

# Study Regarding Effect of Isolation on Social Behaviour in Mice

Ritu Shitak

**Abstract**—Humans are social mammals, of the primate order. Our biology, our behaviour and our pathologies are unique to us. In our desire to understand, reduce solitary confinement one source of information is the many reports of social isolation of other social mammals, especially primates. A behavioural study was conducted in the department of pharmacology at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla in Himachalpradesh province in India using white albino mice. Different behavioural parameters were observed by using open field, tail suspension, tests for aggressive behaviour and social interactions and the effect of isolation was studied. The results were evaluated and the standard statistics were applied. The said study was done to establish facts that isolation itself impairs social behaviour and can lead to alcohol dependence as well as related drug dependence.

**Keywords**—Albino Mice, Drug Dependence, Social isolation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

HUMANS are social mammals. For understanding behavioral aspects of humans residing in solitary confinement environmental conditions were studied. Environmental conditions that experimental animals are exposed to have been thought to affect various aspects of their behavior [1]. Previous studies have reported that enriched environments can improve experimental animals' cognitive functions, causing abnormal behaviours. There are studies which show that socially isolated animals are more depressed and more anxious than animals which are grouped together [2]. There are studies where it has been studied that a significant increase of locomotors activity is present in isolated mice [3]. Studies results have also linked social isolation to more aggressive behavior [4]. There are also studies showing that social isolation selectively elevates animal anxiety without affecting depression like behaviours [5].

Here we have examined the affects of isolation in mice on anxiety, aggressiveness, social interactions and hence demonstrated the specific impact of social isolation on emotional behaviour.

### A. Methods

#### 1. Animals and Rearing Environments

The mice used in this study were white, albino mice. The temperature for rearing the mice and further behavioural environment was 18 to 20 degree Celsius. Humidity was 68

%. The study was done in the day time from 9 am to 6 pm. The cages used for the experimental study were of the size 40 cms x 26 cms x 15 cms.

#### 2. Open Field Test and Analysis

The open field test was carried out in an acrylic container and the starting position within the container was same for all mice. Subjects open field exposure time was 15 mins. Time was measured in seconds that each subjects spent in the central 20x 20 cms area of the open field.

#### 3. Test for Aggressive Behaviour

The cages used for the experimental study were of the size 40 cmsx26x15 cms. The subjects were kept in individual isolation for 6 weeks and after that they were housed together. The control group was also studied.

#### 4. Test for Social Interactions in Mice:

The cages used for the experimental study were of the size 40 cmsx26x15 cms. The subjects were kept in individual isolation for 6 weeks and after that they were housed together. The control group was also studied.

#### 5. Tail Suspension Test

A steel bar was used for the test. The distance between floor and tail was about 30 cms and the observation time was 6 mins.

## II. DESIGN OF STUDY

All the behavioural experiments were conducted in animal house laboratory in department of pharmacology in Indira Gandhi Medical College, shimla. The mice were reared in an initial environment for 6 weeks [6]. The age group selected for the study was 8 months, six male and six females were taken in the study, with weight ranging between 20 -29 grammes and with free access to food and water. After 6 weeks of rearing subjects were exposed to environmental change like social isolation in which each of the 12 mice were kept in separate cages hence one in each cage and they were housed alone with free access to sunlight, food and water. The subjects were housed in these conditions for 10 days and then behavioural experiments were performed to examine any changes in animal behaviours by changing the environments. After 10 days the animals were housed in other cages containing normally housed animals in a family. After one week of habituation in their new environment, we performed behavioural experiments to examine whether the animals behaviour were affected by these changes [7], [8].

Dr. RituShitak is associate professor with Department of pharmacology, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla, HP, India, -171001 (Mobile: +91-9418063437, e-mail: drritushtk@gmail.com).

### III. RESULTS

On the first day of experimentation we exposed a test group and control group to open field and observed their locomotive behaviour and observed that animals became more depressed

after isolation as line crossing (P=0.01), central square entry (P<.001), central square duration = (P=0.007), rearing (P=0.03), Stretch attend (P<.001), grooming (P<.001) & urination = (P=0.001) were observed. i.e. Tables I (A) and (B).

TABLE I (A)  
OPEN FIELD TEST OBSERVATIONS: (TEST GROUP) (ANXIETY)

Animal	Line crossing seconds	Centre square entry per 15 min	Centre square duration per visit seconds	Rearing	Stretch attend	Grooming per 15 minutes	Urination	Defecation
1	5	23	2	70	32	75	6	5
2	8	46	4	74	24	55	7	8
3	2	74	7	95	45	40	4	6
4	6	52	1	65	30	90	3	7
5	3	38	2	60	25	80	2	6
6	9	07	60	15	30	100	2	8
7	36	18	5	35	24	40	1	11
8	10	15	3	39	28	36	1	5
9	3	22	4	46	22	55	2	6
10	3	16	2	45	20	38	1	3
11	3	17	2	47	24	40	1	2
12	2	15	7	61	21	48	1	10

TABLE I (B)  
OPEN FIELD TEST OBSERVATIONS: (CONTROL GROUP) (ANXIETY)

Animal	Line crossing seconds	Centre square entry per 15 min	Centre square duration per visit seconds	Rearing	Stretch attend	Grooming per 15 minutes	Urination	Defecation
1	3	60	2	40	5	20	-	10
2	2	70	2	42	10	25	-	11
3	3	55	2	35	6	30	-	10
4	5	70	2	40	11	20	-	8
5	4	65	2	41	15	35	1	10
6	2	70	2	40	10	20	1	7
7	2	60	2	40	7	20	-	6
8	3	64	2	38	9	30	-	10
9	3	60	2	37	10	35	-	10
10	2	65	2	36	15	33	-	3
11	1	70	2	40	10	30	-	7
12	2	65	2	39	5	25	-	6

TABLE II  
AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIOURS (OBSERVATION TIME 10 MINUTES)

Test group					Control groups				
Animal	Latency to first attack(sec)	Number of attacks	Duration of each fight (sec)	Number of body scars	Animal	Latency to first attack(sec)	Number of attacks	Duration of each fight (sec)	Number of body scars
1	45	26	7	8	1	60	10	5	5
2	40	30	10	6	2	65	15	5	2
3	90	15	15	5	3	120	8	7	3
4	50	30	13	8	4	65	7	8	5
5	56	35	12	10	5	63	10	5	6
6	53	30	15	8	6	65	15	9	5
7	57	26	15	7	7	64	12	5	3
8	55	32	10	6	8	50	11	7	4
9	60	28	18	5	9	55	10	6	3
10	62	26	15	7	10	70	7	9	2
11	60	28	17	6	11	72	6	10	3
12	60	26	16	7	12	65	8	5	3

TABLE III  
TEST FOR SOCIAL INTERACTIONS IN MICE (OBSERVATION TIME 10 MINUTES)

Animal	Test group					Control group					
	No. of approaches	Crawling on each other	Nose to nose sniffing	Genital sniffing	Total time spent together	No of approaches	Crawling on each other	Nose to nose sniffing	Genital sniffing	Total time spent together	
1	13	10	05	2	6	1	17	13	10	09	8
2	10	07	03	1	5	2	16	15	10	08	8
3	06	03	01	1	5	3	15	12	15	10	7
4	07	05	02	1	3	4	15	15	10	06	9
5	12	10	05	2	7	5	15	10	13	06	6
6	09	06	03	1	6	6	12	12	11	05	8
7	06	03	01	0	6	7	14	12	10	10	7
8	07	03	01	1	6	8	15	12	10	10	6
9	10	07	04	1	3	9	15	13	10	07	6
10	07	03	01	0	6	10	12	14	14	06	8
11	08	05	02	1	4	11	15	11	12	07	9
12	10	08	06	2	6	12	14	15	11	07	8

TABLE IV  
TAIL SUSPENSION TEST (DEPRESSION) (OBSERVATION TIME 6 MINUTES)

Test group			Control group		
Animal		Immobility time	Animal		Immobility time
1		46	1		40
2		30	2		40
3		50	3		40
4		56	4		30
5		50	5		34
6		50	6		40
7		40	7		42
8		40	8		30
9		30	9		36
10		46	10		40
11		44	11		40
12		85	12		40

TABLE V  
RESULTS OF OPEN FIELD TEST

Parameter	Group	Line crossing	Centre square entry	Centre square duration	Rearing	Stretch Attend	Grooming	Urination	Defecation
Mean	Test	4.67±2.3	29.67±18.8	5.83±4.0	54.25±21.5	27.08±6.6	62.75±16.9	2.67±2.0	7.00±1.2
	Control	2.67±1.0	64.50±4.9	2.00±0.0	39.00±2.0	9.42±3.3	26.92±5.9	.17±0.3	8.17±2.4
p- value		0.01*	<0.001*	0.007*	0.03*	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.001*	0.15

The very same day tests for aggressive behaviour were conducted in animals that were kept isolated for six weeks and fighting attitude of animals was observed and compared with the control group. The results of this test indicated that social isolation increases aggressive behaviour in animals i.e. latency

to first attack was not found to be statistically different in the two groups. Number of attack were significant increased in the test group (P<.001), duration and number of attacks and scar mark were significantly high in the test group (P<.01) i.e Table VI.

TABLE VI  
RESULTS FOR TEST FOR AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIOUR

Parameter	Group	Latency to first attack	No of atcks	Duration of each fight	No of body scars
Mean±S.D.	Test	57.33±12.2	27.67±4.8	13.58±3.2	6.92±1.4
	Control	67.83±17.4	9.92±2.9	6.75±1.8	3.67±1.3
P value		0.10	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*

Test for social interaction were conducted in isolated animals and different parameters were compared with the control group. It was observed that the isolated animals interacted less than other animals as number of approaches,

crawling, nose sniffing and total time spent together were higher in control group (P<.001).

TABLE VII  
RESULTS FOR TEST FOR SOCIAL INTERACTIONS

Parameter	Group	No. of approaches	crawling	nose	Genital	Total time
Mean±S.D.	Test	8.75±2.3	5.83±2.6	2.83±1.8	1.08±0.6	5.25±1.2
	Control	14.58±1.4	12.83±1.6	11.33±1.7	.92±0.6	7.50±1.0
P value		<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.54	<0.001*

After the open field test we performed the tail suspension test next day and it was observed that the isolation depressed the animal. The animal became depressed in the test group (P=0.04).

TABLE VIII  
RESULTS FOR TAIL SUSPENSION TEST

Parameter	Group	Tail suspension
Mean±S.D.	Test	47.25±14.2
	Control	37.67±4.1
P value		0.04

#### IV. DISCUSSION

In the present study we found that social isolation elevated the anxiety level of the mice and also increased depression in mice [9]-[11]. The findings of the present study are in agreement with results from [12]-[14]. In our conditions social isolation elevated anxiety levels and also depression like behaviour. Some researchers have changed the rearing conditions like they changed the size of the cage and no effect on depression was seen. This study also highlights that isolated animals behave more aggressively and they interact less socially. All these results pave a way for further investigating the animals for drug dependence and role of isolation in drug dependence.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Deacon RM. Housing, husbandry and handling of rodents for behavioural experiments. *Nat Protoc.*2006; 1:936-946.
- [2] Berry, A., Bellisario, V.,Capoccia, S.,Tirassa, P.,Calza,A., Alleva, E.,&Cirulli, F.(2012)Social deprivation stress is a triggering factor for the emergence of anxiety and depression like behaviours and leads to reduced brain BDNF levels in C57BL/6J mice. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37,762-777.
- [3] Chuljungkwak. Social isolation selectively increases anxiety in mice without affecting depression like behaviour. *Korean J PhysioPharmacol.* 2009 October; 13(5):357-360.
- [4] Miczek KA, Maxson SC, Fish EW, Faccidomo S (2001). Aggressive behavioural phenotypes in mice. *Behav Brain Res* 125: 167-181.
- [5] Winslow JT (2003) Mouse social recognition and preference, In; *Current protocols in neuroscience*, 8.16.1-16.3. New York: Wiley.
- [6] Brown, K.J.,& Gruenberg, N.E.(1995).Effects of housing on male and female rats: Crowding stressed males but calms females. *Physiology & Behaviour*, 58(6), 1085-1089.
- [7] Bert Siegfried, Enrico Alberto Oliverio, Stefano PuglisiAlleggra. Effects of isolation on activity, reactivity, excitability alpha aggressive behaviour in the two inbred stains of mice, *Behavioural brain research.* Vol-2, issue-2, March 1981, pages 211-218.
- [8] N. Wongwitdecha, C.A. Marsden, Social isolation increases aggressive behaviour and alters the effects of diazepam in the rat social interaction test, *behavioural brain research.* Vol 75, issues 1-2, Feb. 1996, pages 27-32.
- [9] Benoit –Petit- Demoutier- Franck Chenu, Michel Bourin, Forced swimming test in mice: a review of antidepressant activity. *Psychopharmacology* (2005) 177: 245-255, DOI 10, 1007/ SO213-004-2048-7.

- [10] Taylor, Shelley E, Klein, Laura Cousino; Lewis Brain P, Grvenewald, Tara L; Gurung Regan A, R updegratt, John A. *Psychological Review* Vol 107(3), Jul 2000. Pages 411-429.
- [11] Prut L, Belzung C. The open field as a paradigm to measure the effects of drugs on anxiety like behaviours: a review.*Eur J Pharmacol.* 2003;463:-33.
- [12] Chourabaji S, Zacher C, Sanchis – Segura C, Spanagel R, Gass P. Social and structural housing conditions influence the development of a depressive like phenotype in the learned helplessness paradigm in male mice. *Behav Brain Res.* 2005; 100-106.
- [13] Cristiane Felisbino Silvab, Filipe SilveiraDuarte, Thereza Christina Monteiro De Limab, CileneLino de Oliveiraa, Effects of social isolation and enriched environment on behavior of adult Swiss mice do not require hippocampal neurogenesis. *Behavioural Brain Research* Volume 225, Issue 1, 20 November 2011, Pages 85–90
- [14] Lister RG, Hilakivi LA, The effects of novelty, isolation, light and ethanol on the social behavior of mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 1988;96(2):