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Abstract—MicroRNAs are small non-coding RNA found in many different species. They play crucial roles in cancer such as biological processes of apoptosis and proliferation. The identification of microRNA-target genes can be an essential first step towards revealing the role of microRNA in various cancer types. In this paper, we predict miRNA-target genes for lung cancer by integrating prediction scores from miRanda and PITA algorithms used as a feature vector of miRNA-target interaction. Then, machine-learning algorithms were implemented for making a final prediction. The approach developed in this study should be of value for future studies into understanding the role of miRNAs in molecular mechanisms enabling lung cancer formation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

MICRONAS (miRNAs) are a class of naturally occurring, small non-coding RNA endogenous molecules of ribonucleic acid found in eukaryotic cells [1], about 21-25 nucleotides in length. MiRNAs function is to downregulate gene expression. The translational inhibition by miRNAs has been thought of as a major mechanism in animal systems while miRNA degradation regulation has been considered as a major regulatory mechanism in plants [2]. MiRNAs play crucial roles in wide range of aspects of cancer biology, such as proliferation, apoptosis, invasion, and angiogenesis [3].

References [4] and [5] used microarray analysis to reveal the significantly different miRNAs profiles in cancer cells compared with those in normal cells in the same tissue. Their work indicated that miRNAs signature profiling enabled the tumor tissue samples. Moreover, several previous research works performed microarray analysis on various types of cancers such as breast, leukemia, colon, lung [6]-[8]. Reference [9] identified that miRNA expression was correlated with specific breast cancer such as estrogen and progesterone receptor expression, tumor stage and proliferation. Besides, [10] found that the down-regulation of let-7d, miR-210 and miR-221 in ductal carcinoma in situ while they were up-regulated in the invasive transition. Reference [11] identified 43 miRNAs that were differentially expressed in microarrays between normal lung and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) pairs. Moreover, [12] reported that miR-30, miR-7i and miR-126 significantly down-regulated in squamous cell lung cancer. The recent studies report that miRNAs expression changes induced by cigarette smoke may be prevented by N-acetylcysteine, oltipraz, indole-3-carbinol, 5,6-benzoflavone, phenethyl isothiocyanate and budesonide [13], [14]. Previous studies reveal that lung cancer biomarkers miRNAs are the most promising because of remarkable stability and cancer-type specificity [15]. Lung cancer has a poor prognosis, therefore studies in this area are necessary to be successful in prospective clinical applications.

Many computational techniques have been discovered to predict miRNA-target gene, multiple factors are introduced to identify their target genes such as complementarily of different regions on miRNAs, binding site conservation and also target sites accessibility. Different predictive algorithms are based on different factors, therefore, integrating diverse algorithms may improve target prediction. MiRanda is an algorithm written in C for finding target genes for miRNAs. This algorithm was developed at the Computational Biology Center of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center [16], [17]. MiRanda identifies miRNA-target genes based on sequence complementarily and conservation of target sites; whereas, PITA [18] predicts miRNA-target gene by calculate the free energies of RNA-RNA duplexes, PITA gives matching scores to multiple biding sites, therefore, optimal combination of different algorithms may improve the prediction performance. In this study, we use a combination approach for identifying miRNA target of lung cancer gene which is adopting miRNA-target prediction algorithms and also machine learning algorithms (ML).

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Data Sources

The 738 predicted lung cancer genes list was obtained from [19] and their FASTA sequence was obtained from Uniprot [20]. A whole set of human miRNAs sequence was downloaded from mirBase [21], list of 39,111 experimentally confirmed miRNA-target pairs was obtained from mirTarBase [22].

B. System Workflow

In this study, we integrated various types of approaches to identify miRNA-target gene to reveal the essential biological process of miRNA related to lung cancer. Firstly, training set was generated by inputting experimentally confirmed miRNA sequences and their target FASTA sequences into PITA and miRanda to get the prediction scores. The miRNA-target pairs...
that satisfied these two algorithms were filtered as a positive set. Negative set is the pairs that satisfied those two algorithms with the positive set subtracted. Secondly, test set was prepared by the two predictors, a whole set of miRNAs sequences and a set of cancer associated genes FASTA sequences were submitted into predictors. The miRNA-target pairs with two prediction scores that satisfied the predictors were extracted to be the test set. Thirdly, Naïve Bayes and Support Vector Machine (SVM) are selected to classify the final prediction results. The training set was submitted into these two classifiers with optimum parameter setting in order to build up the classification models. Next, the test set was submitted to the two classifiers models to give to final prediction result.

**Fig. 1 System flowchart of this work**

C. **MiRanda and PITA Parameter Setting**

Three parameters are required for miRanda execution; threshold score, MFE and scaling factor, which are given as 80, 14 kcal/mol and 2.0 respectively. The max score was observed in this study, the higher score is the better biding between miRNA and their target gene.

For PITA, sequence of miRNA and UTR were analysed by default parameter setting. In case of single binding site, the score is given by ΔΔG value whereas multiple binding sites, the score were determined by minimum value of its binding.

D. **Training Set and Test Set Generation**

A comprised set of 37,443 experimentally confirmed miRNA-target pairs was downloaded from mirTarBase. These pairs were derived from a set of 596 miRNAs and 12,104 mRNAs. These sets were processed by the two predictors; miRanda and PITA. Then, predicted miRNA-target pairs are merged. Positive set (195 pairs) are experimentally confirmed pairs that satisfied the two algorithms. Negative set is a total of 442 pairs that satisfied two algorithms with the positive set subtracted.

The test set was generated by the two predictors for 738 cancer associated genes and a whole set of human miRNA.

E. **Machine Learning Process**

The open-access software RapidMiner was adopted as a tool for classification in this work. Two classification algorithms; Naïve Bayes and Support Vector Machine (SVM) were selected to predict which miRNAs are likely to target which associated lung cancer genes.

For Naïve Bayes parameter setting, estimation mode is set to greedy, value of minimum band-width, Number of kernels and right is set to 0.1, 10, and 19 respectively. There are five parameters for SVM to be set; Kernel type is set to radial, Kernel gamma, Kernel cache, C value and Convergence epsilon are set to 1.0, 200, 0.5 and 0.001 respectively.

Once the two classifiers were optimized, they were adopted to evaluate the test set. MiRNA-target pairs obtained from these two classifiers were integrated and only the pairs that satisfy the two classifiers were filtered as a final result.

**III. RESULTS**

A. **Prediction of miRNA-Target Gene Interaction**

It was found that Naïve Bayes achieves the best performance at 80.03% of accuracy and SVM achieves the best performance at 82.40% of accuracy. A total of 884 pair was predicted by Naïve Bayes and SVM. There are only novel 18 miRNA-target pairs were predicted by both classifiers, 254 novel pairs satisfied Naïve Bayes and 62 novel pairs satisfied SVM.
B. Novel miRNA Co-Regulated Target Genes

Fig. 2 depicts RASA1 is targeted by two miRNAs; miR-142-3p and miR-217. RASA1 involves in regulation of apoptosis, and regulation of programmed cell death.

![Fig. 2 Co-regulated miRNAs of RASA1](image1)

Fig. 3 depicts CD46 is targeted by two miRNAs; miR-140-5p and miR-139-5p. CD46 involves in defense response process. PAK2 involves in cell death, apoptosis, cell division and also ErbB signaling pathway recorded by KEGG.

![Fig. 3 Co-regulated miRNAs of CD46](image2)

C. Novel miRNA-Target Genes

This experiment found that some miRNAs directly regulate lung cancer proteins; miR133b, miR139-5b, miR-142-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-206, miR-217 and miR-140-5p. Fig. 4 depicts miR-133 target genes. It targets to CD44 and CRK which are lung cancer protein. CD44 is lung cancer protein that involves in defense response, regulation of apoptosis, regulation of programmed cell death, and regulation of cell death. Besides, CRK involves in KEGG pathway of cancer, prostate cancer, and ErbB signalling.

![Fig. 4 MiR-133b and target genes](image3)

Fig. 5 shows miR-139-5p target genes. CD46 involves in defense response process. PAK2 involves in cell death, apoptosis, cell division and also ErbB signaling pathway recorded by KEGG.

![Fig. 5 Mir-139-5p and target genes](image4)

IV. CONCLUSIONS

miRNAs are emerging as key components in gene regulatory pathways in human cancers. They play important roles in a variety of human cellular processes such as apoptosis, proliferation and programmed cell death. Our evidence indicates that miR133b, miR139-5b, miR-142-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-206, miR-217 and miR-140-5p target to lung cancer proteins that involve in crucial cancer biological processes such as defense response, regulation of apoptosis, regulation of programmed cell death, and regulation of cell death. The approach introduced in this work and also the results should be of value for future studies to reveal the role of miRNAs in cancer study.
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