# Anthropometric and Physical Fitness Ability Profile of Elite and Non-Elite Boxers of Manipur

Akoijam Bojen Meetei, Maibam Monoranjan Singh

Abstract-Background: Boxing is one of the oldest combat sports where different anthropological and fitness ability parameters determine performance. It is characterized by short duration, high intensity bursts of activity. The purpose of this research was to determine anthropometric and physical fitness profile of male elite and non-elite boxers of Manipur and to compare the two groups. Materials and Methods: Nineteen subjects were selected as elite boxers and twenty-four were non-elite boxers of Manipur. A crosssectional study was conducted on anthropometric measurements and physical fitness ability tests on 33 subjects (elite and non-elite boxers). Statistical analysis was done using descriptive statistics, ttest and logistic regression with the help of SPSS version 15 software. Results: Results showed elite boxers have significantly reduced neck girth and calf girth as compare to non-elite boxers. Elite boxers have significantly lower sub scapular skin fold (SSF) and supra iliac skin fold (SISF) than their counterparts. Higher stature, larger BTB and lower percent fat are associated with higher performance in boxing. Sit ups (SU), standing Broad Jump (SBJ), Plat taping (PT), Sit and reach (SAR) and Harvard Step Test (HST) are predicted as most contributing factors enhancing performance level among the physical fitness components. Elite boxers are found to have more functional strength (sit ups), higher explosive strength (SBJ), more agility (PT), cardio-vascular endurance and flexibility (SAR) than non-elite boxers. Conclusion: In conclusion, lower fat, higher lean body mass, larger bi-trochantric breadth, high explosive strength, agility and flexibility are significantly associated with higher performance and chance of becoming elite boxers.

*Keywords*—Anthropometry, elite and non-elite boxers, Manipur, physical fitness.

## I. INTRODUCTION

studies provide number of information on Aanthropometric characteristics and physical fitness in various sporting events. The findings in most of these studies indicate significant differences in term of anthropometric and selected physical tests between young athletes of different levels or elite and non-elite athletes in various sporting events [1]-[5]. The development of physique in particular sport events seems to have a close link with the development of strength required in most sports performance. Early studies on Olympic competitors revealed that physique was related to a high level of achievement in certain sports [6]. Similarly, selection of Olympic athletes for achieving success in a particular event primarily depends on the specific physique suited to the characteristics of the events [7].

Boxers are required to possess a combination of cardiovascular endurance, explosive strength, speed, agility and muscular coordination along with suitable physique of the game. Thus, physical activities demand different body size and proportion that is why top level sports men of different sporting events have been found to possess different physique and morphological characters [8] and champions of different athletic and sportive events differ significantly in their physical structure and physiological characteristic that correspond to some extent with the particular requirements of their respective events [9].

The peak performance of boxers is highly influenced by speed and coordination of movements during blows, force of blows and psychomotor abilities [10], [11]. Thus, boxers require to develop high speed, explosive, agility, flexibility and complex expression of coordination abilities during training and coaching [11], [12]. Previous studies on Indian boxers concentrate mainly on body composition, muscle strength, aerobic capacity, and anaerobic power [8], [13], [14]. However. stature and body mass have significant impact on elite boxers. Senior boxers possess tall stature, body mass, lean body mass and body fat compared to junior boxers [15].

Many studies have been conducted on the relationship between human physique and physical performance which indicate the importance of basic structure. Those research studies deal with performance in specific sports, strength measures and the performance of basic motor skills [16]-[19]. The importance of assessing sports-specific skills as well as selected anthropometric and physiological characteristics of athletes in different sporting events is most important to determine their performance level [20], [21]. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was undertaken to compare anthropometric variables and physical fitness ability between the male elite and non-elite boxers and to predict the most potential factors influencing higher performance in the success of boxing competitions.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Research design:** Subjects were tested on two separate sessions as morning and evening sessions. The morning session involved detailed anthropometric measurements, while physical fitness ability tests were performed in the evening session. Standard warm-up procedures were given with 10 minutes of jogging and stretching activities of upper and lower extremities for fitness ability tests. The subjects were fully familiar with the tests procedures to avoid the any negative results. Each boxer was verbally instructed and encouraged during each test to perform maximally at each trial and test. Subjects who are in morbid condition e.g. having diseases like

Akoijam Bojen Meetei is with the Department of Anthropology, D.M. College of Science, Imphal, India (e-mail: bojenmeeteiak@gmail.com).

diarrhoea, high fever, dehydration and musculo-skeleton abnormalities due to other reasons during the past 10-15 days are excluded from being the subjects of the sample.

Selection of subjects: Forty-three desirable male Meitei elite and non-elite boxers of Manipur were selected of the present study. Out of which 19 boxers at the range of age are categorised as elite boxers as they were medal winners in various National and International competitions and the rest 24 boxers who had poor performance in the competition are categorised as non-elite boxers. The subjects were explained, demonstrated and familiarised with the fitness abilities tests and anthropometric measurements. Data were collected from the elite and non- elite boxers who are actively participating in various competition and regular practice and training.

*Study Parameters*: Fifteen anthropometric measurements and nine physical fitness ability tests were recorded in this study.

Anthropometric measurements: The anthropometric measurements were carried out: body height (BH), body weight (BW), forearm length (FAL),upper arm length (UAL), bi-acromial breadth(BAB), bi-trochantric breadth (BTB), biceps skin fold (BSF), triceps skin fold(TSF), sub-scapular skin fold(SSF), supra-iliac skin fold(SISF), chest girth (CG), forearm girth FAG), upper arm girth (UAG),thigh girth (THG) and calf girth (CLG).All the anthropometric measurements were recorded by employing the methods laid down by Weiner and Lourie [22].

*Body composition*: Four derived variables viz. %fat (PBF), total body fat (TBF), lean body mass (LBM) and body mass index (BMI).

**Determination of body composition**: Skin folds thickness was measured with the help of Holtain skin fold calliper with constant tension to determine the different component of body composition by using the following equations:

% body fat = 0.783 (triceps + sub-scapular skin fold thickness) +1.6) [23] total body fat or fat mass (kg)= (% body fat x body weight (kg) /100) [24] lean body mass or fat free mass (kg): body weight (kg)-total body fat (kg) [25]

body mass index: body weight (kg) /Body height (m<sup>2</sup>) [26]

*Physical fitness ability tests:* Nine physical fitness tests were also recorded by employing the methods laid down by AAHPER (American Alliance for Health, physical Education and Recreation) Youth Fitness Test Battery (1976) [27].

Statistical Analysis: Data were presented as mean and standard deviation ( $\pm$ SD). Student's t-test was used to determine the significant differences of mean in each parameter between elite and non-elite boxers. Multiple logistic regression is also used to predict the most influencing variables in achieving the performance level of the boxers. Statistical soft ware package (SPPS-16) was used in the study.

### III. RESULTS

Considering the two categories of elite and non-elite boxers, Table I reveals the average values of age (19.74 yrs  $\pm$  1.88 vs. 19.25 yrs  $\pm$  1.29) and body height (165.43 c m  $\pm$  7.52 vs. 164.46 cm  $\pm$  6.46) are found to be visibly higher in elite boxers than that of non-elite boxers. However, no statistical significant differences are observed between the groups. The upper arm length of elite boxers is found to be higher as compared to non-elite boxers. A lower mean value of BAB is observed in elite boxers when compared to non non-elite boxers (38.99 cm  $\pm$  1.92 vs. 39.16 cm  $\pm$  1.10). On the contrary, elite boxers are found to have higher mean value in BTB (28.68 cm  $\pm$ 1.51) than the non-elite boxers (28.19 cm  $\pm$  1.41).

Statistical significant differences are observed in subscapular skin folds (t=2.106: P<0.05) and supra iliac skin folds (t=2.120: P<0.05) between the groups. However, no significant differences are observed in biceps and triceps skin fold thickness between the groups. Decreasing trend of skin fold thickness is witnesses in elite boxers as compare to nonelite boxers. Elite boxers posses marginally smaller in CG, UAG and THG as compared to non-elite boxers. Significant differences are observed in FAG (t=2.43: P<0.05) between the groups. In the measurements of lower extremities, calf girth is found to have statistically significant (t=2.55: P<0.05) between the group.

TABLE I
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS OF MALE
ELITE AND NON-ELITE BOXERS

ean 9.74 5.43	SD 1.88 7.52	Non-Elite Mean 19.25 164.63	SD 1.29	t-value (p)					
9.74 5.43	1.88 7.52	19.25	1.29	1.04 (0.321)					
5.43	7.52			1.04 (0.521)					
			6.46	0.375(0.709)					
.42	6.30	55.39	5.22	1.123(0.268)					
1.19	1.50	24.34	1.13	0.365(0.717)					
				0.211 (0.834)					
			· /	0.370(0.713)					
				. ,					
.55	0.50	4.01	1.00	1.830(0.075)					
.05	1.93	6.75	1.85	1.210(0.233)					
.35	1.59	10.34	3.84	2.106(0.041)*					
.13	1.17	7.30	2.17	2.120(0.040)*					
irth N	/leasu	rements(c	m)						
3.15	3.38	84.81	3.71	1.513(0.138)					
1.49	1.37	25.28	0.72	2.437 (0.019)*					
1.98	1.56	25.77	1.23	1.868 (0.069)					
3.03	2.89	48.97	2.22	1.198(0.238)					
3.16	1.82	34.40	1.35	2.559(0.014)*					
	svers 3.99 3.68 fold 555 .05 .35 .13 orth N 5.15 4.49 8.03	Swerse         Mea           3.99         1.91           3.68         1.51           fold meas           .55         0.50           .05         1.93           .35         1.59           .13         1.17           rth         Measure           .15         3.38           .49         1.37           .98         1.56           .03         2.89	Swerse Measurements           8.99         1.91         39.16           8.68         1.51         28.19           fold measurements         60         6.75           .55         0.50         4.01           .05         1.93         6.75           .35         1.59         10.34           .13         1.17         7.30           rth Measurements(cr)         6.15         3.38           8.4.81         4.49         1.37         25.28           .98         1.56         25.77           .03         2.89         48.97	sverse Measurements(cm)           8.99         1.91         39.16         1.09           8.99         1.91         39.16         1.09           8.68         1.51         28.19         1.41           fold measurements(mm)         .55         0.50         4.01         1.00           .05         1.93         6.75         1.85         .35         1.59         10.34         3.84           .13         1.17         7.30         2.17              rth Measurements(cm)                  3.38         84.81         3.71               1.37         25.28         0.72               1.56         25.77         1.23					

Table II reveals that there is a general decreasing trend in % body fat  $(13.82 \pm 4.29 \text{ vs.} 16.06 \pm 4.29)$ , total body fat  $(7.49 \pm 2.38 \text{ vs.} 8.98 \pm 2.85)$  lean body mass  $(45.92 \pm 4.58 \text{ vs.} 46.41 \pm 4.17)$  in elite boxers as compared to non-elite. However no significant differences are found in these variables. By observing the body compositions of elite boxers of Manipur; they are approaching on the line of meso-ectomorphic characters. The lower level of PBF, TBF and LBM of the present study particularly in elite boxers is found to have very nearer to the level of Olympic boxers [28]. Elite boxers is significantly lower BMI (19.46  $\pm$  1.08) than the non-elite boxers (20.41  $\pm$  1.04) though both are falls in the normal BMI.

It is evident from the Table III that significant differences are found in sit ups (t=4.45: P<0.001), shuttle run (t=4.51: P<0.001) and sit and reach (t=2.24: P<0.05) respectively. Sit ups, shuttle run and sit and reach were used to measure abdominal strength or functional strength, speed, agility and flexibility respectively. No significant difference in SBJ is observed in between them. Plate tapping is used to assess the flexibility. Elite boxers have more flexibility than the nonelite boxers but there is no significant difference between the groups. Elite boxers are having more cardio-vascular endurance than non-elite boxers. Significant differences in cardiovascular endurance determined by Harvard step test was observed (t=4.32: P<0.01) between the groups.

TABLE II DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF BODY COMPOSITION OF MALE ELITE AND NON-FUTE BOYERS

Demonsterne	Elite B	oxers			
Parameters	Mean SD Mean SD		t-value (p)		
Percent fat (% fat)	13.82	3.04	16.06	4.29	1.919 (0.062)
Total body fat (kg)	7.49	2.38	8.98	2.85	1.822 (0.076)
Lean body mass (kg)	45.92	4.58	46.41	4.17	0.364(0.718)
BMI (kg/ m <sup>2</sup> )	19.46	1.02	20.41	1.21	2.725(0.009)*

TABLE III Descriptive Statistics of Physical Fitness Ability Tests of Male Elite and Non-Elite Boxers

ELITE AND NON-ELITE BOXERS									
Parameters	Elite E	Boxers	t volue (a)						
Farameters	Mean SD Mean SD		t-value (p)						
SBJ (m)	2.341	26.83	2.312	14.48	0.432(0.668)				
Sit ups (second))	57.2	7.63	44.95	10.12	4.452(0.000)**				
Shuttle run (Sec)	9.10	0.33	9.63	0.41	4.509(0.000)**				
SAR (inches)	19.86	1.66	18.68	1.79	2.240(0.031)*				
PT (second)	70.31	6.42	66.54	8.15	1.652 (0.109)				
HST	81.59	4.54	73.51	7.06	4.323(0.000)**				
RHG(kg)	43.10	5.66	44.89	5.68	1.027(0.310)				
LHG(kg)	41.39	6.04	43.22	5.30	1.059 (0.296)				
BT (second)	116.95	67.77	88.87	1.401	1.401(0.169)				

\*p<0.05; \*\*p< 0.001; SAR=Seat and Reach; HST= Harvard Step Test; RHG= Right hand grip; LHG= Left hand Grip; BT=Balance Test.

The age of Manipuri elite boxers was found to be younger (19.74 yrs) when compared to Olympic boxers (23.06 yrs), Indian boxers (22.10 yrs) and Nepal Army boxers (22.10 yrs). When we compare the body weight and body height of Olympic boxers without classifying them into different weight categories, it is found that Manipuri elite boxers are shorter in height (165.43cm vs. 170.06 cm) and lighter in weight (53.42 kg vs.58.56 kg). However, the mean value of BMI (19.46 kg m<sup>2</sup> vs.19.99 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) is very close with Olympic boxers. While comparing the body composition between elite Manipuri boxers and Olympic boxers it is found that elite Manipuri boxers have lower % fat (13.8% vs. 14.5%). It is also observed that the Indian boxers are having more % fat compared to Olympic boxers (16.4% vs. 14.5%) and Manipuri boxers (16.4% vs. 13.8%). Interestingly, it is also evident from that no significant differences are observed between the Manipuri elite boxers and Olympic boxers in biceps (3.6 mm vs. 3.0 mm) triceps (6.2 vs. 6.0 mm) and SSF (8.4mm vs. 9.0 mm) skin fold thickness measurements (Table IV). To identify the most influential variables/factors among the eight factors or variable of interest, the dichotomous multivariate logistic regression is applied. After adjusted the joint effect of eight variables, five variables viz. age, BH, BTB, THG, LBM and % fat are identified as significant impact on the two level of players (elite and non-elite boxers). The results indicate that higher stature, larger BTB, medium thigh girth, optimum lean body mass and lower percent fat may be associated with higher performance in boxing (Table V).

TABLE IV Descriptive Statistics for Comparison between Present Data (Elite Boyers) and Other Avail and F Secondary Data

BOXERS) AND OTH	ER AVA	ILABL	E SECON	IDARY I	JATA		
Teams and reference	Age (Yr)	BW (Kg)	BH (cm)	BMI Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	% PF	LBM	TBF
Nepal Army Boxers [29]	24.48	66.43	170.13	22.90	9.58	44.43	-
Indian boxers [15]	22.10	76.70	179.00	23.93	16.4	53.1	-
Olympic Boxers [30]	23.06	58.56	170.06	19.99	14.5	-	-
Boxers of Kolkata, India [31]	21.55	58.55	167.09	20.99	8.81	53.38	6.48
Manipuri Elite Boxers, India (Present Study)	19.74	53.42	165.43	19.46	13.8	45.92	7.49

TABLE V Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis of Desirable Physical Fitness Ability of Elite and Non-Elite Boxers of manipur

Anthrop	ometric	Regression ,		, Odds		95.0% C.I. for		
Anthropometric Parameters		coefficient	p-value	Ratio(OR)	OR			
		coefficient		Ratio(OR)	Lower	Uppe		
Step 1(a)	Age	.504	.178	1.655	.795	3.446		
	BH	.342	.058	1.407	.989	2.003		
	BTB	1.202	.014	3.326	1.280	8.643		
	CG	137	.507	.872	.582	1.306		
	THG	.657	.058	1.929	.977	3.810		
	LBM	-1.557	.030	.211	.052	.860		
	TBF	3.412	.315	30.327	.039	23.62		
	% fat	-2.944	.185	.053	.001	4.109		
	Constant	-33.126	.494	.000				
Step 2(a)	Age	.476	.192	1.609	.787	3.292		
	BH	.370	.034	1.448	1.029	2.03		
	BTB	1.167	.014	3.213	1.269	8.13		
	THG	.695	.044	2.005	1.018	3.94		
	LBM	-1.557	.027	.211	.053	.837		
	TBF	2.861	.365	17.485	.036	85.8		
	% fat	-2.629	.209	.072	.001	4.36		
	Constant	-49.851	.224	.000				
Step 3(a)	Age	.632	.073	1.881	.942	3.75		
	BH	.401	.017	1.493	1.073	2.07		
	BTB	1.165	.019	3.207	1.212	8.48		
	THG	.693	.044	2.000	1.020	3.924		
	LBM	-1.103	.004	.332	.155	.710		
	% fat	797	.006	.451	.256	.793		
	Constant	-82.753	.005	.000				

Table VI reveals the result of stepwise multiple logistic regression (backward wald) selecting eight variables of interest. Out of these variables only two variables-sit ups and Harvard step test are predicted is to be most important factors influencing higher performance on the two levels of players (elite and non-elite boxers). It is quantified by OR: 1.147 with 95% CI 1.025-1.284 and OR: 1.231 with 95% CI 1.04-1.45. It may also be interpreted as 1(one) complete cycle increased in sit-ups of boxer, the chance or probability of becoming elite players is increased by 14% (OR: 1.147 with 95% CI 1.025-1.284). In boxing event, abdominal strength indicated by sit-ups and cardio-vascular endurance assess by Harvard step test can be taken into consideration as one of the influencing factors in boxing performance. These two variables are also detected by t-test as highly significant (P<0.01).

TABLE VI MULTIPLE LOGISTIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF DESIRABLE PHYSICAL FITNESS ABILITY TEST OF ELITE AND NON-ELITE BOXERS OF MANIPUR

ParametersRegression Coefficientp-valeOdds Ratio(M)95.0% C.I. for OR LowerUpperStep 1(a)SBJ.032.2131.033.9821.086SR608.763.544.010.28.248HST.200.0281.13361.0321.730HGR111.346.895.7111.127LWBT003.776.997.979.016SAR.463.2101.588.704.3.275FM.081.4371.085.8.31.331Constant-40.084.197.000.9821.080SUP 2(a)SBJ.030.2191.030.982.1.303SR.513.798.599.012.30.184FT.285.0281.3301.031.1715RHG.112.339.894.7101.125SAR.450.2211.569.763.3.227PT.065.4541.067.9011.263SAR.450.2211.569.763.3.227PT.065.4541.067.9011.263SAR.450.2211.569.763.3.227PT.065.4541.067.9011.263SAR.450.2211.569.763.3.227PT.065.4541.067.9011.263SAR.450.221.1331.265.221<	FITNESS ABILITY TEST OF ELITE AND NON-ELITE BOXERS OF MANIPUR									
Step 1(a)         SBJ         .032         .213         1.033         .982         1.086           SU         .116         .120         1.123         .970         1.299           SR         .608         .763         .544         .010         28.248           HST         .290         .028         1.336         1.032         1.730           HGR         .111         .346         .895         .711         1.127           LWBT         .003         .776         .997         .979         1.016           SAR         .463         .210         1.588         .70         3.275           Oonstant         .40.084         .197         .000	Daran	neters		n-value	Odds Ratio(OR)	95.0% C	.I. for OR			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 41411	lictors		p-value	Odds Ratio(OR)		Upper			
SR        608         .763         .544         .010         28.248           HST         .290         .028         1.336         1.032         1.730           HGR        111         .346         .895         .711         1.127           LWBT        003         .776         .997         .979         1.016           SAR         .463         .210         1.588         .770         3.275           PT         .081         .437         1.085         .884         1.331           Constant         .40.084         .197         .000         .502         1.030         .982         1.080           Step 2(a)         SBJ         .030         .219         1.030         .982         1.030           SR        513         .798         .599         .012         30.184           HST         .285         .028         1.330         1.031         1.715           RHG         .112         .339         .894         .710         1.125           SAR         .450         .221         1.569         .763         3.227           PT         .065         .454         1.067         .901         1.263	Step 1(a)	SBJ	.032	.213	1.033	.982	1.086			
HST         .290         .028         1.336         1.032         1.730           HGR        111         .346         .895         .711         1.127           LWBT        003         .776         .997         .979         1.016           SAR         .463         .210         1.588         .770         3.275           PT         .081         .437         1.085         .884         1.331           Constant         .40.084         .197         .000		SU	.116	.120	1.123	.970	1.299			
HGR        111         .346         .895         .711         1.127           LWBT        003         .776         .997         .979         1.016           SAR         .463         .210         1.588         .770         3.275           PT         .081         .437         1.085         .884         1.331           Constant         .40.084         .197         .000		SR	608	.763	.544	.010	28.248			
LWBT        003         .776         .997         .979         1.016           SAR         .463         .210         1.588         .770         3.275           PT         .081         .437         1.085         .884         1.331           Constant         -40.084         .197         .000		HST	.290	.028	1.336	1.032	1.730			
SAR         .463         .210         1.588         .770         3.275           PT         .081         .437         1.085         .884         1.331           Constant         -40.084         .197         .000		HGR	111	.346	.895	.711	1.127			
PT         .081         .437         1.085         .884         1.331           Constant         -40.084         .197         .000		LWBT	003	.776	.997	.979	1.016			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		SAR	.463	.210	1.588	.770	3.275			
Step 2(a)       SBJ       .030       .219       1.030       .982       1.080         SU       .118       .116       1.125       .971       1.303         SR      513       .798       .599       .012       30.184         HST       .285       .028       1.330       1.031       1.715         RHG      112       .339       .894       .710       1.125         SAR       .450       .221       1.569       .763       3.227         PT       .065       .454       1.067       .901       1.263         Constant       -39.024       .207       .000       .000       .011       1.283         HST       .290       .024       1.337       1.038       1.721         RHG      124       .260       .884       .713       1.096         SAR       .484       .170       1.623       .812       3.244         PT       .069       .415       1.071       .908       1.265         Constant       .45.800       .007       .000       .001       .022       1.283         HST       .256       .022       1.292       1.038       1.607     <		PT	.081	.437	1.085	.884	1.331			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Constant	-40.084	.197	.000					
SR        513         .798         .599         .012         30.184           HST         .285         .028         1.330         1.031         1.715           RHG        112         .339         .894         .710         1.125           SAR         .450         .221         1.569         .763         3.227           PT         .065         .454         1.067         .901         1.263           Constant         -39.024         .207         .000	Step 2(a)	SBJ	.030	.219	1.030	.982	1.080			
HST         .285         .028         1.330         1.031         1.715           RHG        112         .339         .894         .710         1.125           SAR         .450         .221         1.569         .763         3.227           PT         .065         .454         1.067         .901         1.263           Constant         -39.024         .207         .000		SU	.118	.116	1.125	.971	1.303			
RHG        112         .339         .894         .710         1.125           SAR         .450         .221         1.569         .763         3.227           PT         .065         .454         1.067         .901         1.263           Constant         -39.024         .207         .000		SR	513	.798	.599	.012	30.184			
SAR         .450         .221         1.569         .763         3.227           PT         .065         .454         1.067         .901         1.263           Constant         -39.024         .207         .000		HST	.285	.028	1.330	1.031	1.715			
PT         .065         .454         1.067         .901         1.263           Constant         -39.024         .207         .000		RHG	112	.339	.894	.710	1.125			
Constant         -39.024         .207         .000           Step 3(a)         SBJ         .032         .169         1.032         .987         1.080           SU         .130         .032         1.139         1.011         1.283           HST         .290         .024         1.337         1.038         1.721           RHG        124         .260         .884         .713         1.096           SAR         .484         .170         1.623         .812         3.244           PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000		SAR	.450	.221	1.569	.763	3.227			
Step 3(a)         SBJ         .032         .169         1.032         .987         1.080           SU         .130         .032         1.139         1.011         1.283           HST         .290         .024         1.337         1.038         1.721           RHG        124         .260         .884         .713         1.096           SAR         .484         .170         1.623         .812         3.244           PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000		PT	.065	.454	1.067	.901	1.263			
SU         .130         .032         1.139         1.011         1.283           HST         .290         .024         1.337         1.038         1.721           RHG        124         .260         .884         .713         1.096           SAR         .484         .170         1.623         .812         3.244           PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000		Constant	-39.024	.207	.000					
HST         .290         .024         1.337         1.038         1.721           RHG        124         .260         .884         .713         1.096           SAR         .484         .170         1.623         .812         3.244           PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000	Step 3(a)	SBJ	.032	.169	1.032	.987	1.080			
RHG        124         .260         .884         .713         1.096           SAR         .484         .170         1.623         .812         3.244           PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000		SU	.130	.032	1.139	1.011	1.283			
SAR         .484         .170         1.623         .812         3.244           PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000		HST	.290	.024	1.337	1.038	1.721			
PT         .069         .415         1.071         .908         1.265           Constant         -45.800         .007         .000         .007         .000           Step 4(a)         SBJ         .027         .225         1.027         .984         1.072           SU         .136         .019         1.145         1.022         1.283           HST         .256         .022         1.292         1.038         1.607           HG        117         .277         .890         .721         1.098           SAR         .568         .095         1.765         .907         3.438           Constant         -39.384         .004         .000         .000         .025         1.040         1.537           Step 5(a)         SBJ         .020         .341         1.020         .979         1.062           SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.285           HST         .235         .019         1.265         1.040         1.537           SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000		RHG	124	.260	.884	.713	1.096			
Constant         -45.800         .007         .000           Step 4(a)         SBJ         .027         .225         1.027         .984         1.072           SU         .136         .019         1.145         1.022         1.283           HST         .256         .022         1.292         1.038         1.607           HG        117         .277         .890         .721         1.098           SAR         .568         .095         1.765         .907         3.438           Constant         -39.384         .004         .000         .         .           Step 5(a)         SBJ         .020         .341         1.020         .979         1.062           SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.285           HST         .235         .019         1.265         1.040         1.537           SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000		SAR	.484	.170	1.623	.812	3.244			
Step 4(a)         SBJ         .027         .225         1.027         .984         1.072           SU         .136         .019         1.145         1.022         1.283           HST         .256         .022         1.292         1.038         1.607           HG        117         .277         .890         .721         1.098           SAR         .568         .095         1.765         .907         3.438           Constant         -39.384         .004         .000         .         .           Step 5(a)         SBJ         .020         .341         1.020         .979         1.062           SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.285           HST         .235         .019         1.265         1.040         1.537           SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000		PT	.069	.415	1.071	.908	1.265			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Constant	-45.800	.007	.000					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Step 4(a)	SBJ	.027	.225	1.027	.984	1.072			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		SU	.136	.019	1.145	1.022	1.283			
SAR         .568         .095         1.765         .907         3.438           Constant         -39.384         .004         .000         .000           Step 5(a)         SBJ         .020         .341         1.020         .979         1.062           SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.285           HST         .235         .019         1.265         1.040         1.537           SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000         .000         .005           Step 6(a)         SU         .129         .018         1.138         1.023         1.266           HST         .231         .017         1.260         1.043         1.522           SAR         .425         .112         1.530         .905         2.586           Constant         -33.191         .002         .000         .000         .000         .000         .000         .1284           HST         .207         .013         1.231         1.044         1.450		HST	.256	.022	1.292	1.038	1.607			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		HG	117	.277	.890	.721	1.098			
Step 5(a)         SBJ         .020         .341         1.020         .979         1.062           SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.285           HST         .235         .019         1.265         1.040         1.537           SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000		SAR	.568	.095	1.765	.907	3.438			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Constant	-39.384	.004	.000					
HST         .235         .019         1.265         1.040         1.537           SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000	Step 5(a)	SBJ	.020	.341	1.020	.979	1.062			
SAR         .399         .136         1.490         .882         2.519           Constant         -37.987         .002         .000         .000           Step 6(a)         SU         .129         .018         1.138         1.023         1.266           HST         .231         .017         1.260         1.043         1.522           SAR         .425         .112         1.530         .905         2.586           Constant         -33.191         .002         .000		SU	.137	.017	1.147	1.025	1.285			
Constant         -37.987         .002         .000           Step 6(a)         SU         .129         .018         1.138         1.023         1.266           HST         .231         .017         1.260         1.043         1.522           SAR         .425         .112         1.530         .905         2.586           Constant         -33.191         .002         .000		HST	.235	.019	1.265	1.040	1.537			
Step 6(a)         SU         .129         .018         1.138         1.023         1.266           HST         .231         .017         1.260         1.043         1.522           SAR         .425         .112         1.530         .905         2.586           Constant         -33.191         .002         .000		SAR	.399	.136	1.490	.882	2.519			
HST .231 .017 1.260 1.043 1.522 SAR .425 .112 1.530 .905 2.586 Constant -33.191 .002 .000 Step 7(a) SU .137 .017 1.147 1.025 1.284 HST .207 .013 1.231 1.044 1.450		Constant	-37.987	.002	.000					
SAR         .425         .112         1.530         .905         2.586           Constant         -33.191         .002         .000         .000         .000         .000         .000         .001         .002         .000         .001         .002         .000         .001         .017         1.147         1.025         1.284         .001	Step 6(a)	SU	.129	.018	1.138	1.023	1.266			
Constant         -33.191         .002         .000           Step 7(a)         SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.284           HST         .207         .013         1.231         1.044         1.450		HST	.231	.017	1.260	1.043	1.522			
Step 7(a)         SU         .137         .017         1.147         1.025         1.284           HST         .207         .013         1.231         1.044         1.450		SAR	.425	.112	1.530	.905	2.586			
HST .207 .013 1.231 1.044 1.450		Constant	-33.191	.002	.000					
	Step 7(a)	SU	.137	.017	1.147	1.025	1.284			
Constant -23.599 .001 .000		HST	.207	.013	1.231	1.044	1.450			
		Constant	-23.599	.001	.000					

## IV. DISCUSSION

The main objective of the present study was to assess and compare various anthropometric characters and physical fitness level between elite and non-elite boxers. The results of this study demonstrate that significant differences exist in subscapular skin fold, supra-iliac skin fold, fore arm girth and calf girth between the groups. Suitable physique is important to achieve success in particular sports [32]. Judging the performance of the human body by its size, shape and form has been a topic of great concern. In the present day of tough competition, when scientific principles are applied for training of athletes, the size, the shape and the form of the body coupled with its efficiency in performance have been given more importance especially from the point of view of identifying, selecting and developing the talent in sports [33], [34]. Based on the step-wise binary logistic regression analyses reveals that higher stature, larger BTB, medium thigh girth, optimum lean body mass and lower percent fat is identified as suitable physique of the game and these are associated with higher performance in boxing.

Recent researches in this field of sports sciences have clearly established that various physical activities demand different body size and proportions that is why top-level sports men of different sportive events have been found to possess different physique and morphologic characteristics [8]. Stature and body mass have significant impact on elite boxers [15]. Elite male boxers of Manipur possess higher stature, body mass, lean body mass and less body fat compared to non-elite boxers.

The estimation of body composition permits the quantification of gross size of an individual into two major structural components namely fat mass and lean body mass [35]. This accurate appraisal provides an important baseline to develop an effective training program. It is widely believed that there are ideal body weights and body compositions for specific sports. Body fat plays an important role for the assessment of physical fitness of an athlete. The present findings reveals that lower% BF and TBF might have influence in boxing performance. The finding is having a little contradiction with the findings of earlier studies conducted by Khanna and Manna [15]. They reported that body mass and body fat is significantly higher in senior Indian boxers as compared to junior boxers. It indicates that the somatotype of Indian boxers found to have constant status in mesoendomorphic [15] instead of meso-ectomorphic. Mesoectomorph is the optimal somatotype of elite players [28].

Evidence from sports participants in various age groups has demonstrated an inverse relationship between fat mass and performance of physical activities requiring translocation of the body weight either vertically, such as in jumping, or horizontally, as in running [36]-[38]. Excess fatness is detrimental to these types of activities because it adds mass to the body without additional capacity to produce force. Because acceleration is proportional to force but inversely proportional to mass, excess fat at a given level of force application will result in slower changes in velocity and direction [36], [39].

Lower % fat and body mass is observed in Manipuri Elite boxers as compare to non-elite boxers. Excess fatness also increases the metabolic cost of physical activities that require movement of the total body mass [40]. Thus, in most performances involving movement of the body mass, a relatively low %BF should be advantageous both mechanically and metabolically [36]. The average BMI value of elite boxers is lower than non-elite boxers within the normal range of BMI. It indicates that lower value of BMI might have influenced in boxing performance. Low level of BMI may helps in movements and changing pace. However, greater body mass and BMI are strongly related with success in the game of football [41]. It has been reported reducing their body weight prior to completion so as to gain a physiological and psychological advantage over the opponents in the same weight category [42]-[44]. It has been reported that modification to a wrestlers training and diet over a 53-day period resulted in the loss of 12.7% body weight [45]. However, inappropriate methods of weight loss could result in an amateur boxer entering the ring in a dehydrated and glycogen -depleted state, leading to impaired performance and an increased risk to health [46].

As boxing is a combat sports, many activities are forceful and explosive (e. g. punches, agility, flexibility, changing pace etc.). The power output during such activities is related to the strength of the muscles involved in the movements. Thus, it might also diminish the risk of injury [47]. The physical fitness profile of elite Croatian female Taekwondo athletes was assessed to differentiate the successful from less successful fighters [48]. The higher level of SBJ, SR, HST, SU, SAR and PT observed in male elite boxers may be due to their high level physical conditioning training and coaching as compared to non-elite boxers. The present findings based on the binary logistic regression also predict abdominal strength assessed by sit-up test and cardio-vascular endurance indicated by Harvard Step test are the most influencing factors on boxing performance.

### V. CONCLUSION

The overall findings of the present study reveal that less significant variations are observed in anthropometric parameters as compared to physical fitness ability tests between the elite and non-elite boxers. In other words significant differences are more pronounced in physical fitness tests than the anthropometric variables. The present study depicted that long term boxing training can be achieved appropriate physique and physical fitness level. The higher abdominal strength, explosive strength and cardio-vascular endurance are associated with higher performance in boxing event and it should be taken into considerations especially for the achievements of junior boxers. The present findings may, therefore, be important based line information for coaches, players and sports planners. The same findings may also helpful to predict the potential factors among the promising boxers for the achievement of their performance level.

## VI. PRACTICAL APPLICATION

The results of the research can be used in the state and national team selection and it can also be utilised in the selection process of young boxers in striving towards the success of high level boxing competition.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a research grant of Minor Research Project vide UGC sanction No.F-5-320/2011/MRP/NERO/14109. Special thanks UGC to (NERO) for the project grant. The authors also extend very thankful to YAS, Government of Manipur, Sports Authority of India, (NERC), Imphal and coaches for allowing data collection during training and coaching and all the boxers who took part in the study.

## REFERENCES

- Janssens M., Van Renterghem B., Bourgois J., Vrijens J. (2000). Physical fitness and specific motor performance of young soccer players aged 11-12 years. J Sports Sci,16::434-435.
- [2] Reilly T., Williams A., Nevill A. Franks A. (2000). A multidisciplinary approach to talent identification in soccer. J Sports Sci., 18: 695-702.
- [3] Zapartidis I., Vareltzis I., Gouvali M., Kororos P. (2009). Physical fitness and anthropometric characteristics in different levels of young team handball players. *The Open Sports Sciences Journal*, 2: 22-28.
- [4] Elferink-Gemser M., Visscher C., Lemmink K., Mulder T. (2004). Relation between multidimensional performance characteristics and level of performance in talented youth field hockey players. *J Sports Sci*, 22: 1053-1063.
- [5] Gabbett T., Georgieff B. (2007). Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of Australian junior national state, and novice volleyball players. J Strength Cond Res, 21(3): 902-908
- [6] Cureton, T.K.: c.f. H.S. Sodhi, (1991) In: Sports Anthropometry (A
- kinanthropometric Approach). Anova Publication, Mohali, India (1951).
  [7] Hirata, Kin-Itsu. (1979). Selection of Olympic Champions. Department of
- Physical Education, Chukyo University, Toyata, Japan.
  [8] Singh, J., Kaur, R.T. and Kau, P. (2003). Anthropometric evaluation of Indian Boxers of Recent Asian Games. *Indian Journal of Sport Science*
- and Physical Education, 12:17-28.[9] Carter, J.E.L. (1982). Physical Structure of Olympic athletes, part 1.*The*
- Montreal Olympic Anthropological Project.
  [10] Guidetti, L., Musulin,A.,& Baldari,C.(2002). Physiological factors in middleweight boxing performance. Journal Sport Medicine Physical Fitness, 42: 309-314.
- [11] Walilko, TJ, Viano, DC, Bir, CA (2005). Biomechanics of the head for Olympic Boxer punches to the face. Br. J. Sports. Med. 39, 710–19.
- [12] Valentino, B, Esposito, LC, Fabozzo, A (1990). Electromyographis activity of a muscular group in movements specific to boxing. J. Sports. Med. Phys. Fitness. 29, 677–93.
- [13] Ghosh, A.K., Goswami, A. and Ahuja, A (1995). Heart rate and blood lactate response in amateur competitive boxing. *Indian journal of Medical research*, 102:179-183.
- [14] Khanna, G.L., Majumdar, P. and Saha, M. (1995). A comparative study of physiological profile of Indian and Cuban Boxers. *Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences*, 94:13-21.
- [15] Khanna, G.L. and Indrani Manna (2006). Study of Physiological profile of Indian Boxers. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 5: 90-98.
- [16] Clark, H.M. (1957). Relationship of Strength and Anthropometric Measurements to Physical Involving the Trunk and Legs. *Re. Quart.* 28: 223-32.
- [17] Chauhan, M. S. (1986). The Relationship Between Selected Anthropometric Variables and Endurance Running Performance. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.
- [18] Chauhan, M.S. (1987). The Relationship between Selected Anthropometric Variables and Performance in Standing Broad jump of Collegiate Women. *NIS Scientific Journal*, 10(4).
- [19] Chauhan, M.S. (2003). Correlation between selected anthropometric variables and middle distance running performance. *Journal of Sports* and Sports Sciences, 26(3).
- [20] Gabbett T. and Georgieff B. (2007). Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of Australian junior national state, and novice volleyball players. J Strength Conds Res, 21(3): 902-908.

- [21] Zar A, Gilani A., Ebrahim Kh., Gorbani M.H. (2007). A survey of the physical fitness of the male taekwondo athletes of the Iranian National Team. *Physical Edication and sports*. 6(1): 21-29.
- [22] Weiner, G. and Lurie, J.A. (1996). Human Biology, A guide field Methods IBP Handbook No.9, Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford, U.K.
- [23] Slaughter, M.H., T.G. Lohaman, R.A. Boilean, C.A. Horswill, R.J. Stillman, M.D. Van and D.A. Bemben.(1988). Skinfold Equations for estimation of body fateness in children and youth. *Human Biology*, 60:709-723.
- [24] Van Itallie, TB, Yang, MU, Heymsfield,SB, Funk, RC, Boilleau, RA (1990). Height normalized indices of the body's fat free mass and fat mass: Potentially useful indicators of nutritional status. *Am.J. Clin. Nutr*, 52: 953-959.
- [25] Lohman, TG (1992). Body density, body water, and bone mineral: controversies and limitations of two –component systems. In: Advances of body composition assessment Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publishers, 15; 3-4.
- [26] World Health Organisation (1995). The use of interpretation of anthropometry-Report of WHO Expert Committee. WHO Tech Rep Series 854. Geneva World Health organisation.
- [27] American Alliance for Health Physical Education and Recreation (1970). Youth Fitness Test Manual, Revised Edition. National Education Association. Washington
- [28] Sodhi, H.S. (1991). Sports Anthropometry (A Kinanthropometric Approach). Anova Publication, Mohali, p 59
- [29] Amatya, D.L.(2008). Fitness, anthropometry and heart rate response study of Nepal army boxers. *Sports Academy of Nepal*.1-1
  [30] de Garay, A., Levine, L., & Carter, J.E. (1974). *Genetic and*
- [30] de Garay, A., Levine, L., & Carter, J.E. (1974). Genetic and anthropological studies of Olympic athletes. New York: Academic Press.
- [31] Basu, S. Anindita Singha Roy, Amit Bandyopadhyay (2016). Fitness Profile in Male Boxers of Kolkata, India. *Medicina Sportiva*, 12(2): 2782-2791
- [32] Power, S.K. and Howley, E.T. (1997). Exercise Physiology. Brown & Benchmark Publishers, Madison
- [33] Khanna, G.L., Dey,S.K., Batra, M. and Saha, M.(1992). Applied physiology of sports; Indian National Sports Persons. *Pb Sports Authority of India Netaji Subhas Southern Centre Bangalore, India.*
- [34] Reilly, T., Secher, N., Snell, P. and Williams, C. (1990). Physiology of Sports. E & F.N. Spon, London.
- [35] Durnin, J.V.G.A. and Womersley, J. (1947). Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skin fold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women from 16 to 72 years. *British Journal of Nutrition* 32, 77-97.
- [36] Boileau, RA, & Lohman, TG. (1977). The measurement of human physique and its effect on physical performance. *Orthop Clin North Am*, 8(33):563–581.
- [37] Malina, R.M. (1992). Physique and body composition: Effects on performance and effects on training, semi starvation, and overtraining. In: K.D. Brownell, J. Rodin, and J.H. Wilmore (eds.) *Eating, Body Weight, and Performance in Athletes.* Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, pp. 94-114.
- [38] Pate, R.R., C.A. Slentz, and D.P. Katz (1989). Relationships between skin fold thickness and performance of health-related fitness test items. *Res. Quart. Exerc. Sport*, 60:183-189.
- [39] Harman, E.A., & P.N. Frykman (1992): The relationship of body size and composition to the performance of physically demanding military tasks. In: B.M. Marriott and J. Grumstrup-Scott (eds.) Body Composition and Physical Performance: Applications for the Military Services Washington D.C.: National Academy Press, pp. 105-118
- [40] Buskirk, E., and H. L (1957) Taylor: Maximal oxygen intake and its relation to body composition with special reference to chronic physical activity and obesity. J. Appl. Physiol. 11:72-78 (1957).
- [41] Olds, T. (2001). The Evolution of physique in Male Rugby union players in the twentieth century. *Journal of Sports Science*,19: 253-262.
- [42] Hall, C.J. and Lane, M.A. (2001). Effects of rapid weight loss on mood and performance among amateur boxers. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 35: 390-395
- [43] Smith M.S. (1998). Sport specific ergometry and the physiological demands of amateur boxing. Doctoral Thesis. University College Chichester; England
- [44] Smith M.S., Dyson R., Hale T., Hamilton M., Kelly J., Wellington P. (2001) The effects of restricted energy and fluid balance on simulated

amateur boxing performance. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism 11, 238-248.

- [45] Widerman, P.M. and Hagan, P.M. (1982). Body weight loss in a wrestler preparing for competition: A case report. *Medicine and Science in Sports* and Exercise, 14(6):413-418.
- [46] Smith M.S. (2006). Physiological profile of senior and junior England International amateur boxers. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 5 (CSSI): 74 – 89.
- [47] Reilly, T., Secher, N., Snell, P. and Williams, C.(1990). Physiology of Sports. E & F.N. Spon, London.
- [48] Markovic, G., Misigoj- Durakovic, M and Trinic, S. (2005). Fitness profile of Elite Croatian Female Taekwondo Athletes. *Collegium Anthropologicum*, 29(1): 93-9.