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Abstract—Non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabric composite is one of the textile-based composite materials that are rapidly developing light-weight engineering materials. The present paper focuses on geometric and micromechanical modeling of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric and composites reinforced with it for aerospace applications. In this research meso-finite element (FE) modeling employs for stress analysis in different load conditions. Since mechanical testing of expensive textile carbon composites with specific application isn't affordable, simulation composite in a virtual environment is a helpful way to investigate its mechanical properties in different conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The drive within the aerospace composites field over the last decade has been to reduce cost, increase component performance and reduce component weight. Composites have now gained an accepted position in aircraft design, while carbon fiber reinforced materials have become the mainstay of aerospace applications. In this research meso-finite element (FE) modeling employs for stress analysis in different load conditions. Finite element software (ABAQUS version 11) is used to analysis stress and heat transfer of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric composite since the other widely used composite reinforcement fibers in aerospace applications. A unit cell model of the 3D orthogonal woven composite has been developed to investigate its mechanical and thermal behavior in different load conditions. Finite element software (ABAQUS version 11) is used to analysis stress and heat transfer of the non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric composite.

II. NON-CRIMP 3D ORTHOGONAL FABRICS

By nature of the described 3D weaving process, a non-crimp fabric is produced, which means that all warp- and fill-directional yarns remain practically straight. This feature immediately distinguishes this kind of fabric from conventional 2D woven fabrics which are crimped due to all warp- and weft-directional yarns interlaced. Note that crimp is also present in some other types of 3D woven fabrics [5].

Khokar named this kind of fabrics as NOO Bed fabrics, which is an acronym for Non-interlacing, Orthogonally Orientating and Binding. These fabrics are different in structure compared to the classical weaving ones. There are three different yarns positioned in three coordinates (x, y, and z). However, the yarns are not interlaced with each other as in the conventional weaving [6]. Noobing is a non-woven 3D fabric-forming process that essentially assembles three mutually perpendicular sets of yarns. There is no interlacing (as with weaving), interlooping (as with knitting) or intertwining (as with braiding) of the involved yarns. Fig. 1 represents non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabric’s structure. Orthogonal fabrics are divided into two groups as uniaxial and multiaxial. Having a non-crimp fabric as composite reinforcement is obviously beneficial, because significantly higher in-plane stiffness and strength can be achieved [7].
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Since the 1970's a wide range of processes have been developed to produce 3D orthogonal preforms. These vary from techniques utilizing relatively conventional weaving mechanisms but with multiple weft insertion, to processes that have very little in common with the traditional weaving process. Some of the earliest work in 3D orthogonal nonwovens was pioneered in France by Aerospatiale and Brochier who licensed their separately developed technology in the USA to Hercules and Avco Specialty Materials respectively. Significant development of machinery to manufacture 3D non-woven preforms has also been undertaken within Japan since the 1970's, particularly at the Three-D Composites Research Corporation (a subsidiary of the Mitsubishi Electric Corporation). Method for the production of non-woven preforms have been developed by Fukuta et al. is shown in Fig. 2. Again these processes rely upon the insertion of yam or cured composite rods along preset directions, the main difference between these methods and others, are the mechanisms to control that insertion [8].

By developing production methods of non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabrics, researchers have focused on studying of mechanical properties and modeling of this structure. Some research was done on own fabric and the other analysis composite reinforced with this fabric. An analytical model was proposed to calculate the energy absorption of the 3 non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabric under ballistic penetration of a hemispherical-cylindrical rigid projectile by Shi et al. They have expressed the non-crimp features of warp and weft yarns impart the highest energy absorptions to 3D orthogonal woven fabric than other kinds of 3D woven fabrics under ballistic impact and there are greater potential applications of the in ballistic protection [9]. Deformability of a single-ply E-glass non-crimp 3D orthogonal woven reinforcement is experimentally investigated by Carvelli et al. This study is focused on the understanding and measurement of the main deformation modes, tension and in plane shear, which are involved during draping of composite reinforcements by uniaxial and biaxial tension; in-plane shear investigation using uniaxial bias extension and picture frame tests; and measurements of the fabric thickness variation during shear [10]. The ballistic impact damages of 3D orthogonal woven fabric penetrated under a conically cylindrical rigid projectile were investigated from experimental tests and finite element simulations by Jia et al. In this research a microstructure model of the non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabric was established and imported into finite element geometrical preprocessor [11]. Mishra et al. have focused on geometric and micromechanical modeling of 3D orthogonal fabrics for composite applications and employs meso-finite element (FE) modeling for it. Analysis is done on unit cell instead of full fabric in ANSYS [12].

Research and modeling of the mechanical properties of composite reinforced with non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabric were often analyzed failure mechanisms and damage. Analytical and finite element models to predict the mechanical properties and fracture resistance of these composites were presented [13]-[15].
III. Finite Element Method

Finite Element Method (FEM) is a numerical method that has been used for physical problem analysis with differential equation or energy theorem. This method is used for problems with complex geometry, boundary conditions and equations which don't permit analytical solution of system. So, numerically methods are used in these cases and finite element method is one of these methods. According to this definition and structure complexity in textiles, it looks application of this method in analysis of textiles conclude realistic and more ideal results [16], [17].

ABAQUS is one of the strongest and most reliable finite element softwares which have special position for researchers in industry and academics. Compared with finite element softwares, possibility of solution in explicit and implicit methods, nonlinear analysis such as plastic behavior and large deformations and easy user accessibility to sub-programs are the most important characterizes of this software.

IV. Method of Modeling

In this article finite element software (ABAQUS version 11) is used for simulating the non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric.

A. Geometry and Material Property of 3D Fabric

In order to estimate non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric in different loading conditions, the geometry of fabric should be determined. The dimension of fabric in this study is considered 10*10*10 mm³ with carbon fiber 12k and the fabric has been analyzed in this structure. As regards the structure of the fabric is Three-D and also solid, the geometry of elements should be considered as 3D solid. So for that carbon fiber with 10mm length is selected and after that the properties of carbon 12k is assigned to each of them. The properties of fiber are shown in Table I. Finally carbon fiber due to its considerable dimensions was assembled and generates non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric which is shown in Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (10^9 N/m²)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Poisson’s Ratio</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity (W/m-K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T300/12K</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Analysis Steps

After specifying the geometry and properties of fabric, we should specify the type of analysis base on loading conditions. In this study three different types of analysis have been used base upon loading conditions. To analyze the behavior of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric under tensile and compressive forces, Dynamic explicit method has been used. In this method the resolution time has been considered 0.1 s and in this period the convergence of answer was obtained. Also for analyzing the behavior of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric under torsion and flexural torque, static riks method has been used. This method helps to increase convergence rate in ill conditions. So riks method has been used in evaluating the post-buckling of solid elastic rod. For evaluating the amount of heat transferred of fabric, static riks method has been used in steady state. Before choosing a specific type of analysis, should noticed that all analysis have to be done in non-linear geometry conditions. The reasons of this matter are:

i. big varies mean of deviation or rotation or high deformation

ii. properties of materials means of non-linear elasticity, plasticity or rupture

iii. boundary conditions means of friction between levels

C. Boundary Condition and Loading

For analyzing the behavior of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric, boundary conditions should be defined that one face is fully fixed without any freedom of movement and also force is applied to the front face. These boundary conditions in all mechanical analysis in this study have been assumed same and constant, so as to compare behavior in each different condition. After defining the type of analyze and also boundary conditions, the type and quantities of applying force determine. Fig. 4 shows a structure of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric simulated in ABAQUS software under tensile force.

D. Mesh Formation

Following steps, the amount of friction of carbon fibers in non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric will be defined and at the end quantities and types of elements will be determined. So non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric is analyzed under tensile force. About 80000 elements have been used and when
both tensile and compressive forces are applied, the types of analysis should have been dynamic, explicit and Three-D with C3D4 element under Explicit-3DStress condition and when torsion or flexural torque are implied, C3D10 element under Starndard-3Dstress has been used.

Fig. 5 Mesh generation on non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric model in ABAQUS

E. Results and Visualizations

Analytical methods and elements which are described in previous steps, have been used to analyze the mechanical behavior of 3D orthogonal carbon fabric in tensile, pressure, flexural and torsional loading. Figs. 6-9 show the cantors of stress and plastic strain of fabric's model under these loading conditions.

Fig. 6 Cantors of 3D orthogonal carbon fabric model applied to tensile: (a) Stress, (b) Plastic strain

Fig. 7 Cantors of 3D orthogonal carbon fabric model applied to pressure: (a) Stress, (b) Plastic strain

Fig. 8 Cantors of 3D orthogonal carbon fabric model applied to bending moment: (a) Stress, (b) Plastic strain
F. Heat Transfer

To analyze the heat transfer in non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric, Static General Method has been used. In deed in steady state the amounts of heat transfer in non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric with specified dimension has been evaluated. It should be noted that in heat transfer analysis, thermal conductivity coefficient of carbon is given as an input, while temperature changes and heat flux are determined. To analysis the behavior of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric, boundary behavior has been assumed different from mechanical analysis. In this analysis the temperature of bottom of structure is 2000 centigrade degree which is almost equal to 2273 kelvin which has been assumed as boundary conditions. It is shown in Fig. 10 [19]. By importing the amount of heat flux, temperature up to beginning of the structure has been investigated. The type of element in this analysis is DC3D10: 10-node quadratic heat transfer tetrahedron. Fig. 12 is a view of temperature changes alongside of the 3D orthogonal carbon fabric structure. Fig. 12 shows the results of heat transfer of non-crimp 3D orthogonal fabric model.

To analyze the 3D orthogonal carbon fabric with considering the radiant behavior of carbon fiber and also its thermal conductivity, some changes in analysis should be applied. In this kind of analysis, the radiant behavior in determination of contact between surfaces should be defined. In this stage the type of heat transfer between surfaces as a radiant is specified. Also for analyzing 3D orthogonal carbon fabric in this condition, Boltzmann’s constant and Kelvin’s absolute zero temperature have been used as default [20]. After determining the properties of carbon fiber, including mechanical and thermal (conduction and radiant) and assigning them to 3D orthogonal carbon fabric, boundary conditions should be determined in analysis in which the temperature of bottom of fabric in x-direction has been
It was assumed 2000 centigrade degree or in another word 2273 Kelvin. After that heat flux $10^6$ (w/mm$^2$ · k) was uniformly applied to 3D orthogonal carbon fabric. The results of analyzing 3D orthogonal carbon fabric is shown in Fig. 13, indicating N11 (Nodal temperature at nodes) or point temperature, HFL (Heat flux vector at integration points) or heat flux at element’s node and RFL11 (Reaction fluxes at nodes) or reactions of heat flux at connection nodes of elements.

In comparison between a condition in which both radiant and conduction are assumed as heat transmitting factors and a condition where conduction is the mere factor, a sample of structure under this conditions and applying an equal flux and boundary conditions has been analyzed. The results have been compared in Table II. According to the table, with regard to the effect of radiant on thermal transfer, more thermal transition in 3D orthogonal carbon fabric will take place. So for an accurate analysis and close to reality, according to the meaningful effect of radiant on results, it should be considered in analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Transfer</th>
<th>Nodal Temperature at Nodes (K)</th>
<th>Temperature Difference of Carbon Fabric in X Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduction</td>
<td>2040-2273</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction and Radiation</td>
<td>1835-2273</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In V. Simulation of Composite, for estimating the behavior of carbon-carbon composite reinforced with 3D orthogonal carbon fabric under different conditions of loading, first of all the geometry of structure should be determined. Dimensions of orthogonal fabric as explained in analysis of 3D orthogonal carbon fabric, is $10 \times 10 \times 10$ mm$^3$ for carbon 12k has been considered and all analysis base on this structure and resin injection into it have been performance. The mechanical properties of 3D orthogonal carbon fabric as explained so far, is assigned to fabric structure. In this stage, as structure is composite, resin should be defined and injects to the structure. So first structure of resin is defined and then its properties will be assigned and resin structure is assembled to 3D orthogonal carbon fabric. Finally Fig. 14 shows a view of composites resulted by injecting carbon resin into 3D orthogonal carbon fabric structure. The middle section of composite was shown in Fig. 14 (b). According to 3D orthogonal carbon fabric structure which has some vacant pores, adding resin will fill these pores.

After determining the geometry and properties of composite, all modules as explained for 3D orthogonal carbon fabric is defined respectively and is assigned to composite. Almost 140000 elements have been assigned to composite structure. Fig. 15 shows schematic of some modules.
Analytical methods and elements which are described in previous steps, have been used to analyze the mechanical behavior of 3D orthogonal carbon composite in tensile, pressure, flexural and torsional loading. Figs. 16-19 show the cantors of stress and plastic strain of composite's model under these loading conditions.
Fig. 18 Cantors of carbon composite model applied to bending moment: (a) Stress, (b) Plastic strain

Fig. 19 Cantors of carbon composite model applied to torsional torque: (a) Stress, (b) Plastic strain

VI. CONCLUSION

Non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabrics are one of the useful textiles reinforcements in aerospace composites. Since performing mechanical tests on these specific textiles are not economic, studying characteristics of them have been proceeded with simulating in a virtual environment. The mechanical behavior of non-crimp 3D orthogonal carbon fabric composite under different kind of loading as tensile and compressive forces, torsion and flexural torques and thermal transfer has been investigated. The results of mechanical analysis on carbon-carbon orthogonal fabric composites represent that composites structures under pressure will sustain more stresses. While under tensile conditions with the same force and boundary conditions, they sustain less. Also under tensile conditions movement in elements or in other words in whole composite structure are less happened, but the plastic deformation made by applying tensile forces is more than compressive ones. As the composite structure is isotropic, tensile and compressive forces are applied and analyzed only in X-direction and obviously it is extensible for Y and Z-directions. In the case of composites are applied under same quantity of torsion and flexural torques, the structures will sustain more stress under flexural conditions. While structure under torsion conditions with the same quantity sustain less. Also under flexural conditions movements in elements or in other words in whole composite structure occur more often and also the plastic deformation made by applying flexural torques is more than torsion ones.
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