

network. The wireless communication between particles is addressed based on non-persistent CSMA MAC protocol. This protocol is designed to handle access to the shared channel [8]. In this work, CSMA is employed to accomplish communication between the particles. It is classified as a random access protocol and was developed to minimize the chance of collision and, therefore, increase the system performance. It uses a contention-based approach to channel access and does not require time synchronization [14], [22].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: the next section presents related work, Section III presents how to use SystemC design methodology to create CSMA model, Section IV presents communications scenarios based on multichannel access protocols. The simulation platform will be explained in detail in Section V, and then Section VI presents the results and discussion. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section VII.

II. RELATED WORK

Several approaches exist in literature that targeting integration between system design modeling and communication activities, but most of these research propose a framework supporting inter-operation of different tools in order to model system and communication aspects, which means they have not been incorporating the whole design process into a homogeneous methodology, based on a single language. [9], [10] present a co-design methodology to joint system alternatives with the network features. In this approach, HW/SW part of the system is modeled by using SystemC and the other part which is the network part is implemented using network simulator (NS2). Furthermore, the authors modify the simulator kernels to share a common simulation time. On the hand, one of the few research that used a unified environment throughout the modeling of the system aspects and networks is in [11]. The authors have exploited a SystemC language to build a System/Network simulator named SystemC Network Simulation Library (SCNSL). This library allows to model network scenarios in which different kinds of nodes, or nodes described at various abstraction levels, interact together. Here the authors use SystemC as a unique tool that allowed them to model, validate and refined system and network at the same environment.

III. USING SYSTEMC METHODOLOGY TO CREATE CSMA MODEL

SystemC design methodology provides a consistent framework for the design and modeling of complex systems at different levels of abstraction. This is important to design complex systems (SoC), where it is necessary to determine the system performance before a prototype is constructed, in order to evaluate the merit of different implementations. SystemC can describes hardware at high levels of abstraction, the primary advantage to designing any system at the system level has the ability to implement that system from the highest level of abstraction, i.e., specifications model. From a system design methodology perspective [3], [13], there are a number of intermediate models that can be defined and then used to

reduce the complexity of the system design process. Using intermediate models divides the entire design into slices (small design tasks). Each task is represented by a model and has a particular design objective. Thus, each model can be simulated, and the results independently validated [12]. Fig. 2 shows some of the abstraction levels that describe design process by using SystemC design methodology and the next sections provide a short description of SystemC modeling methodology abstraction levels [21].




Fig. 2 SystemC Layers of Abstraction

A. Specification Model

The input of the design methodology is a specification part. It represents the first stage of the system-level design methodology, and it is defined as the starting point of system design process. At this stage, the details of the system are highly abstracted. The main purpose is just to define how the system is supposed to behave. We have to see to the system as general.

B. Architecture Model

Architecture model is defined as an intermediate model of the system design methodology. This stage reflects the components structure of the system architecture. At this point, the system functionality is mapped into components. Then we start defining which parts are H/W and which parts is S/W. It is better to develop more than one option; then we need to compare options to find which option better. To do that, we need to do performance estimation that represents the guide of the architecture exploration.

C. Communication Model

The communication model is the final result of the system synthesis process [12]. At this stage, the partition is done, computations mapped onto components and communications mapped onto protocols and buses so that we can incorporate communication features. We can specify the frequency and communication protocol for the system [5], [6].

IV. COMMUNICATION SCENARIO BASED ON MULTICHANNEL ACCESS PROTOCOLS

To meet the requirements of designing an efficient communication system, multiple access communication (MAC) protocols are necessary to allow multiple resources to share transmission medium simultaneously, which means these multiple access schemes employed to manage multiple access issues based on a multiplexing technique. Moreover, these schemes determine how data streams can be read efficiently from each communication node and then transmitting over a shared medium and finally broadcast to all the network nodes [8]. In MAC Protocols, the assumption made that all communication occurs on the single channel on which all stations can transmit and receive and no separate signaling channel. There are two basic approaches; the first one is Scheduling, e.g. TDMA, Reservation, Polling, Token Passing. The advantage of this approach is that ready stations transmit, and stations are always guaranteed to get through on the predefined schedule. The disadvantage of it is that wastes time and bandwidth. The other approach is random access, e.g. Carrier sensing (If carrier sensing, stations can tell if channel is in use before trying to transmit), no carrier sensing (transmit any time, detect collisions by absence of ACK. eg, slotted ALOHA, ALOHA). The main type of carrier sense is Carrier sense multiple access – CSMA (sense if channel is busy, send if idle, collisions if two or more stations send at same time), CSMA/CD: with Collision Detection (sense if channel is busy or a collision occurs, stops sending if a collision is noticed. eg, IEEE 802.3) [2], [8]. The advantage of this method is that ready stations transmit anytime. The disadvantage is that stations not guaranteed to get through (collisions may continue to occur). There are three types of CSMA: Nonpersistent CSMA (wait entire back-off period before sensing channel again high efficiency, high delay), p-persistent CSMA wait until channel idle, then transmit with probability p spread out transmission attempts by stations that have been waiting, delay and efficiency can be balanced), 1-persistent CSMA (start transmission as soon as channel becomes low idle delay, low efficiency) [14], [20].

In this work, we illustrate how CSMA technique is used to construct communication between the particles in intelligent engineering swarm system based on a shared medium. It is a contention based protocols. We need to show here that our target not to investigate the protocol issues, but we need to prove that incorporating the wireless features early in the design methodology is very advantageous. Also, we need to show how to integrate communication modeling and the design modeling early in the system development and then how to allow us to investigate the system very easily and make changes quickly.

In the next sections, The CSMA models are carried out at two level of abstraction, the first one at high abstraction level, which means the communication between the particles is modelled based on a shared variable (primitive channel) such as signal or FIFO. The other one is refined communication by inserting wireless communication channel model.

A. Non-Persistent CSMA Modeling at High Abstraction Level

The CSMA protocol is a network arbitration protocol that regulates communication between several resources that communicate by a unique channel. It is a widely studied protocol using various techniques [8]. This part of the work is focusing on non-persistent CSMA technique because of the simplicity and the good performance. We assume here that the agents are not limited by transmitting power, which means each agent can transmit at any power required to reach all the other agents in the system (fully connected topology).

The swarm system model consists of N agents distributed uniformly and communicated over shared channel model. As shown in Fig. 3, the non-persistent CSMA is modeled in SystemC by using two major components, the mutual exclusion object (Mutex) and `sc_signal` resolved [3]. The first one lets agents share shared wireless channel model without colliding by allowing for just one agent to send data packets and the other one allows the agents as multiple writers to access wireless channel. An agent that has a packet to send senses the channel by checking the Mutex. If the channel is idle, the agent sends immediately. If the channel is busy, the agent waits a random amount of time and then senses the channel again, but in this case, the packet is rescheduled with the new value of the current position [22].




Fig. 3 CSMA Model at High Abstraction Level

B. Refine CSMA Model

To optimize system stability in terms of communication, the system is refined by inserting the wireless channel model, so the stability and reliability of the system can be investigated in order to attain the best performance under the communication effects. Fig. 4 illustrates the system diagram after inserting the wireless channel. The main advantage of inserting a wireless channel model into the system is that it allows us to simulate wireless features, i.e., evaluating the effects of inserting noise through the channel. Moreover, we can determine the impact of the communications latency and communication BW to

maintain system stability. The main objective is to prove that we can successfully use SystemC methodology with a shared

channel model to design complex wireless communication systems such as swarm system.




Fig. 4 Refine CSMA Model

V. SIMULATION PLATFORM

In SystemC, functional verification of the modeled system is done through simulation. This process consists of applying a stimulus to the Device Under Test (DUT) and verifying the response against an expected result. At each time step in the simulation, the processes in Fig. 5 are applied to all agents simultaneously, and the positions and velocities of all agents at the next time step are updated accordingly. Subsequently, each agent must send its updated position to the other agents located within their radius of perception.

At the beginning of the simulation, we assume the agents are distributed uniformly in space with a defined shape. The shape is created based-on relative position value that is given to each agent, the distance between the relative positions represent the threshold value (T_h) that should be maintained by each agent in order to make the system converge. So at the final position, the system can be reached the convergence point if each agent maintains the threshold distance with the agents that located at is the radius of perception. Moreover, we need to select one of the agents as a leader. If the leader is moved, all the agents should follow, otherwise (leader fixed) all the agent should kept close to each other without colliding and converge around leader based on the relative positions. In this work, P_0 is selected as a leader. Also there are some initial conditions have to consider in this system such as:




Fig. 5 Data Flow within the Agent Model

1. PD controller parameters (K_p and K_d), which affect the way agents will interact with each other. The controller is responsible for updating acceleration and speed of the agents based on the error value.

2. System data rate as a number of packets per simulation step. The simulation starts with the maximum rate (1 packet=step).
3. The size of swarm system that can be constructed from an enormous number of agents; to simplify the simulation, this system has investigated with ten agents ($n = 10$).
4. The acceleration and speed of the agents that are bounded based on the error value. If the distance between any two agents is greater than (Th), so each of their velocity should be increased to reduce the distance up to (Th) value. On the other hand, if the acceleration or speed value is greater than the maximum level, it will be cut back to the maximum value, which means the speed of the agents is limited or bounded. In this work, the whole system is optimized with acceleration range (-0.2 to 0.2), and speed range (-1.0 to 1.0).

The equations of motion employed in this simulation are symmetrical because all the agents are identical. The simulation program affects the agents movement by modifying only their acceleration; their velocity and position will be updated based on the acceleration value. The simulation takes place on a two-dimensional axis (2D). Each agent has corresponding equations for movement on both X and Y axes. The positions, velocities and accelerations of the agents are all 2D vectors (x,y).

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To simplify the simulation, only ten agents ($n = 10$) are created to run experiments and the relative positions of these agents are defined as a mesh. The packet length is fixed (16 bytes). The leader position was located in the corner. Moreover, different scenarios have been simulated with the developed methodology, which means in some cases the leader was fixed and in other cases it was assumed to be movable. All agents started moving with V_x and V_y based on the error value. These initial values were provided to the system by the stimulus. All the agents began moving from position (0,0) and distributed themselves around the leader (if the leader was fixed) in a uniform shape based on the initial values of their relative positions. If the leader was movable, the agents followed the leader. All these changes were made in order to validate the developed methodology and then prove that incorporating wireless communication at an early stage of the design flow is very advantageous. Multiple simulations were run to check system behavior and to optimize the model parameters, including simulation steps, transmission rate, communication delay, speed and acceleration of the agents. Another parameter to evaluate the performance is the changing of the relative distance value (error- ϵ) which is difference between current distance between two agents P_i and P_j and equivalent relative position distance (Th) that defined at the beginning of the simulation.

In the second part of the simulation, the agents are communicated based on non-persistent CSMA scheme. The experiment is done under the same conditions that illustrated above in the system parameters as indicated in Table I. The agents aim to converge to the desired agents relative positions

defined at the beginning of the simulation. At the end of the simulation, the system converged, and the simulation was stopped when the total error value was ($\epsilon < 0.01$). The system behavior (Fig. 6) clearly shows that the agents remained in the same position structure (mesh) throughout the simulations. Thus, it is proven that agents consistently and efficiently avoid contact with one another. Fig. 7 illustrates the system dynamics curve and Fig. 8 illustrates the converging point of the system, which was obtained at 1340 simulation steps.

TABLE I
 SYSTEM PARAMETERS

Parameters	Values
No. of Agents	10
Error	0.01
Data rate	1 Packets/simulation step
Range of the speed	-1.0 to 1.0
Range of acceleration	-0.2 to 0.2
Proportional Gain (Kp)	0.03
Derivative Gain (Kd)	0.1
Desired Distance (Ld)	1




Fig. 6 System Behaviour

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the conventional SystemC design methodology is used to model non-persistent CSMA MAC protocol. The design exploration space is changed into two dimensions: system (HW/SW) that is addressed exploration and refinement of the system, and the other dimension is communication that addressed wireless features. As a result, the integration of communication modeling into design modeling is introduced in the early stages of system development. For instance, the CSMA model becomes a part of the SystemC methodology and then can be inserted into any system. Also, we can also introduce some communication delays, communication protocols, and noise.




Fig. 7 System Dynamics

Modeling CSMA protocols with SystemC allowed us to build up a software component that is ready-to-used in wireless development prototyping. This software component, which is CSMA model, could be conveniently being used as a substitution of hardware and consequently to cost reduction. There are some non-persistent CSMA protocols had been model before, but the ways and purposes of modeling these protocols are unlike ours, because our aim here is not to investigate this protocol but to prove that inserting wireless communication features into the SoC design methodology are very advantages. In the last stage of this work, the CSMA communication model is validated by modeling of a swarm system that selected as a case study. The final results of the modeled system have been validated and it has been proving that communication has a big impact in system dynamics, i.e., small changes in the wireless specifications create big changes in the system dynamics.




Fig. 8 Convergence Point at 0.01

REFERENCES

- [1] Ibrahim Aref. Wireless Extension to the Existing SystemC Design Methodology. PhD thesis, School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK, February 2011.
- [2] H. Bidgoli. The Handbook of Computer Networks. John Wiley and Sons, 2008.
- [3] D. Black and J. Donovan. SystemC: From the Ground-up. Kluwer Academic Publishers, first edition, 2004.
- [4] N. Bombieri, F. Fummi, and D. Quaglia. System/network design-space exploration based on tlm for networked embedded systems. ACM Transactions on Embedded Computing Systems, 9(4), Mar. 2010.
- [5] L. Cai and D. Gajski. Transaction level modelling in system level design. CECS Technical Report 03-10, 2003.
- [6] L. Cai, S. Verma, and D. Gajski. Comparison of specc and systemc languages for system design. Technical report, Center for Embedded Computer Systems, University of California, Irvine, 2003.
- [7] R. Domer, D. Gajski, and A. Gerstlauer. Specc methodology for high-level modelling. IEEE Electronic Design Processes Workshop EDP 2002, 2002.
- [8] B. A. Forouzan. Data Communications and Networking. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, fourth edition, 2006.
- [9] F. Fummi, P. Gallo, S. Martini, G. Perbellini, M. Poncino, and F. Ricciato. A timing-accurate modeling and simulation environment for networked embedded systems. Annual ACM IEEE Design Automation Conference, pages 42–47, 2003.
- [10] F. Fummi, S. Martini, and G. Perbellini. Heterogeneous co-simulation of networked embedded systems. Proceedings of the Design, Automation and Test in Europe Conference and Exhibition (DATE), 3, 2004.
- [11] F. Fummi, D. Quaglia, and F. Stefanni. A systemc-based framework for modeling and simulation of networked embedded systems. Forum on Specification, Verification and Design Languages, pages 49–54, September 2008.
- [12] D. Gajski, J. Zhu, R. Domer, A. Gerstlauer, and S. Zhao. Spec C: Specification Language and Methodology. 2000.
- [13] A. Ghosh, S. Tjiang, and R. Chandra. System modeling with systemc. In ASIC, 2001.
- [14] S. Glisic and P. Leppänen. Wireless Communications TDMA versus CDMA. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- [15] IEEE Computer Society. Ieee standard systemc language reference manual. IEEE Std 1666-2005, pages 1–423, Mar. 2006.
- [16] OSCI. SystemC User's Guide. Open SystemC Initiative, 2002.
- [17] H. Parunak. Engineering swarming systems. Kluwer, 2004.
- [18] S. Chen and H. Fang. Modeling and control of scalable engineering swarm. the 6th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation, June 2006.
- [19] F. Stefanni, D. Quaglia, and F. Fummi. Systemc simulation of networked embedded systems. Springer Netherlands, 36:201–211, May 2009.
- [20] J. Torregosa and W. Hwang. Multi-channel multitransceiver routing protocol for wireless mesh network. The 9th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology, pages 484–488, Feb. 2007.
- [21] R. Walstrom, J. Schneider, and D. Rover. Teaching system-level design using specc and systemc. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Microelectronic Systems Education (MSE), 2005.
- [22] W. Yue and Y. Matsumoto. Performance Analysis of Multi-Channel and Multi-Traffic on Wireless Communication Networks. Kluwer Academic Publishers, first edition, 2002.

Ibrahim A. Aref is a lecturer in Computer Engineering Department, University of Tripoli-Libya since 2004. He earned his PhD in System Design and Modeling, Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Glasgow, February 2011. He earned his MSc in Computer Systems Engineering, University Putra Malaysia (UPM), Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Communication Systems Engineering Department, March 2004. He has a B.Sc. in Computer Engineering, from Al-Fateh University, Faculty of Engineering, Computer Engineering Department, July 1993.