

# Generalized Fuzzy Subalgebras and Fuzzy Ideals of BCI-Algebras with Operators

Yuli Hu, Shaoquan Sun

**Abstract**—The aim of this paper is to introduce the concepts of generalized fuzzy subalgebras, generalized fuzzy ideals and generalized fuzzy quotient algebras of BCI-algebras with operators, and to investigate their basic properties.

**Keywords**—BCI-algebras with operators, generalized fuzzy subalgebras, generalized fuzzy ideals, generalized fuzzy quotient algebras.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE fuzzy set is a generalization of the classical set. After the introduction of fuzzy sets, there have been a number of generalizations of this fundamental concept, especially, in the branches of mathematics. Imai and Iseki [1], [2] introduced the concept of BCK/BCI-algebras, which are generalizations of BCK-algebras. In 1980, Ming et al. [13] introduced the neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point.

In 1991, Xi [3] applied the fuzzy sets to BCK-algebras; fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras have been widely researched. Meng et al. [4] introduced the concept of fuzzy implicative ideals of BCK-algebras in 1997. Liu and Meng [6], [7] introduced the notions of fuzzy positive implicative ideals and fuzzy implicative ideals of BCI-algebras. Zheng [5] defined operators in BCK-algebras and raised the concept of BCI-algebras with operators and gave some isomorphism theorems of it. In 2002, Liu [8] introduced the concept of the fuzzy quotient algebras of BCI-algebras. In 2004, Jun [9] introduced the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras and established the characterizations of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideals. In 2006, Liao et al. [11] introduced the  $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy normal subgroup.

In 2009, Jun et al. [12] introduced the concept of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -ideals of BCI-algebras. In 2011, Liu and Sun [10] introduced the concept of generalized fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebra and investigate some basic properties. In 2017, Hu et al. [14] introduced the fuzzy subalgebras and fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras with operators.

In this paper, we give the notions of generalized fuzzy subalgebras, generalized fuzzy ideals and generalized fuzzy quotient algebras of BCI-algebras with operators, in particular, discuss the basic properties of generalized fuzzy BCI-algebras

Yuli Hu is with the College of Mathematics and Physics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, China (phone: 178-06259520; e-mail: 1198260194@qq.com).

Shaoquan Sun is with the College of Mathematics and Physics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, China (phone: 185-61681686; e-mail: qdsunsaoquan@163.com).

with operators and give several results about it.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some definitions and propositions which may be needed.

An algebra  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  of type (2,0) is called a BCI-algebra, if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ;
2.  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ;
3.  $x * x = 0$ ;
4.  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ .

We can define  $x * y = 0$  if and only if  $x \leq y$ , then the above conditions can be written as:

1.  $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y$ ;
2.  $x * (x * y) \leq y$ ;
3.  $x \leq x$ ;
4.  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ .

If a BCI-algebra satisfies  $0 * x = 0$ , then it is called a BCK-algebra.

**Definition 1.** [5]  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  is a BCI-algebra,  $M$  is a non-empty set, if there exists a mapping  $(m, x) \rightarrow mx$  from  $M \times X$  to  $X$  which satisfies  $m(x * y) = (mx) * (my)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X, m \in M$ . then  $M$  is called a left operator of  $X$ ,  $X$  is called a BCI-algebra with left operator  $M$ , or  $M$ -BCI-algebra for short.

**Definition 2.** [13]  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  is a BCI-algebra, a fuzzy subset  $A$  of  $X$  of the form

$$A(y) = \begin{cases} t (\neq 0), & y = x, \\ 0, & y \neq x, \end{cases}$$

is said to be a fuzzy point with support  $x$  and value  $t$ , and is denoted by  $x_t$ .

**Proposition 1.** [10] Let  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  be a BCI-algebra, if  $A$  is a fuzzy generalized ideal of it, and  $x * y \leq z$ , then

$$A(x) \vee \lambda \geq A(y) \wedge A(z) \wedge \mu, x, y, z \in X.$$

**Definition 3.** [5] Let  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{X}; *, 0 \rangle$  be two  $M$ -BCI-algebras, if  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  to  $\langle \bar{X}; *, 0 \rangle$ , and  $f(mx) = mf(x)$  for all  $x \in X, m \in M$ , then  $f$  is called a homomorphism with operators.

**Definition 4.** If  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  is a BCI-algebra,  $A$  is a non-empty subset of  $X$ , and  $mx \in A$  for all  $x \in A, m \in M$ , then  $\langle A; *, 0 \rangle$  is called an  $M$ -subalgebra of  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ .

In the following parts,  $X$  always means a  $M$ -BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified.

### III. GENERALIZED FUZZY SUBALGEBRAS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS

**Definition 5.**  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  is a BCI-algebra, let  $A$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$ ,  $t, \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda < \mu$ . if  $A(x) \geq t$ , we denoted  $x_t \in A$ ; if  $t > \lambda$  and  $A(x) + t > 2\mu$ , we denoted  $x_t q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ ; if  $x_t \in A$  or  $x_t q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , we denoted  $x_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ .

**Definition 6.**  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  is an  $M$ -BCI-algebra, let  $A$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$ , if it satisfies:

- $x_t \in A$  and  $y_r \in A$  implies  $(x * y)_{t \wedge r} \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A, \forall x, y \in X, t, r \in [0, 1]$ ;
- $x_t \in A$  implies  $(mx)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A, \forall x \in X, t \in [0, 1]$ .

Then  $A$  is called an  $M$ - $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy subalgebra or a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra for short.

**Proposition 2.** A fuzzy subset  $A$  of  $X$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies:

- $A(x * y) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu, \forall x, y \in X$ ;
- $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu, \forall x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ . We first verify that

$$A(x * y) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu, \forall x, y \in X.$$

Suppose there exists  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that  $A(x_0 * y_0) \vee \lambda < A(x_0) \wedge A(y_0) \wedge \mu$ , choose  $t$  such that  $A(x_0 * y_0) \vee \lambda < t < A(x_0) \wedge A(y_0) \wedge \mu$ , then  $A(x_0 * y_0) < t, \lambda < t < \mu, A(x_0) > t$  and  $A(y_0) > t$ , therefore  $(x_0)_t \in A, (y_0)_t \in A$ . Based on Definition 6,  $(x_0 * y_0)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , but we have  $A(x_0 * y_0) < t$ , therefore  $A(x_0 * y_0) + t \leq t + t < 2\mu$ , this is a contradiction, therefore we have  $A(x * y) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu, \forall x, y \in X$ . We shall now show that  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu, \forall x \in X$ .

Suppose there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $A(mx_0) \vee \lambda < A(x_0) \wedge \mu$ , choose  $t$  such that  $A(mx_0) \vee \lambda < t < A(x_0) \wedge \mu$ , then  $A(x_0) > t$ , therefore  $(x_0)_t \in A$ . Based on Definition 6,  $(mx_0)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , but we have  $A(mx_0) < t$ , therefore  $A(mx_0) + t \leq t + t < 2\mu$ , this is a contradiction, therefore we have  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu, \forall x \in X$ . Conversely, assume that  $A$  satisfies condition 1, 2.

1). If  $(x)_{t_1} \in A, (y)_{t_2} \in A, \forall x, y \in X, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ , then  $A(x) \geq t_1, A(y) \geq t_2$ , choose  $T = t_1 \wedge t_2$ , since  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , we have

$$A(x * y) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu > t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge \mu,$$

if  $T \leq \mu$ , then  $A(x * y) \geq T$ , so we have  $(x * y)_T \in A$ , if  $T > \mu$ , then  $A(x * y) \geq \mu$ , thus  $A(x * y) + T \geq \mu + T > 2\mu$ , then  $(x * y)_T q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , therefore we have  $(x * y)_T \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ .

2). If  $x_t \in A, \forall x \in X, t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $A(x) \geq t$ , since  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu$ , if  $t \leq \mu$ , then  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq t$ , since  $\lambda < t$ , so we have  $A(mx) \geq t$ , hence  $(mx)_t \in A$ , if  $t > \mu$ , then  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq \mu$ , since  $\lambda < \mu$ , so we have  $A(mx) \geq \mu$ , hence  $A(mx) + t \geq \mu + t > 2\mu$ , thus  $(mx)_t q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , therefore we have  $(mx)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ . So  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Example 1.** If  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $X_A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , define  $X_A$  by

$$X_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1], X_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** (1) For all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in A$ , then  $x * y \in A$ , thus

$$X_A(x * y) \vee \lambda = 1 \geq X_A(x) \wedge X_A(y) \wedge \mu,$$

if there exists at least one which does not belong to  $A$  between  $x$  and  $y$ , for example  $x \notin A$ , thus

$$X_A(x * y) \vee \lambda \geq 0 = X_A(x) \wedge X_A(y) \wedge \mu.$$

(2) For all  $x \in X, m \in M$ , if  $x \in A$ , then  $mx \in A$ , therefore

$$X_A(mx) \vee \lambda = 1 \geq X_A(x) \wedge \mu,$$

if  $x \notin A$ , then  $X_A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq 0 = X_A(x) \wedge \mu$ , therefore  $X_A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.**  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if  $A_t$  is a  $M$ -subalgebra of  $X$ , where  $A_t$  is a non-empty set, define  $X_A$  by  $A_t = \{x \mid x \in X, A(x) \geq t\}, \forall t \in (\lambda, \mu]$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ ,  $A_t$  is a non-empty set,  $t \in (\lambda, \mu]$ , then we have  $A(x * y) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu$ . If  $x \in A_t, y \in A_t$ , then  $A(x) \geq t, A(y) \geq t$ , thus  $A(x * y) \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu \geq t$ , thus we have  $x * y \in A_t$ .

For all  $x \in X, m \in M$ , if  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , hence  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu \geq t$ , thus  $mx \in A_t$ , therefore  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -subalgebra of  $X$ . Conversely, suppose  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -subalgebra of  $X$ , then we have  $x * y \in A_t$ . Let

$A(x)=t$ , then  $A(x*y)\vee\lambda\geq t=A(x)\geq A(x)\wedge A(y)\wedge\mu$ . For all  $x\in X, m\in M$ , if  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -subalgebra of  $X$ , then we have  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq t=A(x)\geq A(x)\wedge\mu$ , therefore  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.** Suppose  $X, Y$  are  $M$ -BCI-algebras,  $f$  is a mapping from  $X$  to  $Y$ , if  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of the  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y\in Y$ , suppose  $f$  is an epimorphism, then there exists  $x$  in  $X$ , we have  $y=f(x)$ . If  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $Y$ , then we have

$$A(x*y)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge A(y)\wedge\mu; A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu.$$

For all  $x, y\in X, m\in M$ , we have

- (1)  $f^{-1}(A)(x*y)\vee\lambda = A(f(x)*f(y))\vee\lambda$   
 $\geq A(f(x))\wedge A(f(y))\wedge\mu = f^{-1}(A)(x)\wedge f^{-1}(A)(y)\wedge\mu;$
- (2)  $f^{-1}(A)(mx)\vee\lambda = A(f(mx))\vee\lambda = A(mf(x))\vee\lambda$   
 $\geq A(f(x))\wedge\mu = f^{-1}(A)(x)\wedge\mu.$

Therefore  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

#### IV. GENERALIZED FUZZY IDEALS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS

**Definition 7.**  $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$  is an  $M$ -BCI-algebra, let  $A$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$ , if it satisfies:

1.  $x_t \in A$  implies  $0_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A, \forall x \in X, t \in [0, 1];$
2.  $(x*y)_t \in A$  and  $y_r \in A$  implies  $x_{t \wedge r} \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A, \forall x, y \in X, t, r \in [0, 1];$
3.  $x_t \in A$  implies  $(mx)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A, \forall x \in X, t \in [0, 1].$

Then  $A$  is called a  $M$ - $(\epsilon, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy subalgebra or a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra for short.

**Proposition 5.** A fuzzy subset  $A$  of  $X$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies:

1.  $A(0)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu, \forall x\in X;$
2.  $A(x)\vee\lambda\geq A(x*y)\wedge A(y)\wedge\mu, \forall x, y\in X;$
3.  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu, \forall x\in X.$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . We first verify that  $A(0)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu, \forall x\in X$ . Suppose there exists  $x_0\in X$  such that  $A(0)\vee\lambda < A(x_0)\wedge\mu$ , choose  $t$  such that  $A(0)\vee\lambda < t < A(x_0)\wedge\mu$ , then  $A(x_0) > t$  and  $\lambda < t < \mu$ , therefore  $(x_0)_t \in A$ . Based on Definition 7,  $0_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , but we have  $A(0) < t \leq \mu$ , therefore  $A(0) + t \leq t + t \leq 2\mu$ , this is a contradiction, therefore we have  $A(0)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu, \forall x\in X$ . We shall now show that

$$A(x)\vee\lambda\geq A(x*y)\wedge A(y)\wedge\mu, \forall x, y\in X.$$

Suppose there exists  $x_0, y_0\in X$  such that  $A(x_0)\vee\lambda < A(x_0*y_0)\wedge A(y_0)\wedge\mu$ , choose  $t$  such that  $A(x_0)\vee\lambda < t < A(x_0*y_0)\wedge A(y_0)\wedge\mu$ , then  $A(x_0) < t, \lambda < t < \mu, A(x_0*y_0) > t$  and  $A(y_0) > t$ , therefore  $(x_0*y_0)_t \in A, (y_0)_t \in A$ . Based on Definition 7,  $(x_0)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , but we have  $A(x_0) < t$ , therefore  $A(x_0) + t \leq t + t \leq 2\mu$ , this is a contradiction, therefore we have  $A(x)\vee\lambda\geq A(x*y)\wedge A(y)\wedge\mu, \forall x, y\in X$ .

Next, we shall show that  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu, \forall x\in X$ . Suppose there exists  $x_0\in X$  such that  $A(mx_0)\vee\lambda < A(x_0)\wedge\mu$ , choose  $t$  such that  $A(mx_0)\vee\lambda < t < A(x_0)\wedge\mu$ , then  $A(x_0) > t$ , therefore  $(x_0)_t \in A$ . Based on Definition 7,  $(mx_0)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , but we have  $A(mx_0) < t$ , therefore  $A(mx_0) + t \leq t + t < 2\mu$ , this is a contradiction, therefore we have  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu, \forall x\in X$ . Conversely, assume that  $A$  satisfies condition 1, 2, 3.

1). If  $x_t \in A, \forall x\in X, t\in(0, 1]$ , then  $A(x)\geq t$ , since  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , we have  $A(0)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu\geq t\wedge\mu$ , if  $t\leq\mu$ , then  $A(0)\geq t$ , so we have  $0_t \in A$ , if  $t > \mu$ , then  $A(0)\geq\mu$ , thus  $A(0) + t \geq t + \mu > 2\mu$ , then  $0_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , therefore we have  $0_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ .

2). If  $(x*y)_{t_1} \in A, y_{t_2} \in A, \forall x, y\in X, t_1, t_2 \in (\lambda, 1]$ , then  $A(x*y)\geq t_1, A(y)\geq t_2$ , choose  $T = t_1 \wedge t_2$ , since  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . We have  $A(x)\vee\lambda\geq A(x*y)\wedge A(y)\wedge\mu > t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge\mu$ , if  $T\leq\mu$ , then  $A(x)\geq T$ , so we have  $x_T \in A$ , if  $T > \mu$ , then  $A(x)\geq\mu$ , thus  $A(x) + T \geq \mu + T > 2\mu$ , then  $x_T \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , therefore we have  $x_T \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ .

3). If  $x_t \in A, \forall x\in X, t\in(\lambda, 1]$ , then  $A(x)\geq t$ , since  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . We have  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq A(x)\wedge\mu$ , if  $t\leq\mu$ , then  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq t$ , since  $\lambda < t$ , so we have  $A(mx)\geq t$ , hence  $(mx)_t \in A$ , if  $t > \mu$ , then  $A(mx)\vee\lambda\geq\mu$ , since  $\lambda < \mu$ , so we have  $A(mx)\geq\mu$ , hence  $A(x) + t \geq \mu + t > 2\mu$ , thus  $(mx)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ , therefore we have  $(mx)_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$ . So,  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Example 2.** If  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then  $X_A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , define  $X_A$  by

$$X_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1], X_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** (1) For all  $x, y\in X$ , if  $x, y\in A$ , then  $x*y\in A$ , thus

$$X_A(0) \vee \lambda = 1 \geq X_A(x) \wedge \mu,$$

$$X_A(x) \vee \lambda = 1 \geq X_A(x * y) \wedge X_A(y) \wedge \mu,$$

if there exists at least one which does not belong to  $A$  between  $x$  and  $y$ , for example  $x \notin A$ , thus

$$X_A(0) \vee \lambda = 1 \geq X_A(x) \wedge \mu,$$

$$X_A(x) \vee \lambda \geq X_A(x * y) \wedge X_A(y) \wedge \mu = 0;$$

(2) For all  $x \in X, m \in M$ , if  $x \in A$ , then  $mx \in A$ , thus  $X_A(mx) \vee \lambda = 1 \geq X_A(x) \wedge \mu$ . If  $x \notin A$ , then

$X_A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq 0 = X_A(x) \wedge \mu$ , therefore  $X_A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proposition 6.**  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -ideal of  $X$ , where  $A_t$  is non-empty set, define  $A_t$  by  $A_t = \{x \mid x \in X, A(x) \geq t\}, \forall t \in (\lambda, \mu]$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ ,  $A_t$  is non-empty set,  $t \in (\lambda, \mu]$ , then we have  $A(0) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu \geq t$ , thus  $0 \in A_t$ . If  $x * y \in A_t, y \in A_t$ , then  $A(x * y) \geq t, A(y) \geq t$ , thus  $A(x) \vee \lambda \geq A(x * y) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu \geq t$ , thus we have  $x \in A_t$ . For all  $x \in X, m \in M$ , if  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , hence  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu \geq t$ , thus  $mx \in A_t$ , therefore  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -ideal of  $X$ . Conversely, suppose  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -ideal of  $X$ , then we have  $0 \in A_t, A(0) \geq t$ . Let  $A(x) = t$ , thus  $x \in A_t$ , we have  $A(0) \vee \lambda \geq t = A(x) \wedge \mu$ , suppose there is no  $A(x) \vee \lambda \geq A(x * y) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu$ , then there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in X$ , we have  $A(x_0) \vee \lambda < A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0) \wedge \mu$ , let  $t_0 = A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0) \wedge \mu$ , then  $A(x_0) \vee \lambda < t_0 = A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0) \wedge \mu$ , if  $x_0 * y_0 \in A_{t_0}, y_0 \in A_{t_0}$ , then we have  $x_0 \in A_{t_0}$ , then  $A(x_0) \geq t_0$ , which is inconsistent with  $A(x_0) \vee \lambda < t_0 = A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0) \wedge \mu$ , then we have  $A(x) \vee \lambda \geq A(x * y) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu$ . For all  $x \in X, m \in M$ , if  $A_t$  is an  $M$ -ideal of  $X$ , then we have  $A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq t \wedge \mu = A(x) \wedge \mu$ , therefore  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proposition 7.** Suppose  $X, Y$  are  $M$ -BCI-algebras,  $f$  is a mapping from  $X$  to  $Y$ ,  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y \in Y$ , suppose  $f$  is an epimorphism, then there exists  $x \in X$ , we have  $y = f(x)$ . If  $A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $Y$ , then we have

$$A(0) \vee \lambda \geq A(y) \wedge \mu; A(x) \vee \lambda \geq A(x * y) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu;$$

$$A(mx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu.$$

For all  $x, y \in X, m \in M$ , we have

$$(1) f^{-1}(A)(0) \vee \lambda = A(f(0)) \vee \lambda = A(0) \vee \lambda$$

$$\geq A(f(x)) \wedge \mu = f^{-1}(A)(x) \wedge \mu;$$

$$(2) f^{-1}(A)(x) \vee \lambda = A(f(x)) \vee \lambda \geq A(f(x) * f(y)) \wedge A(f(y)) \wedge \mu$$

$$= A(f(x * y)) \wedge A(f(y)) \wedge \mu = f^{-1}(A)(x * y) \wedge f^{-1}(A)(y) \wedge \mu;$$

$$(3) f^{-1}(A)(mx) \vee \lambda = A(f(mx)) \vee \lambda = A(mf(x)) \vee \lambda$$

$$\geq A(f(x)) \wedge \mu = f^{-1}(A)(x) \wedge \mu.$$

Therefore  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

## V. GENERALIZED FUZZY QUOTIENT BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS

**Definition 8.** Let  $A$  be an  $M$ - $(\epsilon, \in, \vee, q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , for all  $a \in X$ , fuzzy set  $A_a$  on  $X$  defined as:  $A_a : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$   $A_a(x) = A(a * x) \wedge A(x * a) \wedge \mu, \forall x \in X$ . Denote  $X/A = \{A_a : a \in X\}; A(x) \geq \lambda$ .

**Proposition 8.** Let  $A_a, A_b \in X/A$ , then  $A_a = A_b$  if and only if  $A(a * b) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A_a = A_b$ , then we have  $A_a(b) = A_b(b)$ , thus  $A(a * b) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu = A(b * b) \wedge A(b * b) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu$ , that is  $A(a * b) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu$ . Conversely, suppose that  $A(a * b) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu$ . For all  $x \in X$ , since  $(a * x) * (b * x) \leq a * b, (x * a) * (x * b) \leq b * a$ . It follows from Proposition 1 that

$$A(a * x) = A(a * x) \vee \lambda \geq A(b * x) \wedge A(a * b) \wedge \mu,$$

$$A(x * a) = A(x * a) \vee \lambda \geq A(x * b) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu.$$

Hence

$$A_a(x) = A(a * x) \wedge A(x * a) \wedge \mu$$

$$\geq A(b * x) \wedge A(x * b) \wedge A(a * b) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu$$

$$= A(b * x) \wedge A(x * b) \wedge A(0) \wedge \mu = A(b * x) \wedge A(x * b) \wedge \mu$$

$$= A_b(x),$$

that is  $A_a \geq A_b$ . Similarly, for all  $x \in X$ , since

$$(b * x) * A(a * x) \leq b * a, (x * b) * A(x * a) \leq a * b.$$

It follows from Proposition 1 that

$$A(b * x) = A(b * x) \vee \lambda \geq A(a * x) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge \mu,$$

$$A(x * b) = A(x * b) \vee \lambda \geq A(x * a) \wedge A(a * b) \wedge \mu.$$

Hence

$$A_b(x) = A(b * x) \wedge A(x * b) \wedge \mu$$

$$\geq A(a * x) \wedge A(x * a) \wedge A(b * a) \wedge A(a * b) \wedge \mu$$

$$= A(a * x) \wedge A(x * a) \wedge A(0) \wedge \mu$$

$$= A(a * x) \wedge A(x * a) \wedge \mu$$

$$= A_a(x),$$

that is  $A_b \geq A_a$ . Therefore,  $A_a = A_b$ . We complete the proof.

**Proposition 9.** Let  $A_a = A_{a'}$ ,  $A_b = A_{b'}$ , then  $A_{a*b} = A_{a'*b'}$ .

**Proof.** Since

$$\begin{aligned} & ((a*b)*(a'*b'))*(a*a') = ((a*b)*(a*a'))*(a'*b') \\ & \leq (a'*b)*(a'*b') \leq b'*b, \\ & ((a'*b)*(a*b))*(b*b') = ((a'*b')*(b*b'))*(a*b) \\ & \leq (a'*b)*(a*b) \leq a'*a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & A((a*b)*(a'*b')) = A((a*b)*(a'*b')) \vee \lambda \\ & \geq A(a*a') \wedge A(b*b') \wedge \mu, \\ & A((a'*b)*(a*b)) = A((a'*b')*(a*b)) \vee \lambda \\ & \geq A(b*b') \wedge A(a'*a) \wedge \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & A((a*b)*(a'*b')) \wedge A((a'*b')*(a*b)) \wedge \mu \\ & = A(a*a') \wedge A(a'*a) \wedge \mu \wedge A(b*b') \wedge A(b'*b) \wedge \mu \wedge \mu \\ & = A(0) \wedge \mu, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Proposition 8 that  $A_{a*b} = A_{a'*b'}$ , we completed the proof. Let  $A$  be a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , the operation "\*" of  $R/A$  is defined as follows:  $\forall A_a, A_b \in R/A, A_a * A_b = A_{a*b}$ . By Proposition 8, the above operation is reasonable.

**Proposition 10.** Let  $A$  be a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then  $R/A = \{R/A; *, A_0\}$  is an  $M$ -BCI-algebra.

**Proof.** For all  $A_x, A_y, A_z \in R/A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((A_x * A_y) * (A_x * A_z)) * (A_z * A_y) = A_{((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)} = A_0; \\ & (A_x * (A_x * A_y)) * A_y = A_{(x*(x*y))*y} = A_0; \\ & A_x * A_x = A_{x*x} = A_0; \end{aligned}$$

if  $A_x * A_y = A_0, A_y * A_x = A_0$ , then  $A_{x*y} = A_0, A_{y*x} = A_0$ , it follows from Proposition 8 that  $A(x*y) = A(0), A(y*x) = A(0)$ , hence  $A(x*y) \wedge A(y*x) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu$ , then  $A_x = A_y$ . Therefore  $R/A = \{R/A; *, A_0\}$  is a BCI-algebra. For all  $A_x \in R/A, m \in M$ , we define  $mA_x = A_{mx}$ . Firstly, we verify that  $mA_x = A_{mx}$  is reasonable. If  $A_x = A_y$ , then we verify  $mA_x = mA_y$ , that is to verify  $A_{mx} = A_{my}$ . We have

$$A(mx * my) \wedge \mu = A(m(x * y)) \wedge \mu \geq A(x * y) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu,$$

$$A(my * mx) \wedge \mu = A(m(y * x)) \wedge \mu \geq A(y * x) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu,$$

so we have  $A(mx * my) \wedge A(my * mx) \wedge \mu = A(0) \wedge \mu$ , that is,

$A_{mx} = A_{my}$ . In addition, for all  $m \in M, A_x, A_y \in R/A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & m(A_x * A_y) = mA_{x*y} = A_{m(x*y)} = A_{(mx)*(my)} \\ & = A_{mx} * A_{my} = mA_x * mA_y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $R/A = \{R/A; *, A_0\}$  is an  $M$ -BCI-algebra.

**Definition 9.** Let  $\mu$  be a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , and  $A$  be a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , we define a fuzzy set of  $X/A$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu/A : X/A \rightarrow [0, 1], \\ & \mu/A(A_i) \vee \lambda = \sup_{A_i=A_j} \mu(x) \wedge \mu, \forall A_i \in X/A. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 11.**  $\mu/A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X/A$ .

**Proof.** For all  $A_x, A_y \in X/A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu/A(A_x * A_y) \vee \lambda = \mu/A(A_{x*y}) \vee \lambda = \sup_{A_i=A_{x*y}} \mu(z) \wedge \mu \\ & \geq \sup_{A_i=A_x, A_j=A_y} \mu(s*t) \wedge \mu \geq \sup_{A_i=A_x, A_j=A_y} \mu(s) \wedge \mu(t) \wedge \mu \\ & = \sup_{A_i=A_x} \mu(s) \wedge \sup_{A_j=A_y} \mu(t) \wedge \mu = \mu/A(A_x) \wedge \mu/A(A_y) \wedge \mu. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $m \in M, A_x \in R/A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu/A(A_{mx}) \vee \lambda = \sup_{A_{mc}=A_{mx}} \mu(mz) \wedge \mu \\ & \geq \sup_{A_z=A_x} \mu(z) \wedge \mu = \mu/A(A_x) \wedge \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu/A$  is a generalized  $M$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X/A$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, "On axiom system of propositional calculus," Proc Aapan Academy, vol. 42, pp. 26-29, 1966.
- [2] K. Iseki, "On BCI-algebras," Math. Sem. Notes, vol. 8, pp.125-130, 1980.
- [3] O.G.Xi, "Fuzzy BCK-algebras," Math Japon, vol. 36, pp. 935-942, 1991.
- [4] J. Meng, Y.B. Jun and H.S. Kim, "Fuzzy implicative ideals of BCK-Algebras," Fuzzy sets syst, vol. 89, pp. 243-248, 1997.
- [5] W. X. Zheng, "On BCI-algebras with operators and their isomorphism theorems," Journal of Qingdao University, vol. 6, pp. 17-22, 1993.
- [6] Y.L.Liu and J. Meng, "Fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 123, pp. 227-237, 2001.
- [7] J. Meng, "Fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras," SEA Bull. Math, vol. 18, pp. 401- 405, 1994.
- [8] Y. L. Liu, "Characterizations of some classes of quotient BCI-algebras" Journal of Quan zhou Normal College (Natural Science Edition), vol. 20, pp. 16-20, 2002.
- [9] Y.B. Jun, "On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras," Sci. Math. Japon. vol. 60, pp. 613-617, 2004.
- [10] J. Liu and S.Q. Sun, "Generalized fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebra," Journal of Qingdao University of Science and Technology (Natural Science Edition), vol. 32, pp. 211-215, 2011.
- [11] Z.H. Liao and H. Gu, " $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\alpha, \mu)})$ -fuzzy normal subgroup," Fuzzy

- Systems and Mathematics.vol.20, pp. 47-53,2006.
- [12] J. Zhan, Y.B. Jun and B. Davvaz, "On  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -Fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras," Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, vol. 6, pp. 81-94. 2009.
  - [13] P.P. Ming and L.Y. Ming, "Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence," J. Math. Anal. Appl. vol. 76, pp. 571-599, 1980.
  - [14] Y.L.Hu and S.Q. Sun, "fuzzy subalgebras and fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras with operators," International Science Index, Mathematical and Computational Science, vol. 6, pp. 220-226. 2017.
  - [15] L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.