments that ontimize water consumption	***
	A 43	% Establishing that recorded water	***
Water Cruele	A43	0/ Water exectful and even a sublity	***
water Cycle	A38	% water controlled and good quality	
management	A44	% Wastewater treated	**
	A41	% Population served	*
	A37	% Establishments with consumption reduction objectives	***
	A45	Volume of wastewater treated	**
	A46	% Lodging served by sewage drainage	*
	A42	% Use of water-efficient measures	***
	A/0	% Urban waste prepared for rause and recycling	*
	A 5 2	96 Groat water prepared to include and recycling	***
	A33	% Establishments that make waste separation	
Materials and	A50	% Urban waste collected selectively	*
waste	A52	Urban waste selectively collected per inhabitant	*
management	A48	Urban waste collected	*
-	A54	% Establishments with environmental criteria	***
	A51	Urban waste collected per inhabitant	*
	A 5 5	Burnt area	*
	A 6 1	Investments on protection of high interior and landscenes of municipalities	*
	A01	investments on protection of biodiversity and fandscapes of inducepanties	
	A57	% I ourism companies that support actions for the protection, conservation and management of biodiversity and	***
Natural capital		landscape	
management	A60	Land use (vegetation)	**
	A59	N ^o Endangered species and priority habitats	**
	A58	Invasive Species vs. Autochthonous Species	**
	A56	% Forest cover	**
Territory	462	N ^o Green craphic use	**
monocom	A02		**
management	A65	% reconstructed total area	
	A64	Air Quality Index	*
Air quality and	A67	Levels of population exposed to noise	*
noise	A66	Air Quality: Particles < 2.5 ug	*
	A65	Air Quality: Particles < 10 ug	*

Open Science Index, Humanities and Social Sciences Vol:13, No:9, 2019 publications.waset.org/10010749.pdf

-

-

Data availability: *Available data; ** Requires protocol or advanced calculation; *** Information does not exist

Sub-Domain	No	Indicator	Data Availability
Suo Domani	S01	% Tourist satisfaction	***
Tourist satisfaction	S02	Tourists who repeat their visit to Portugal	***
	S03	% Satisfaction of inhabitants with tourism	***
Resident satisfaction	S04	% Satisfaction of inhabitants with impacts of tourism	***
Wellness in destination	S05	Units classification (booking and tripadvisor)	**
	S06	Tourist Intensity	**
	S09	Tourist Density	**
Pressure	S07	Nº Tourist beds per 100 inhabitants	*
	S08	Lodging capacity in hotel establishments by 1000 inhabitants	*
	S10	Occupancy rate	**
	S12	Nº Accessible beaches	*
ACCESSIBILITY	S11	% Accessible rooms	**
	S13	Nº Events that promote local culture	**
	S19	Expenditures on cultural heritage of municipalities	*
	S18	Nº Cultural properties	*
Celterre	S20	Expenditures on cultural heritage of municipalities	*
Culture	S14	Nº Zoos, botanical gardens and aquariums	*
	S15	Nº Museums	*
	S17	Nº Visitors of museums	*
	S16	Nº Visitors of zoos, botanical gardens and aquariums	*
Education	S21	Population aged 15 and over by level of schooling	*
	S22	Nº Hospital beds	*
Haalth aara	S23	Nº Personnel employed in universal access hospitals	*
ricalui care	S24	Nº Pharmacies per 1000 inhabitants	*
	S25	Nº Pharmacies	*
Safata	S26	Crime rate	*
Salety	S27	Nº Registered crimes	*
	S28	Regional development composite index (Cohesion)	*
	S33	Nº Secondary Houses per 100 Houses	*
Social achagian	S30	Beneficiaries of the social integration income	*
Social conesion	S32	Nº Personnel employed in hotel establishments	*
	S29	% Beneficiaries of guaranteed minimum income and social integration income	*
	S31	Social Security disability subsidy allowance	*
	S34	Resident population	*
DEMOGRAPHY	S35	Annual population growth: total, natural and migratory	*
	S36	Foreign population with status of residence	*

 TABLE II

 SOCIOCULTURAL INDICATORS (INITIAL OBSERVE PROPOSAL)

Data availability: *Available data; ** Requires protocol or advanced calculation; *** Information does not exist

- Information access and API: The information availability is a crucial aspect. The OBSERVE team greatly learned from the example of a previous project (SIDS Algarve). Although it contained very relevant information, the project ended because it became obsolete; it only contained information until 2007 [13], due to the impossibility of guaranteeing updated data over the years The SIDS team considers essential to guarantee automatic access to sources of data through an API (Application Programming Interface), or at least for a substantial part of the indicators.
- 2. Repository of information and data analysis: OBSERVE is a repository of available information. It also should include potential benchmarks, references and data analysis. In addition, sectorial meetings will seek to identify possible new sources of information and, if necessary, protocols will be developed to ensure the regular provision of such information.
- 3. Competitiveness: Competitiveness is an essential issue of

the project. OBSERVE has to contribute to the competitiveness of the region and to the stakeholders, as well as to provide a significant added value. Actually, it is not possible to be competitive without being sustainable. OBSERVE will allow to identify trends and compare the relative performance of other regions in environmental, social, economic and institutional domains. In addition, it will allow to think about the sustainability of the Algarve region in an integrated way.

V.CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents an extended review of systems of sustainability indicators and reports the first approach of environmental and sociocultural indicators for the OBSERVE.

The data availability and the ability to self-update of the information are essential to assure the future of the platform. This will require access to information through APIs for a substantial number of indicators and is considered in the

selection of the indicators. The expected organized information is useful to relevant stakeholders of the Algarve tourism region. As an example for competitiveness, some

aspects are highlighted, namely: Understand regional trends and the compare it to other regions; spatial differentiation and the domains that need (or could) be improved.

APPENDIX

TABLE III International and Portuguese Framework Indicators											
		INTERNATIONAL AND	DIORIC	GOEDE I RAME	II	ndicators Sy	stems				
	Subdomain	Indicator	Travel BI	MITOMED+	MITOMED	CROSTO	SIDS Algarve 2007	UNWTO Baleares	ETIS	ETE	Other
		Percentage of tourists and same day visitors using different modes of transport to arrive at the destination	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Carbon footprint Percentage of tourists and same day	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark		
	Mobility	visitors using local/soft mobility/public transport services to get around the destination Airport passenger arrivals and	I						\checkmark	\checkmark	
		departures Harbor passenger arrivals and	N								
		departures Km of cycling routes (versus km's of	N	,							
		roads)									
Environmental		low consumption systems	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark		
		that take actions to reduce energy	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
		Percentage of use of energy efficiency measures	\checkmark						\checkmark		
		Electricity consumption	\checkmark								
	Energy	Emissions (direct energy)	\checkmark								
		Emissions (electricity consumption)	\checkmark					\checkmark			
		Production of energy from renewable sources					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Energy Intensity					\checkmark	\checkmark			
		Percentage of electric energy consumed by renewable sources (%)		\checkmark	\checkmark						
		Energy consumption (KWh) per person per day		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Emissions of atmospheric pollutants					\checkmark				
		Emissions of Greenhouse Gases					\checkmark				
	Climate and Climate	Temperature (maximum and					\checkmark				
	Change	Precipitation					\checkmark				
		Percentage of days when the NO√ threshold is trespassed (%)		\checkmark	\checkmark						
		Percentage of establishments that optimize water consumption	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Percentage of tourism enterprises using recycled water	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Percentage of tourism enterprises taking actions to reduce water						\checkmark	\checkmark		
Environmental		consumption Percentage of controlled water and	.1	.1	.1			.1			
	Water Cycle and Marine	good quality Percentage of use of water efficiency	N	N	N			N	,		
	Environment	measures	N						V		
	wanagement	the number of beaches as that part of	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Percentage of excellent and good	\checkmark								
		Quality of the aquatic system in					\checkmark				
		Percentage of bathing water quality in the interior									\checkmark

			Indicators Systems									
	Subdomain	Indicator	Travel BI	MITOMED+	MITOMED	CROSTO	SIDS Algarve 2007	UNWTO Baleares	ETIS	ETE	Other	
		Quarterly consumption by										
		Quality of surface and groundwater					\checkmark					
		Percentage of safe water per										
		municipality Percentage of population served by										
		public water supply systems Percentage of compliance with the license discharge					V					
		Percentage of population served by					\checkmark					
		Wastewater treatment plants Percentage of wastewater treated				\checkmark	\checkmark					
	Water Cycle	Monthly values of the water volume				·	,					
	and Marine	ratio stored in the reservoir/storage					\checkmark					
	Management	Coastline evolution										
		Coastal management measures					\checkmark					
		Water consumption in liters per person per day		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark			
		Number of pollution in seawater per 100 ml		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark			
		Number of berths and moorings for recreational boating in relation to		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark			
		total length of coastline		N	N							
		Percentage of sand nourished (%)		V	V			\checkmark				
	Territory	Use of land: area of developed and										
	Management	building land in relation to land			\checkmark			\checkmark				
		Percentage of the area of the										
	Territory	tourism strategy/action plan, with		1	1				1	1		
	Management	agreed monitoring, development control and evaluation arrangement		V	V				N	N		
		(%) Fauna and flora species threatened					\checkmark					
		Management and nature										
		conservation actions					N	N				
	Natara 1	tourism sector actively supporting										
	Capital	protection, conservation, and	\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		
	Management	and landscapes.										
		Percentage of the destination area		\checkmark	\checkmark							
		that is designated for protection (%) Percentage of the destination area										
Environmental		under a biodiversity protection plan (%)		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark		
		Percentage of tourism enterprises separating different types of waste	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
	Waste	Solid urban waste produced by		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark				
	Management	destination Waste production per person				V						
		Volume of solid urban waste		al	al	v			,			
		recycled	I	v	N		N					
		Environmental expenditure Percentage of tourism enterprises in	N									
	Environment	the destination using a voluntary										
	and Economy	verified certification/labeling for	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
		and/or CSR measures (%)										
	Environment	Percentage of establishments with	./									
	and Economy	acquisition of goods and services	'N									

			Indicators Systems									
	Subdomain	Indicator	Travel BI	MITOMED+	MITOMED	CROSTO	SIDS Algarve 2007	UNWTO Baleares	ETIS	ETE	Other	
	Education	Percentage of establishments that provide environmental training to employees	\checkmark									
		Personnel employed	\checkmark			\checkmark	.1	\checkmark		.1		
	Employment	Employment rate					N	N		N		
	1 2	Percentage of jobs in tourism that are	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		,		\checkmark			
		Percentage of men and women	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Average wage in tourism for women compared to men's employment		\checkmark	\checkmark							
		accommodation establishments participating in recognized		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
	Social	Percentage of tourism enterprises where the general manager position is held by a woman		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark			
	Cohesion	Percentage of beaches accessible to all Percentage of tourist attractions that	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark			
		are accessible to people with disabilities and/or participating in recognized accessibility schemes (%)		\checkmark						\checkmark		
		Percentage of coastline km of free access beaches relative to total km of beaches (%)		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark			
		Percentage of public transport that is accessible to people with disabilities and with specific access							\checkmark	\checkmark		
Sociocultural	Social cohesion	Number of second homes per 100 homes		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark			
		the local community	\checkmark							\checkmark		
	Safety	complaint with the police Number of museum visitors						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	
		Percentage of the destination's events that are focused on traditional/local culture and heritage Number of cultural sites and					\checkmark		\checkmark			
	Culture	practices considered at risk according to UNESCO WHS list/total number of cultural resources		\checkmark								
		Proportion of cultural sites and practices under some protection label related to the total number of cultural		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Visitors satisfaction	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark				
		Percentage of repeat/return visitors Percentage of residents that are	ا			.1			N	V		
	Wellness in Destination	on the destination's identity Number of beds available in	N			N			N.			
		commercial visitor accommodation per 100 inhabitants		\checkmark	\checkmark			2	\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Tourist density	v					v				
		Occupancy rate in commercial		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark		
	Wellness in Destination	Percentage of rooms in commercial accommodation establishments accessible for people with disabilities	\checkmark						\checkmark			

				Iı	ndicators Sv	stems				
Subdomain	Indicator	Travel BI	MITOMED+	MITOMED	CROSTO	SIDS Algarve 2007	UNWTO Baleares	ETIS	ETE	Other
	Number of overnight stays	\checkmark					\checkmark			
	Percentage of accommodation establishments open all year	\checkmark								

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The project OBSERVE is funded by SIAC Algarve PORTUGAL 2020, contract n. ALG-01-0246-FEDER-027503.

REFERENCES

- UNWTO; UNDP. Tourism and the Sustainable Development Goals— Journey to 2030; UNWTO: Madrid, Spain, 2017; ISBN 978-92-844-1939-5.
- [2] Higgins-Desbiolles, F. Sustainable tourism: Sustaining tourism or something more? Tour. Manag. Perspect. 2018, 25, 157–160, doi: 10.1016/J.TMP.2017.11.017.
- [3] Região de Turismo do Algarve, Plano de marketing estratégico para o turismo do algarve 2015-2018, Faro, pp. 160–291, 2014.
- World Tourism Organization, Indicators of Sustainable Development for Tourism Destinations: A Guidebook, ISBN 92-844-0726-5, Madrid, pp. 8–10, 2004.
- [5] OBSERVE Project, https://observe.pt/en/, accessed online on January 15, 2019.
- [6] Ko, T. (2005). Development of a tourism sustainability assessment procedure: A conceptual approach. Tourism Management, 26 (3),431– 445.
- [7] Mowforth, M., & Munt, I. (2003). Tourism and sustainability: New tourism in the third World. London: Taylor & Francis.
- [8] Cernat, L., & Gourdon, J. (2012). Paths to success: Benchmarking crosscountry sustainable tourism. Tourism Management, 33,1044–1056.
- [9] Fernández, J., & Rivero, M. (2009). Measuring tourism sustainability: Proposal for a composite index. Tourism Economics, 15(2),277–296.
- [10] Cernat, L.; Gourdon, J. Paths to success: Benchmarking cross-country sustainable tourism. Tour. Manag. 2012, 33, 1044–1056.
- [11] Catherine Sors, J. Measuring Progress towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches. SSRN Electron. J. 2001, doi:10.2139/ssrn.275133.
- [12] EC. The European Tourism Indicator System. ETIS Toolkit for Sustainable Destination Management; EC: St Julian's, Malta, 2016.
- [13] CCDR-Alg; UAlg SIDS. Algarve—Sistema de Indicadores de Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Algarve. Available online: https://web.ccdr-alg.pt/sids/indweb/index.asp?idp=1 (accessed on 18 February 2019).
- [14] Instituto Nacional de Estatística, Online, https://www.ine.pt. Accessed on: 18 February 2019.
- [15] Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente, Online, https://www.apambiente.pt/. 18 February 2019.
- [16] Comissão de coordenação e desenvolvimento regional do Algarve, Online, https://ccdr-alg.pt/site/. Accessed on: 18 February 2019.
- [17] Aeroportos de Portugal, Online, https://www.ana.pt/. Accessed on: 18 February 2019.
- [18] Infraestruturas de Portugal, Online, http://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/. Accessed on: 18 February 2019.
- [19] Entidade reguladora dos serviços de águas e resíduos, Online, http://www.ersar.pt/pt. Accessed on: 18 February 2019.
- [20] Instituto da conservação da natureza e das florestas, Online, http://www.icnf.pt/. Accessed on: 18 February 2019.