Prevalence of Headache among Adult Population in Urban Varanasi, India

Hari Shankar, Kshitij Raj, Priya Keshari, Pragya Singh

Abstract-Headache is one of the most ubiquitous and frequent neurological disorders interfering with everyday life in all countries. India appears to be no exception. Objectives are to assess the prevalence of headache among adult population in urban area of Varanasi and to find out factors influencing the occurrence of headache. A community based cross sectional study was conducted among adult population in urban area of Varanasi district, Uttar Pradesh, India. Total 151 eligible respondents were interviewed by simple random sampling technique. Proportion percentage and Chisquare test were applied for data analysis. Out of 151 respondents, majority (58.3%) were females. In this study, 92.8% respondents belonged to age group 18-60 years while 7.2% was either 60 year of age or above. The overall prevalence of headache was found to be 51.1%. Highest and lowest prevalence of headache was recorded in age groups 18-29 year & 40-49 year respectively. Headache was 62.1% in illiterate and was 40.0% among graduate & above. Unskilled workers had more headache 73.1% than other type of occupation. Headache was more prevalent among unemployed (35.9%) than employed (6.4%). Females had higher family history of headache (48.9%) as compared to males (41.3%). Study subjects having peaceful relation with family members, relatives and neighbors had more headache than those having no peaceful relation.

Keywords—Family relationship, headache, neighbors, ration cards.

I. INTRODUCTION

PRIMARY headache, especially migraine and tension-type headache (TTH) are common in the general population worldwide [11], [2]. The current headache prevalence is 46% in the adult population worldwide [10]. Asians have a lower prevalence than Europeans and North American [9], [10]. The primary headache disorders, mostly migraine and TTH are of importance to global public health which leads widespread ill health and impaired quality of life [2], and causes loss of work productivity [9]. Improper treatment of migraine or TTH can lead to Medication-Overuse Headache (MOH), an aggravated disorder which, by definition occurs on \geq 15 days/month and is a major additional contributor to global disability. The Global Burden of Disease Study, 2013 found migraine to be the sixth highest cause of disability Worldwide and MOH the 18th in terms of years of life lost to disability (YLDs) [21]. Collectively, headache disorders rank third [4]. Headache

Hari Shankar. is with the Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 221005, India (phone: +91 9415253807; e-mail: dr h shankar@yahoo.co.in).

Kshitij Raj is PG Students with the Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 221005, India (e-mail: k.of.raj@gmail.com).

Priya Keshari and Pragya Singh are PhD Scholars with the Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 221005, India (e-mail: priya.bhu2010@gmail.com;singhpragya333@gmail.com).

Prevalence is poorly described in many large and populous regions of the world. Nowhere is this more obvious than in the South-East Asia Region (SEAR), the only one of the World Health Organization's six world regions for which no nationwide data has yet been gathered about the prevalence of headache disorders or their impact on society [6]. Most of studies regarding headache focused about migraine, although it was not found to be common disorder [10], [9]. Globally, the prevalence of the adult population with active headache disorders are 46% for headache in general, 11% for migraine, 42% for TTH and 3% for chronic daily headache [10]. Studies conducted in Europe and United States of America show that 6-8% men & 15-18% women had migraine [4], [6]. A similar pattern probably exists in Central America. In Puerto Rico, for example, 6% of men and 17% of women have been found to have migraine [5]. In South America, prevalence appears only slightly lower [8]. A survey in Turkey suggested even greater prevalence 9% in men and 29% in women [7]. Migraine appears less prevalent, but still common, elsewhere in Asia (around 8%) and in Africa (3-7%) in few communities based studies [10]. Tension type of headache affects 2/3 rd adult males and more than 80% females in developed countries [3]. Rural population based studies in developing countries show low headache profile as compared to other type of diseases [9]-[11].

Only few studies have been described headache disorders in Indian context. These studies were based on neuroepidemiological survey [5].

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Study Design

A cross sectional study was conducted in Urban Health Centre (UHC) Sunderpur in the field practice area of Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi.

B. Sample size

The sample size was calculated using the following formula. N= z^2 P (1-P) / e^2 where N = sample size, z = statistics for α error, P = estimated prevalence of headache. Assuming alpha error at 10%, z 1.96 and estimated 63 percent prevalence of headache reported in a study conducted in Karnataka, India. Sample size was found to be N = (1.96)2 * (0.63*0.37) / (0.1*0.1) = 89, and 1.5 design effect and 10% non respondent rate the final sample size was found. 89*1.5/(1-0.1) = 149 but we interviewed 151 respondents.

C. Sampling Procedure

Simple random sampling procedure was adopted to select respondents after inclusion and exclusion criteria. Verbal informed consent was taken. The total 151 respondents were analyzed using SPSS. Proportion, percentage and Chi Square test were applied.

III. RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of respondents as shown in Table I indicate out of 151 respondent's majority were females (58.3%). Maximum 35.8% respondents were in age group 18-29 year of age and only 7.2% in either 60 year or above. Majority of subjects belonged to upper class 72.2% and only 27.8% were in lower class (having red & white ration cards).

TABLE I	
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE	

	DEMOGRAPHIC I	PROFILE	
Chai	Characteristics		Percentage
Age	18-29	54	35.8
	30-39	41	27.2
	40-49	25	16.6
	50-59	20	13.2
	≥60	11	7.2
Sex	Male	63	41.7
	Female	88	58.3
Education	Illiterate	29	19.2
	Primary	29	19.2
	High School	35	23.2
	Intermediate	23	15.2
	Graduate & above	35	23.2
Occupation	Unemployed	56	37.1
	Student	22	14.6
	Skilled Worker	19	12.6
	Unskilled Worker	26	17.2
	Service	12	7.9
	Business	16	19.6
Ration Cards	Yellow (UC)	109	72.2
	Red (ULC)	22	14.6
	White (LC)	20	13.2

SES: Socio Economic Status, UC: upper class, ULC: upper lower class (below poverty line), LC: lower class (poorest of the poor)

According to Table II, age group 18-29 year was found to be more susceptible for headache than other age groups. Prevalence of headache decreases with increasing age only up to 49 year. Thereafter, it rises up to 59 year and again decreases with increasing age 60 year & above. Respondents educated up to high school had 62.9% headache while Intermediate (12th Class) and graduate had 60.9% and 40.0% respectively. It shows that headache decreases with increasing level of education.

Proportion of headache with age, as shown in Fig. 1, indicates that maximum proportion of headache is present in age group 18-29 years of age while lowest in age group 60 and above. Percentage of headache according to sex, as shown in Fig. 2, indicates that more than 40% females having headache as compared to males 20%. Prevalence of headache was 28.2% among respondents who were educated up to High School. In illiterate headache was found to be 62.1%.

Headache was more prevalent among unemployed (35.9%) followed by employed (6.4%). Females had higher family history of headache (48.9%) as compared to male (41.3%). More than 92.7% study subjects had peaceful relation with family member, relative and neighbors. Of which half of the respondents had headache. Study further indicated that females had good relationship with other family members, relatives and neighbors as compared to males.

		ABLE II ION OF HEAD	ACHE	
Characteristics	Present	Absent	Total	p-value
Characteristics	(N& %)	(N& %)	(N& %)	p-value
	(1102 70)	Age	(1102 70)	
18-29	33(61.1%)	21(38.9%)	54(35.8%)	0.232
30-39	17(41.5%)	24(58.5)	41(27.1)	0.232
40-49	10(40%)	15(60%)	25(16.5%)	
50-59	12(60%)	8(40%)	20(13.2%)	
≥60	6(54.5%)	5(45.5%)	11(7.3%)	
	0(0 110 / 0)	Sex	11(/10/0)	
Male	28(35.9%)	35(47.9%)	63(41.7%)	0.134
Female	50(56.8%)	38(43.2%)	88(58.3%)	
	. ,	ducation	,	
Illiterate	18(62.1%)	11(37.9%)	29(19.2%)	0.058
Primary	10(34.5%)	19(65.5%)	29(19.2%)	
High School	22(62.9%	13(37.1%)	35(32.2%)	
Intermediate	14(60.9%)	9(39.1%	23(15.2%)	
Graduate	14(40%	21(60%	35(23.2%)	
	0	ccupation	· /	
Unemployed	28(50%)	28(50%)	56(37.1)	0.266
Student	11(50%)	11(50%)	22(14.6%)	
Skilled	8(42.1%)	11(57.9%)	19(12.6%)	
Unskilled	19(73.1%)	7(26.9%)	26(17.2%)	
Service	5(41.7%)	7(58.3%)	12(7.9%)	
Business	7(43.8%)	9(56.2%)	16(10.6)	
	Ra	tion Cards		
Yellow (UC)	58(53.2%)	51(46.8%)	109(72.2%)	0.531
Red (ULC)	12(54.2%)	10(45.5%)	22(14.6%)	
White (LC)	8(40%)	12(60%)	20(13.2%)	
	Relationship	with family r	nember	
Peaceful	75(51.7%)	70((48.3%)	145(96%)	0.934
Not Peaceful	3(50%)	3(50%)	6(4.0%)	
	Relations	hip with relat	ives	
Peaceful	77(53.1%)	68(46.9%)	145(96%)	0.080
Not Peaceful	1(16.7%) Relationsh	5(83.3%) hip with neigh	6(4.0%) bors	
Peaceful	77(52.9%)	66(47.1%)	140(92.7%)	0.292
Not Peaceful	4(36.4%)	7(63.3%)	11(7.3%)	
L: Above Pover	ty line BPL	Below Pove	ty Line, POP:	Poores

APL: Above Poverty line; BPL: Below Poverty Line, POP: Poorest of the Poor.

IV. DISCUSSION

The overall prevalence of headache in this study is 51.7%. This is higher than that estimated by [10] (46%), [15] (30.1%), [12] (21.6%) and lower than found in other studies like [16] (63.9%), [19] (74.2%), [17] (63.9%), [14] (81.7%), [18] (85.4%). The variation may be due to differences in geographical, population attributes of the study areas.

The female preponderance of headache is seen in this study (56.8%) similar to [16] 43.3%, [19] (87.7%), [17] (59.9%). There is no much difference of headache prevalence in illiterate 62.1% and respondents educated up to high school (62.9%) and Intermediate (12th class) (60.9%) but it is comparatively low in subjects educated up to primary level (35.5%) and graduated or above (40%). Similar study shows findings of headache [16] with illiterate (32.6%), primary (7.9%), high school (47.1%), and graduate or above (12.5%). Other study shows [13] that subjects having secondary school (8th class) and primary school education had 33.8% headache while those who were educated up to high school and intermediate (12 th class) and graduate had 34.3% and 26.4% respectively. In present study prevalence of headache was found to be 50% in unemployed, 50% in students, 42.1% in skilled workers ,73.1% in unskilled workers, 41.7% in service and 43.8% in business . It is different from study of Kulkarni et al. having prevalence of headache in unemployed 7.9% unskilled workers 1.5% skilled or semiskilled workers 58.8%, clerical, shop owner, farmer 26.8%, professional or semiprofessional 5.1% [16]. It may be due to many factors like education and employment type and levels of the study areas, etc.




Fig. 1 Proportion of Headache According to Age

According to Global year against headache, Oct2011-Oct 2012 Epidemiology [22], headache is the most prevalent neurological disorders and among the most frequent symptoms seen in general practice. 50% of the general population has headaches during any given year which is close to 51.7% of this study. There is an equal sex ratio for TTH prevalence and in this study also, there is no significant difference in prevalence of headache in males and females.

Rasmussen et al. [1] state that corresponding prevalence of TTH were 63% and 86% in men and women respectively. Our study also shows similar pattern of headache in males and females. Rasmussen et al. [1] also state that the prevalence of TTH decreased with increasing age which is different than our study which can be attributed to the factors like status of income, unemployment, elderly care, social customs which is different in the studies done.




Fig. 2 Percentage of Headache According to sex

Naglaa A. El-Sherbiny et al. [20], in a study of Egypt, showed the 1-year headache prevalence 51.4 % which is very close to our study (51.7%), also Headache disorders were more common in females similar to our study.

A study of 180 participants in the validation sample in Pakistan by Herekar et al. [14] showed that out of 180 participants 147 (81.7%) reported headache in the last year. This is much higher than most of the studies and may be due to the harsh and terrorizing living conditions prevailing in Pakistan compared to India.

V.CONCLUSION

The study showed that headache is more prevalent in younger age group (18-29 years). A decreasing trend of headache with increasing age was observed but it is not statistically significant. As far as gender is concerned, prevalence of headache is higher in females than males. Unskilled persons have higher prevalence of headache than other occupation. To prevent future epidemic of headache, policy makers and programme implementer will have to adapt effective strategy for prevention and control of headache among younger age groups in general and female in particular.

REFERENCES

- Birthe Krogh Rasmussen, Rigmor Jensen, Marianne Schroll, Jes Olesen, "Epidemiology of headache in a general population—A prevalence study", *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, Vol. 44, Issue 11, pp 1147– 1157,1991.
- [2] Munsat TL, Mancall EL, Des Lauriers MP (1994) The AAN launches a new education program: CONTINUUM lifelong learning in neurology. Neurology 44, pp.771–772, 1994.
- [3] BK Rasmussen "Epidemiology of headache". Cephalalgia vol.15 pp: 45–68, February 1995.
- [4] AI Scher, WF Stewart, RB Lipton "Migraine and headache: a metaanalytic approach." In: Crombie IK (ed) Epidemiology of pain. WA, IASP Press, Seattle, pp 159–170, 1999.
- [5] H Miranda, G Ortiz, S Figuerosa, D Pena, J Guzman "Prevalence of headache in Puerto Rico". Headache: the journal of Head and Face pain vol. 43 pp: 774–778, July2003.
- [6] TJ Steiner, AI Scher, WF Stewart, K Kolondner, J Liberman, RB Lipton "The prevalence and disability burden of adult migraine in England and their relationships to age, gender and ethnicity". Cephalalgia vol. 23 pp: 519–527, September 2003.
- [7] Y Celik, G Ekukuklu, B Tokuc, UUtuku "Migraine prevalence and some related factors in Turkey: Headache". The Journal of Head and Face Pain. vol. 45 pp: 32–36, January 2005.

- [8] LE Morillo, F Alarcon, N Aranaga, S Aulet, E Chapman, L Conterino "Prevalence of migraine in Latin America". Headache: the journal of head and face pain. vol. 45 pp: 106–117, February 2005.
- [9] TJ Steiner "Lifting the burden: the global campaign to reduce the burden of headache worldwide". J Headache Pain vol.6, pp373–377, 2005.
- [10] LJ Stovner, K Hagen, R Jensen, Z Katarava, R Lipton, A Scher, T Stenier, JA Zwart "The global burden of headache: a documentation of headache prevalence and disability worldwide". Cephalalgia pubMed vol. 27(3), pp: 193–210, March 2007
- [11] World Health Organization (2004) Headache disorders. Fact sheet N°277. Accessed on 28 June 2010.
- [12] Mengistu and Alemayehu: "Prevalence and burden of primary headache disorders among a local community in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia", The Journal of Headache and Pain, vol. 14:30, pp: 1-13 March 2013
- [13] Min Kyung Chu, Dong-Wook Kim, Byung-Kun Kim, Jae-Moon Kim, Tae-Won Jang, JeongWook Park, KwangSoo Lee and Soo-Jin Cho: "Gender-specific influence of socioeconomic status on the prevalence of migraine and tension-type headache: the results from the Korean headache survey". The Journal of Headache and Pain, vol. 14:82, pp: 1-7, October 2013.
- [14] Arif D Herekar, A A Herekar, A Ahmad, U L Uqaili, B Ahmed, J Effendi3, S Z Alvi and T J Steiner: "The burden of headache disorders in Pakistan: methodology of a population-based nationwide study, and questionnaire validation"; The Journal of Headache and Pain. vol. 14pp:73, August 2013
- [15] Byung-Su Kim, Chin-Sang Chung, Min Kyung Chu, Yun Kyung Chung, Chung-Bin Lee and Jae-Moon Kim: "Gender-specific influence of socioeconomic status on the prevalence of migraine and tension-type headache: the results from the Korean headache survey". The Journal of Headache and Pain. vol. 16:40, May2015.
- [16] GB Kulkarni, GN Rao, G Gururaj, LJ Stovner, and TJ Steiner. "Headache disorders and public ill-health in India: prevalence estimates in Karnataka State; The Journal of Headache and Pain".pp: 16:67, May2015.
- [17] Girish N Rao, B Girish. Kulkarni, GopalkrishnaGururaj, J Lars. Stovner and TJ Steiner. "The burden attributable to headachedisorders in India: estimates from acommunity-based study in Karnataka State"The Journal of Headache and Pain, 16:94, November 2015.
- [18] K Manandhar, A Risal, T J Steiner, A Holen1 and M Linde: "The prevalence of primary headachedisorders in Nepal: a nationwide population-based study"; The Journal of Headache and Pain vol.16: 95, pp: 1-10, November 2015.
- [19] M Allena, TJ Steiner, G Sances, B Carugno, F Balsamo, G Nappi1, C Andrée and CTassorelli. "Impact of headache disorders in Italy and the public-health and policy implications: a population-based study within the Eurolight Project" The Journal of Headache and Pain pp: 16-100, December 2015.
- [20] A Naglaa. El-Sherbiny, Mohamed Masoud, Nevin M. Shalaby and Hatem S. Shehata, "Prevalence of primary headache disorders in Fayoum Governorate, Egypt", *The Journal of Headache and Pain*, vol. 16:85, 2015.
- [21] Vos T, Barber RM, Bell B, Bertozzi-Villa A, Biryukov S, Bolliger I, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet.2015; 386:743–800. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60692-4. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Cross Ref]
- [22] Merikangas KR, Lateef T. Epidemiology and quality of life of migraine. In: Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Chaitow L, Schoenen J, editors. Multidisciplinary management of migraine: pharmacological, manual and other therapies. Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2011.